

**RFP #2019-133: Parent Partner Services**

**Proposal Rating Worksheet**

**Proposer: Cayuga Centers**

	Weight	Reviewer 1		Reviewer 2		Reviewer 3		Review
		Rate	Score	Rate	Score	Rate	Score	Rate
Proposed program clearly outlines how families will be	20%	4	0.8	5	1	5	1	5
Agency's proposal outlines key performance strategies,	10%	4	0.4	4	0.4	3	0.3	5
Agency's funding request is below County's maximum allocation: •per diem request is the same=0 •per diem request is 5% below=1 •per diem request is 10% below=2 •per diem request is 15% below=3 •per diem request is 20% below=4 •per diem request is 25% below=5	5%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Agency's history of demonstrated capacity in successfully delivering proposed or similar services during the past two years.	10%	4	0.4	4	0.4	3	0.3	5
Proposed program design reflects a sound understanding of the population to be served and articulates a clear program strategy that is based on best practice principles.	20%	4	0.8	5	1	4	0.8	5
Agency's proposal clearly outlines how families will be provided support and education to work toward self-sufficiency.	20%	4	0.8	5	1	5	1	5
Agency's proposal clearly answers requirements outlined in this RFP.	15%	4	0.6	4	0.6	5	0.75	4
			3.8		4.4		4.15	

**NOTES:**

**Strengths**

- Use of an assessment tool in alignment of service need
- Understanding of target population
- Availability of programs and services to support families
- Incorporates Homebuilders model which is a best practice model
- Innovative approach to rapid family engagement

Solid history of service in Albany

**Weaknesses**

Would need to hire and train

wer 4
Score
1
0.5
0
0.5
1
1
0.6
4.6

**16.95**

**RFP #2019-133: Parent Partner Services**

**Proposal Rating Worksheet**

**Proposer: LaSalle School**

	Weight	Reviewer 1		Reviewer 2		Reviewer 3		Review
		Rate	Score	Rate	Score	Rate	Score	Rate
Proposed program clearly outlines how families will be	20%	3	0.6	3	0.6	5	1	5
Agency's proposal outlines key performance strategies,	10%	3	0.3	3	0.3	3	0.3	5
Agency's funding request is below County's maximum allocation: •per diem request is the same=0 •per diem request is 5% below=1 •per diem request is 10% below=2 •per diem request is 15% below=3 •per diem request is 20% below=4 •per diem request is 25% below=5	5%	4	0.2	4	0.2	4	0.2	4
Agency's history of demonstrated capacity in successfully delivering proposed or similar services during the past two years.	10%	4	0.4	4	0.4	4	0.4	5
Proposed program design reflects a sound understanding of the population to be served and articulates a clear program strategy that is based on best practice principles.	20%	2	0.4	3	0.6	5	1	5
Agency's proposal clearly outlines how families will be provided support and education to work toward self-sufficiency.	20%	3	0.6	3	0.6	4	0.8	5
Agency's proposal clearly answers requirements outlined in this RFP.	15%	3	0.45	3	0.45	5	0.75	5
			2.95		3.15		4.45	

**NOTES:**

**Strengths**

Agency has a history of demonstrated service with juvenile justice population  
 Parent Partner identified  
 Strong working relationship with Probation

**Weaknesses**

Potential Parent partner needs to be trained

Lacks details on how service would be implemented (service delivery) and engagement strategies

Agency seems out of touch with needs/priorities of target population

Agency has other resources, but does not connect/integrate this within a continuum of supports could offer

<b>wer 4</b>
<b>Score</b>
1
0.5
0.2
0.5
1
1
0.75
4.95

**15.5**

**RFP #2019-133: Parent Partner Services**

**Proposal Rating Worksheet**

**Proposer: St. Anne Institute**

	Weight	Reviewer 1		Reviewer 2		Reviewer 3		Review
		Rate	Score	Rate	Score	Rate	Score	Rate
Proposed program clearly outlines how families will be	20%	3	0.6	4	0.8	5	1	5
Agency's proposal outlines key performance strategies,	10%	3	0.3	4	0.4	4	0.4	5
Agency's funding request is below County's maximum allocation: •per diem request is the same=0 •per diem request is 5% below=1 •per diem request is 10% below=2 •per diem request is 15% below=3 •per diem request is 20% below=4 •per diem request is 25% below=5	5%	1	0.05	1	0.05	1	0.05	1
Agency's history of demonstrated capacity in successfully delivering proposed or similar services during the past two years.	10%	4	0.4	4	0.4	4	0.4	5
Proposed program design reflects a sound understanding of the population to be served and articulates a clear program strategy that is based on best practice principles.	20%	4	0.8	4	0.8	5	1	5
Agency's proposal clearly outlines how families will be provided support and education to work toward self-sufficiency.	20%	4	0.8	4	0.8	5	1	5
Agency's proposal clearly answers requirements outlined in this RFP.	15%	4	0.6	4	0.6	5	0.75	5
			3.55		3.85		4.6	

**NOTES:**

**Strengths**

- Parent Partner identified, credentialed, and ready to start
- Agency presents holistic approach, incorporating other programs and services it offers to support this resource
- Clear strategy and implementation
- Understanding of target population

**Weaknesses**

Lacks detail regarding strategies of how families will be engaged

Program design/strategies not fully outlined

<b>wer 4</b>
<b>Score</b>
1
0.5
0.05
0.5
1
1
0.75
4.8

**16.8**

**RFP #2019-133: Parent Partner Services**

**Proposal Rating Worksheet**

**Proposer: Youth L.I.F.E**

	Weight	Reviewer 1		Reviewer 2		Reviewer 3		Review
		Rate	Score	Rate	Score	Rate	Score	Rate
Proposed program clearly outlines how families will be rapidly engaged.	20%	3	0.6	3	0.6	4	0.8	4
Agency's proposal outlines key performance strategies, indicators and process for tracking outcomes.	10%	4	0.4	3	0.3	3	0.3	4
Agency's funding request is below County's maximum allocation: •per diem request is the same=0 •per diem request is 5% below=1 •per diem request is 10% below=2 •per diem request is 15% below=3 •per diem request is 20% below=4 •per diem request is 25% below=5	5%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Agency's history of demonstrated capacity in successfully delivering proposed or similar services during the past two years.	10%	4	0.4	4	0.4	3	0.3	5
Proposed program design reflects a sound understanding of the population to be served and articulates a clear program strategy that is based on best practice principles.	20%	2	0.4	4	0.8	4	0.8	4
Agency's proposal clearly outlines how families will be provided support and education to work toward self-sufficiency.	20%	2	0.4	3	0.6	3	0.6	4
Agency's proposal clearly answers requirements outlined in this RFP.	15%	3	0.45	3	0.45	4	0.6	4
			2.65		3.15		3.4	

**NOTES:**

**Strengths**

- Experience in providing services to target population
- Demonstrated success in engaging families
- Credible messenger model appropriate for this model

Clearly states responsibilities of designated staff

**Weaknesses**

Lacks details on implementation/ service delivery

Ability to meet timeframes to have a parent partner in place and trained

<b>wer 4</b>
<b>Score</b>
0.8
0.4
0
0.5
0.8
0.8
0.6
3.9

**13.1**