

**PROPOSAL FOR BOND COUNSEL SERVICES
IN RESPONSE TO
RFP # 2025-078**

**RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED TO THE
COUNTY OF ALBANY, NEW YORK**

May 7, 2025



HAWKINS DELAFIELD & WOOD LLP

**140 Broadway
New York, NY 10005
(Main Office)**

**1160 Pittsford Victor Road
Pittsford, New York 14534
(Rochester Office)**

**388 Market Street
San Francisco, CA 94111**

**333 South Grand Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90071**

**Meridian Plaza, 1415 L Street
Sacramento, CA 95814**

**601 Thirteenth Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005**

**One Gateway Center
Newark, NJ 07102**

**201 South Main Street
Ann Arbor, MI 48104**

**200 Southwest Market Street
Portland, Oregon 97201**

**20 Church Street
Hartford, CT 06103**

**4801 Glenwood Avenue
Raleigh, NC 27612**

**William J. Jackson, Esq.
Telephone: (212) 820-9620 – Facsimile: (212) 820-9668
Email: wjackson@hawkins.com**

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

HAWKINS

HAWKINS DELAFIELD & WOOD LLP
140 BROADWAY, NEW YORK, NY 10005
(212) 820-9300 | HAWKINS.COM

(212) 820-9620

May 7, 2025

Pamela O'Neill
Purchasing Agent
County of Albany
112 State Street, Room 1000
Albany, New York 12207

Dear Ms. O'Neill:

Thank you for this opportunity to present our qualifications to serve as Bond Counsel to the County of Albany. Pursuant to the County's Request for Proposals (RFP # 2025-078), we have prepared the enclosed Proposal for Bond Counsel Services.

Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP was organized in 1854 as a general practice law firm specializing in business and finance. Today, the firm is nationally recognized for its specialization in the field of public finance, having rendered opinions with respect to municipal bond matters for more than 100 years. The firm has offices in New York City, Los Angeles, San Francisco, Sacramento, Portland, Washington, D.C., Hartford, Ann Arbor, Raleigh, Rochester and Newark. Virtually all of the lawyers in the firm are devoted primarily to the practice of public finance and public contracts.

Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP has been consistently ranked among the top public finance firms in terms of data compiled by SDC Platinum from Refinitiv (formerly Thomson Reuters Financial). The reporting of industry-wide statistics commenced in 1980 and Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP ranks number one for bond issues approved in New York State for the period beginning January 1, 1980 and ending April 30, 2025. The firm is also the leading firm with respect to the number of bond and note issues in New York State for calendar year 2025 to date.

Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP is uniquely qualified to serve as Bond Counsel to the County of Albany. We have a greater number of lawyers devoted to New York public finance practice than any other law firm and the industry's largest public finance tax department. In addition, we are very familiar with the County and currently provide arbitrage rebate services to the County. We have extensive experience with municipal and State issuers and are deeply connected with the history of public finance in New York State. We represent hundreds of municipalities, public authorities, industrial development agencies, school districts, fire districts and other public entities in the State. Accordingly, we are thoroughly familiar with all aspects of the State's Local Finance Law, General Municipal Law and other laws as they relate to local

government financing. We have worked with every financial advisor and we are completely familiar with market and securities law requirements.

In addition, in maintaining a national public finance practice and representing issuers and underwriters throughout the United States, we have developed and expanded our expertise with new and innovative financing concepts, techniques and products which, when applicable, may be of significant benefit to the County.

Many other firms practicing in the area of public finance are general practice firms whose public finance practice consists of only a department within the firm. In contrast, our firm has maintained a primary concentration on public finance. We have an experienced team of lawyers practicing public finance law for New York State issuers at both the partnership and associate levels, committed to promptly meeting the County's substantive and scheduling requirements.

Serving as Bond Counsel to the County of Albany would be of the utmost importance to Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP. We believe that our nationally recognized leadership and experience in public finance, our extensive involvement with public finance in New York State, and our commitment of time, energy and talent would be valuable assets to the County as it continues its important initiatives and embarks upon new ones.

In general, we have found that our fees are considered fair and reasonable. We believe our fees are no higher than those charged by any other responsible firm capable of providing the appropriate level of service and expertise to the County.

We are, of course, available for a personal presentation or for follow-up information on any matters discussed herein or otherwise. Again, we appreciate the opportunity to submit this Proposal for your consideration.

With best regards, I am

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read 'W. J. Jackson', followed by a long horizontal flourish line.

William J. Jackson

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

SECTION I

Introduction

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. Introduction.....	i
II. Qualifications/Experience and Resumes.....	3
III. Experience and References	16
IV. Plan Implementation	41
V. Cost Proposal	43
VI. Mandatory Documentation	46

EXHIBIT A - *Hawkins Advisory*

This Proposal is signed by William J. Jackson, a Partner at Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP. Mr. Jackson is authorized to bind Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP to the contents of this Proposal for a period of 90 days following the submission of the Proposal, subject to Section XII and all other sections of the Request for Proposals (RFP # 2025-078).

We have reviewed the insurance and security requirements set forth in the Request for Proposals and are generally prepared to meet all such requirements.

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

SECTION II

Qualifications. This proposal is submitted by William J. Jackson, a partner at Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP, 140 Broadway, 42nd floor, New York, New York 10005. The following individuals may serve as contacts with respect to this Proposal. Mr. Jackson shall be the primary contact and is authorized to bind the firm.

William J. Jackson, Tel.: (212) 820-9620, Fax: (212) 820-9668, email: wjackson@hawkins.com

Robert P. Smith, Tel.: (212) 820-9662, Fax: (212) 820-9668, email: smith@hawkins.com

Firm Experience

Public Finance Practice. For over one hundred years, Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP has had an established municipal finance practice and our approving bond and tax opinion has always been accepted in the national, state and local municipal markets in connection with all types of bond offerings. We have served as bond counsel for issuers of municipal bonds in almost every state and we have been the leading New York State bond counsel firm for the past three plus decades. In our role as a leader in the area of public finance, our attorneys have been involved in virtually every type of transaction as either bond counsel, underwriter's counsel, tax counsel, disclosure counsel or special counsel. We pride ourselves on being at the forefront of new and innovative financing structures. As a result, state and local government leaders in New York have consistently looked to this firm in circumstances that require developing creative solutions to complex policy issues.

Our municipal finance practice is not a department within a larger law firm. We have a greater number of bond, tax and securities lawyers specializing in public finance law than any other firm in the country and have been routinely involved in drafting legislation and in structuring, documenting and implementing innovative bond programs, within the constraints of complex tax and securities laws and regulations. Our firm is focused on the field of public finance, particularly the issuance of tax-exempt securities by municipalities, school districts, fire districts, public authorities and other governmental issuers to finance municipal buildings, highways, sewer and water systems, recreational facilities, drainage systems, schools, fire houses, vehicles, equipment and other capital projects. In addition to such traditional governmental purposes, the firm's tax-exempt financing practice has included issues for operating and cash-flow needs, industrial facilities, sports facilities, convention centers, open space, airports, dock and wharf facilities, resource recovery facilities, mass commuting and parking facilities and pollution control projects.

The issues upon which we deliver approving opinions each year include hundreds of general obligation bond issues, as well as revenue bonds and other diversified and creative financings for state and municipal government and public purpose entity programs. We bring to

all of our New York issues, our relevant experience and expertise from financings in other jurisdictions. Major clients for which we have acted as bond counsel for general obligation bond issues include the states of California, Connecticut, Hawaii, Maine, New Jersey, Oregon and Tennessee, and the government of the Virgin Islands. The firm also serves as general obligation bond counsel to many local municipalities and school districts in Alaska, Connecticut, California, Hawaii, New Jersey, New York, Tennessee and Virginia.

Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP has been the most active firm in terms of working with special purpose entities such as those created for securitization of tobacco settlement revenues. We are also active as special tax counsel in financings which, because of complex bond, tax or other legal questions, call for the purchasers or issuers to be represented by special counsel.

New York State Public Finance Practice. Today, the firm is one of a handful of firms which continues to specialize in New York general obligation financings. Throughout the firm's history, our strongest area of practice has been, and remains, representing bond issuers in the State of New York. Together with an extensive tax department, prepared to address the most intricate questions of tax law applicable to such financings, we have a greater number of lawyers devoted to New York public finance than any other law firm. We have extensive experience with local and State issuers, and understand and are deeply connected with the history of public financing in the State. We represent hundreds of municipalities and school districts throughout the State. Accordingly, we have extensive knowledge of all aspects of the State's local finance law, its constitutional and statutory framework, and its requirements regarding infrastructure.

Our experience with respect to public finance in the State of New York is demonstrated by the Thomson Reuters rankings which show Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP as the leading bond counsel firm for overall New York State public issues for the period from January 1, 1980 to April 30, 2025. New York State ranking information appears below.

**Firm Rankings for January 1, 1980 to April 30, 2025
New York State Bond Counsel Ranking Report**

<u>Firm</u>	<u>Principal Amount (millions)</u>	<u>Rank</u>	<u>Number of Issues</u>
Hawkins, Delafield & Wood	\$436,257.6	1	14,901
Sidley Austin *	236,694.6	2	969
Nixon Peabody LLP	199,142.0	3	1,397
Orrick Herrington & Sutcliffe, LLP	186,295.5	4	7,758
Bryant Rabbino LLP	145,346.4	5	332

* Combined data for the merged firms of Sidley Austin and Brown & Wood.
Source: SDC Platinum from Refinitiv (formerly, Thomson Reuters)

We take great pride in the fact that we serve as bond counsel to a large number of local municipalities and school districts in New York, including counties, cities, towns, villages, school districts and fire districts across the State. Our broad experience with local governments

enables us to provide expert assistance to our New York governmental clients as they address challenges such as the 2% tax levy limit, the increasing cost of Federal and State mandates, IRS audits and post issuance tax compliance, new initiatives of the Securities and Exchange Commission, the potential for new disclosure standards in official statements, rising pension and healthcare costs, the practical and financial aspects of solid waste management, water quality issues and the current condition of the national and State economies.

We have played major roles as Bond Counsel in the implementation of financings for the New York State Environmental Facilities Corporation (“EFC”), New York State Power Authority, the Long Island Power Authority (“LIPA”), the State of New York Mortgage Agency, the New York State Thruway Authority, the Municipal Assistance Corporation for the City of New York, the Battery Park City Authority, the New York City Housing Development Corporation, the New York State Energy Research and Development Authority and the New York State Medical Care Facilities Finance Agency (“MCFFA”).

The firm has served as underwriters counsel with respect to the New York Local Government Assistance Corporation (“LGAC”). We have also been involved in numerous financings backed by appropriations of local governments in New York State, including two judicial facility lease financings by the Dormitory Authority of the State of New York (“DASNY”) on behalf of Suffolk County in which we acted as underwriter’s counsel and a “first-of-its kind” in New York State certificate of participation financing for a Monroe County materials recovery facility in which we acted as Bond Counsel.

The firm has participated as bond counsel in numerous water and sewer financings for such clients as the Buffalo Municipal Water Finance Authority, Water Authority of Great Neck North, New Jersey Water Supply Authority, New Jersey Economic Development Authority, North Jersey District Water Supply Commission, Camden County Municipal Utilities Authority, County of Henrico, Virginia, County of Chesterfield, Virginia, City of Newport News, Virginia, City of San Diego Public Facilities Financing Authority, Metropolitan Water District of Southern California, California Statewide Communities Development Authority, Buffalo Sewer Authority, Erie County Sewer Districts Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6, Orange County Sewer District No. 1, Rockland County Sewer District No. 1, Suffolk County Sewer District Nos. 1, 3, 4, 7 and 18, various Westchester County sanitary sewer districts and hundreds of other smaller districts and municipal systems in cities and towns in New York. Our representation also includes a number of water and sewer projects in New York and other states as underwriters’ counsel. As counsel to the State of New York, we assisted in the drafting of the legislation creating the New York State Environmental Facilities Corporation Clean Water and Drinking Water Revolving Funds programs, which provide financial assistance for drinking water projects and municipal wastewater throughout the State.

Since the 1970’s, Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP has been called upon to work with fiscally troubled municipalities in the State, including the Cities of New York, Buffalo, Glen Cove, Newburgh and Yonkers; the Towns of Deerpark and East Hampton; the Villages of Hempstead, Suffern and Washingtonville; and numerous school districts, including Chenango

Valley Central School District, East Moriches Union Free School District, Roosevelt Union Free School District, Hempstead Union Free School District and Patchogue-Medford Union Free School District. The firm has also served as bond counsel to the Nassau County Interim Finance Authority (NIFA) in connection with the fiscal distress in the County of Nassau. The firm has gained considerable recognition for its expertise in distressed governmental situations requiring innovative financing. In assisting state and local officials in rectifying financial conditions, which in some cases led to near bankruptcy, the firm enhanced its national reputation and has subsequently been called upon to attend to similar matters in other states.

As a corollary to its bond counsel practice with fiscally troubled municipalities in New York, the firm has been called upon to serve as counsel to the underwriters on a variety of general obligation deficit financings and other similar issues.

Attorneys at Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP also provide leadership and active participation in the major professional organizations which affect municipal officials in New York State. These include the New York State Government Finance Officers Association, the County Attorneys Association of the State of New York, the New York State Bar Association (Municipal Section), the New York State Association of Towns, the New York Conference of Mayors and Municipal Officials, New York State School Boards Association, Council of School Superintendents and the New York State Association of School Business Officials.

Experience with Comparable Counties. Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP has served as bond counsel and/or disclosure to several large counties. Our current or recent engagements with the Counties of Chenango, Orange, Oswego, Putnam, Suffolk and Westchester have been particularly relevant in developing the background and experience necessary to provide expert bond counsel and, if needed, disclosure counsel services to the County of Albany. During the course of our representation of each of these counties, we work or have worked on general obligation bond and note issues each year for capital projects involving roads, buildings, equipment, land acquisition, open space and other purposes and for working capital purposes.

In working with the above counties, we have assisted our clients in solving problems that may also affect the County of Albany. For example, we have assisted with the implementation of innovative programs involving the financing of capital improvements by two or more municipalities pursuant to intermunicipal agreements. These programs have involved affordable housing, downtown revitalization improvements, water and sewer facility upgrades, parks and recreational facilities, police stations and other unique projects. Our clients have also consulted us with respect to the State law and Federal tax law matters affecting the sale of assets such as nursing homes, marinas and county office buildings. This type of expertise may be particularly useful to Albany County.

In addition we have served as special finance counsel to Westchester County on revenue bonds issued by the Westchester County Health Care Corporation and as bond counsel on revenue bond issues by the Erie County Medical Center Corporation. The firm has also been

engaged by NuHealth (Nassau Health Care Corporation) to provide legal and tax related counsel. We have also served as bond/transaction counsel to the Suffolk Tobacco Asset Securitization Corporation, the Westchester Tobacco Asset Securitization Corporation and the Erie Tobacco Asset Securitization Corporation.

We also delivered the approving bond counsel opinion for a bond issue involving the Judicial Facilities Agency (“JFA”), which had been established by Suffolk County for purposes of acquiring from the Dormitory Authority of the State of New York (“DASNY”) certain judicial facilities in Suffolk County. Under the statute creating the JFA, as amended, Suffolk County and the JFA had entered into several agreements for purposes of acquiring title to the judicial facilities and retiring the bonds issued by DASNY to finance the construction of judicial facilities in the first instance. As a result of the original JFA financing, Suffolk County and the JFA jointly owned the judicial facilities pursuant to a Joint Tenancy in Common Agreement and each entity was paying separate debt service on outstanding bonds. In addition, pursuant to a Service Agreement between the parties, Suffolk County was making service payments to the JFA to cover various operating costs, including JFA debt service. In 2009 Suffolk County exercised its option to acquire the JFA’s interest in the facility and Suffolk County issued its general obligations bonds to finance the acquisition cost. The JFA used the proceeds of sale of its interest in the facility to redeem its outstanding debt. This financing resulted in substantial overall savings to taxpayers because Suffolk County’s general obligation debt service was substantially lower than the combined amount previously expended for JFA debt service and the service payments. Several federal tax issues had to be resolved in order to bring this financing to a successful conclusion. In addition, in 2013 we acted as bond counsel to the JFA in a sale-leaseback financing for the benefit of the County of Suffolk. The sale-leaseback was authorized pursuant to Special legislation adopted by the New York State Legislature in 2013. The County sold the H. Lee Dennison County office building to the JFA. The JFA issued tax-exempt bonds to finance the acquisition and leased the building back to Suffolk County. Earlier this year, the firm assisted the JFA and the County with the defeasance of the bonds issued by the JFA for the sale-leaseback of the H. Lee Dennison County office building.

Securities Law Experience. Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP is experienced in all areas of the federal securities laws as they relate to municipal securities, including the application of Rule 10b-5 and Rule 15c2-12 to municipal securities transactions. In most municipal securities financings, the principal federal securities law issues relate to whether the disclosure document (official statement) used in connection with the offering and sale of such securities is complete and accurate and whether any continuing disclosure obligations have been satisfied. To advise clients regarding such issues requires a thorough understanding of applicable statutory provisions, SEC rules, interpretive pronouncements and case law. The depth and breadth of our public finance practice in general, combined with our securities law capabilities, make us uniquely qualified to advise on difficult disclosure issues.

Experience with Financial Advisors. The firm has worked with every major financial advisory firm in New York State, and enjoys an excellent reputation for cooperation

throughout the financial advisory community. Lawyers at the firm have spent years developing relationships with individuals at the various financial advisory firms and have always worked compatibly with them. Mr. Jackson previously worked with the County of Albany during his tenure as a financial advisor at Evensen Dodge, Inc., the predecessor firm to the County's current financial advisory firm.

Legislative Updates. Our public finance practice involves the firm's attorneys in a broad range of legislative and regulatory matters. The firm regularly reviews existing and proposed legislation in the context of proposed and outstanding financings and is regularly called upon to prepare authorizing legislation for proposed new programs. We are thoroughly familiar with the federal, state and local legislative processes. We review applicable provisions of tax and securities law and regulations. One of the reasons for maintaining our Washington, D.C. office is to monitor federal legislation and regulatory developments. We are also familiar with environmental review statutes that may be applicable to capital projects the County seeks to finance, as well as general legal matters that may affect such projects, and advise municipal clients as to such matters.

We would regularly contact County officials with respect to legislation or regulations affecting the County and its issuance of tax exempt indebtedness. As may be requested by the County, we would prepare and furnish descriptions and analysis of new legislation, including the recently enacted amendments to the Local Finance Law and regulations pertinent to County finance matters.

In addition, we periodically publish a firm newsletter called *The Hawkins Advisory* which discusses pertinent timely topics of interest to our clients.

Firm's Tax Department. The firm's tax department is the largest in the nation devoted primarily to public finance tax law. The firm's tax attorneys are nationally recognized as experts in all aspects of tax-exempt financing. They are responsible for the review and development of all tax aspects of a financing. This review may include the analysis of new or proposed legislation or tax regulations, the development of certifications or representations for the parties and direct participation in the structuring of the transaction.

Generally, a careful review of a tax question with our clients provides a satisfactory solution pursuant to which we can render our unqualified tax exemption opinion without the delay and uncertainty that often results from presenting the question to the Internal Revenue Service. When, however, in order to best achieve the objectives of clients it has been necessary to present questions relating to a tax-exempt financing to the Treasury Department or the Internal Revenue Service, we have had extensive and successful experience in dealing with those organizations at all levels and obtaining letter rulings. By way of illustration, we obtained a favorable letter ruling from the Internal Revenue Service for a city in the southwest permitting it to enter into a management contract with a private operator under which the fixed component of the compensation may be adjusted based on the volume of wastewater discharged by the city. The ruling gave the city more flexibility than would have been permitted under the safe harbor

management contract guidelines. We also obtained a letter ruling permitting a water financing issuer, due to unexpected construction delays, to invest bond proceeds in higher yielding obligations after the end of the original estimated construction period, until the completion of the project.

The firm also has unique expertise, insight, and experience in the expanding area of IRS audits of tax-exempt bonds. As you may be aware, there has been a significant increase in the number and frequency of IRS tax audits of local governments in recent years. We have taken steps, and continue to take steps, to ensure that our clients are well protected at the time of issuance and well represented in the event of an audit. We have played a leading role in achieving satisfactory resolutions for State and local governmental clients on some of the most complex and contentious IRS audits of tax-exempt bonds to date. Tax partners at our firm have served as consultants to other tax counsel and to the IRS on a variety of technical tax issues raised in both complex audits and the IRS audit program generally for tax-exempt bonds.

Our firm also has significant expertise in representing and advising municipalities and school districts in New York State, in audit and information document requests (“IDRs”) matters due, in part, to the fact that we participate in a significant number of bond, note and lease financings each year for our municipal and school district clients. As a result, we handle a substantial number of audits and IDRs randomly initiated by the IRS each year for our New York municipal and school district clients. The size and formidable reputation of our tax department, and the in-depth analytical experience and expertise of our Financial Services Group, are a powerful combination in facilitating the audit and IDR processes and helping our clients reach favorable resolutions. We have assisted more than a dozen of our municipal and school district clients in New York State with audits and IDRs and our clients have received the desired “no change” in tax-exempt status conclusions for those investigations that have been concluded.

Arbitrage Rebate and Related Services. Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP is one of the few law firms to maintain a group of financial analysts to provide calculations related to tax exempt financings. The services that our Financial Analysis & Services Group (“FAS”) provides are a natural extension of the public finance department and provide our issuer clients with the highest level of comfort regarding tax law compliance. Based in the firm’s New York office, FAS consists of non-attorney professionals who work closely with the tax department to provide arbitrage rebate and other related services to issuer clients. FAS analysts have the benefit of working with some of the most experienced tax and bond attorneys in the industry and each has developed a broad and thorough understanding of public finance. Many of our tax attorneys are experienced in performing arbitrage and other tax-exempt calculations. FAS maintains up-to-date computer hardware and software with the capability to perform the necessary arbitrage rebate calculations to accommodate transactions of all types and levels of complexity, as well as changes in the tax law. Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP’s financial analysts, under the guidance of the tax department, essentially translate the rules of the tax law into usable mathematical models. This software, which was developed in-house, encompasses the entire range of calculations that are necessary or incidental to tax-exempt bond financings

and arbitrage rebate compliance, including bond yields (single and composite issues), present and future value analysis, and debt service, refunding and bond structuring analysis.

This in-house merger of legal, financial, and computer expertise broadens the capabilities of the firm and allows Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP to provide efficient, cost-effective analytical services of the highest caliber. The County will continue to have complete access to FAS and its resources for arbitrage rebate and yield restriction calculations for various types of transactions on behalf of the County.

* * *

Resumes of Individuals to be Assigned to this Engagement

The professional staff members who would be directly assigned to this engagement would consist of William J. Jackson, Robert P. Smith, Daniel G. Birmingham, Zachary Polo, Luisella Wilke and Brian Garzione. Mr. Jackson would be the lead partner, having day-to-day responsibility for County matters. Mr. Jackson would be assisted on bond matters by Mr. Smith, Mr. Birmingham and Mr. Polo. Ms. Wilke would have primary responsibility for any tax-related expertise and services. Mr. Garzione would be responsible for handling all SEC disclosure-related matters.

It is expected that the percentage of total time allotted to this engagement would be as follows: Mr. Jackson (60%), Mr. Smith (15%), Mr. Polo (15%), Ms. Wilke (5%), Mr. Garzione (2.5%) and Mr. Birmingham (2.5%).

In addition, the firm would commit with enthusiasm and dedication any other partners and associates required to counsel the County for any specific purposes. We can assure you that no other concurrent engagement will adversely impact on the availability of individuals of the firm needed by the County for an assignment.

BOND ATTORNEYS

William J. Jackson - New York Office - (212) 820-9620 - wjackson@hawkins.com

Preparatory Education - St. John's University, B.A. 1995 and M.A. 1997; Legal Education - Hofstra University School of Law, J.D. 2004. Mr. Jackson joined the Firm in 2004 as an associate in the New York local government and school district finance practice group, and was admitted to the partnership in 2015.

Prior to joining the firm, Mr. Jackson was an assistant vice president at a large national financial advisory firm, which served state and local governments, including school districts. As a financial advisor, Mr. Jackson assisted with the issuance of over \$6 billion in temporary and permanent tax-exempt financings. As an attorney and a former financial advisor,

he has gained significant experience and expertise in the field of local government and school district financings.

Since joining the firm, Mr. Jackson has been involved with various property tax-supported bond and note issues involving counties, cities, towns, villages, school districts and fire districts in the State of New York, including the counties of Orange, Westchester and Suffolk; the cities of Binghamton, Buffalo, Glen Cove, Johnstown, Port Jervis and Yonkers; the towns of Brookhaven, Chenango, Clarence, Clarkstown, East Hampton, Haverstraw, Islip, Kirkwood, Monroe, North Hempstead, Southold and Woodbury; the villages of Blasdell, East Williston, Great Neck Estates, Hempstead, Kings Point, Lindenhurst, Mt. Kisco, Rockville Centre, South Blooming Grove, Westbury and Woodbury and many fire districts throughout New York State.

Mr. Jackson is admitted to practice in the State of New York and the Commonwealth of Massachusetts and is a member of the New York State Bar Association and the New York State Government Finance Officers Association. He is also a member of the GFOA Legal and Legislative Committee

Mr. Jackson has served as an adjunct instructor at St. John's University and is formerly the Editor-in-Chief of the *Hofstra Labor & Employment Law Journal*, Volume 21. Mr. Jackson is the co-author of "*The Graduate Assistant Labor Movement, NYU and its Aftermath: A Study of the Attitudes of Graduate Teaching and Research Assistants at Seven Universities*" 21 HOFSTRA LAB. & EMP. L.J. 753 (2004).

Robert P. Smith - New York Office - (212) 820-9662 - rsmith@hawkins.com

Mr. Smith became a partner at Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP in 1999 and was elected to the firm's Management Committee in 2010. Mr. Smith was an associate attorney in the firm's New York General Obligations Group from 1992 to 1999. Prior to practicing law, Mr. Smith served as a comptroller at Manufacturers Hanover Trust Company in the Risk Management & Insurance Group. He also worked as an associate in the tax department at the public accounting firm of Touche Ross (later known as Deloitte & Touche). Mr. Smith practiced banking, real estate and municipal law prior to joining Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP.

Mr. Smith devotes virtually all of his legal practice to advising municipal, governmental and quasi-governmental clients with respect to financing matters and has gained considerable experience and expertise in the field of municipal finance, local government bond and note issues and related matters. Mr. Smith has served as bond counsel to numerous general obligation bond and note issuers, including counties, cities, towns, villages, school districts, fire districts, public authorities, improvement districts and other public entities in the State of New York. Mr. Smith has also served as bond counsel to local authorities with respect to revenue bond issues and has served as counsel to the underwriters on many transactions.

Mr. Smith serves on the Board of Governors of the New York State Government Finance Officers Association (GFOA). He is also the Co-Chair of the GFOA Legal and

Legislative Committee. Mr. Smith serves on the Executive Committee of Local and State Government Law Section of the New York State Bar Association. He is periodically called upon to address certain professional organizations on matters relating to public finance, including the New York State Government Finance Officers Association (GFOA), the New York Conference of Mayors and Municipal Officers (NYCOM) and the Association of Towns of the State of New York (AOT). He is also a member of the County Attorney's Association of the State of New York (CAASNY) and the National Association of Bond Lawyers.

Daniel G. Birmingham - New York Office - (212) 820-9563 - dbirmingham@hawkins.com

Preparatory Education - The Catholic University of America, B.A. 1990; Legal Education - The Columbus School of Law at the Catholic University of America, J.D. 1995. Mr. Birmingham joined the firm in 1998 as an associate in the New York local government and school district finance practice group.

Prior to joining the firm, Mr. Birmingham was the Deputy County Executive of Putnam County, New York, as well as Chairman of the Putnam County Industrial Development Agency. Since joining the firm, Mr. Birmingham has been involved with various general obligation bond and note issues involving counties, cities, towns, villages, school districts and fire districts in the State of New York, including the Counties of Erie, Orange, Putnam, Rockland and Westchester; the Cities of Glen Cove, Port Jervis and Tonawanda; the Towns of Blooming Grove, Chester, Cornwall, Deerpark, Eastchester, Highlands, Kent, New Windsor and Orangetown; the Villages of Brewster, Maybrook and Red Hook.

Mr. Birmingham is a member of the New York State Bar Association, the New York State Government Finance Officers Association and the New York State Association of Counties.

Zachary Polo - New York Office - (212) 820-9458 - zpolo@hawkins.com

Preparatory Education – SUNY Fredonia, B.S.; Legal Education – The University of South Carolina School of Law – J.D.. Mr. Polo joined the firm in 2021 as an associate in the New York local government and school district finance practice group. Mr. Polo is admitted to practice in the State of New York and has practiced law for three years.

Prior to joining the firm, Mr. Polo gained experience in legal research and related matters as a summer law clerk and as a legal intern in the Law Department of the City of Dunkirk. Mr. Polo has served as bond counsel on numerous general obligation bond and note issues involving cities, counties, towns, villages and school districts in New York State in the course of his employment with the firm. Mr. Polo is a member of the New York State Bar Association, the National Association of Bond Lawyers and the New York State Government Finance Officers Association.

TAX ATTORNEY

Luisella Wilke – Washington D.C. Office - (202) 682-1492 - lwilke@hawkins.com

Preparatory Education – Guilford College B.S.; Legal Education – University of Baltimore School of Law, J.D.; Georgetown University Law Center, LL.M. in Taxation. Ms. Wilke focuses her practice on federal tax laws and regulations related to tax-exempt financings. She has practiced law for 20 years and joined the firm in 2024. Ms. Wilke has extensive experience in public finance matters involving Section 103 of the Internal Revenue Code and routinely represents clients in audits before the IRS concerning a wide variety of public finance issues. Ms. Wilke's practice includes representing local governments as well as commercial lending institutions, developers, and investors in various financing and leasing projects, including public-private partnership transactions. She also has a significant background in 501(c)(3) and governmental tax-exempt financing transactions. Additionally, Ms. Wilke has experience working with the U.S. Department of Treasury and the IRS when preparing, presenting, and obtaining IRS private letter rulings on complex tax matters on behalf of her clients. Ms. Wilke also serves as a post-issuance resource for her clients, providing comprehensive tax services after a transaction ends, including private use and exempt facility qualification.

Ms. Wilke has primary responsibility for tax advice and analysis regarding all of our local government bond counsel clients in New York State.

DISCLOSURE ATTORNEY

Brian Garzione - Washington D.C. Office – (202) 682-1493 - bgarzione@hawkins.com

Preparatory Education - Loyola College of Maryland, B.A., *magna cum laude*, 2001; Legal Education - Pace University School of Law, J.D., *summa cum laude*, 2004. Mr. Garzione joined Hawkins as an associate in July 2010 and became partner in 2016. He is resident in the Firm's Washington, D.C. office. Since joining the Firm, Mr. Garzione has represented issuers and underwriters in a variety of public finance transactions, including, among others, capital project, working capital, transportation, student loan, and charter school financings. Mr. Garzione frequently serves as Disclosure Counsel and Underwriter's Counsel and also assists in the Firm's representation of USDOT. Mr. Garzione's practice involves drafting official statements, offering memoranda, loan agreements, and other documentation associated with public financings, as well as advising clients on the federal securities laws.

Mr. Garzione serves as Disclosure Counsel to the City of Philadelphia, the District of Columbia, the Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority, and the U.S. Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority. In connection with these engagements, Mr. Garzione assists in the drafting of the official statements for various bond offerings and participates in all working group and due diligence meetings for such offerings.

Prior to joining Hawkins, Mr. Garzione was an associate at Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy LLP in New York where his practice focused on mergers and acquisitions and private equity transactions, as well as securities and general corporate law. He is a member of the bar in the State of New York and the District of Columbia and a member of NABL.

Mr. Garzione has primary responsibility for assisting our local government and school district bond counsel clients in New York State on matters involving Official Statements, disclosure and the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC).

References

William C. Craine
County Treasurer
County of Chenango
5 Court Street
Norwich, NY 13815
(607) 337-1414

Karin Hablow
Commissioner of Finance
County of Westchester
148 Martine Avenue
White Plains, NY 10601
(914) 995-2761

John M. Kennedy, Jr.
County Comptroller
County of Suffolk
H. Lee Dennison Building
100 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, New York 11788
(631) 852-5284

John Liszewski
Commissioner of Finance
City of Yonkers
1 Larkin Center
Yonkers, New York 10701
(914) 377-6160

Gregg Szymanski, CPA
Investment and Debt
Management Officer
City of Buffalo
65 Niagara Square
Buffalo, New York 14202
(716) 851-5255

Steven Pollack
Director of Gov't Research
Town of North Hempstead
220 Plandome Road
Manhasset, New York 11030
(516) 869-2904

Rebecca Hansen
Town Budget Officer
Town of East Hampton
159 Pantigo Road
East Hampton, New York 11937
(631) 907-8719

Charles Robinson
Comptroller
City of Binghamton
38 Hawley Street
Binghamton, New York 14456
(607) 772-7011

Mathew Gallagher, Esq.
Corporation Counsel
City of Yonkers
40 South Broadway
Yonkers, New York 10701
(914) 377-3005

Additional Information

The County of Albany is an important and significant county. It should have the highest quality bond counsel service. At Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP, public finance is the main focus of our practice. We have a strong reputation among our peers in the municipal finance community which includes financial institutions, law firms, financial advisors and public finance officers. We are known as a firm of professionalism and integrity, which provides high quality, timely and cost-effective bond counsel advice.

We enjoy a reputation as a firm that works effectively and efficiently, a firm that does not duplicate the efforts of others on a financing or create unnecessary and costly work. We

are a firm that anticipates the issues and problems that may arise in a financing, and we provide solutions to such issues and problems in a professional and timely manner. Accordingly, we believe that there is no other law firm that is in a position to better understand the County's unique projects, fiscal situation and initiatives.

If selected as bond counsel, we would create an efficient system for working with the more routine capital and cash flow needs of the County. For example, we would develop an electronic master spreadsheet containing information about all of the County's bond resolutions. Our spreadsheet would include information relating to bond resolutions that we prepared as bond counsel to the County, as well as those prepared by prior bond counsel. To our knowledge, no other bond counsel firm commits to undertake and maintain such a demanding project. Having this information available in our office would enable us to work very effectively and efficiently. It also provided a computer-based system for checking bond authorization status for any particular project and confirming the details of such status against the County's own records at any time.

We would also institute workable policies and procedures with various County departments that would enable us to receive necessary information for the preparation of bond resolutions in a timely and cost-effective manner. This would include the accumulation of project information, copies of prior bond resolutions, prior and current appropriating resolutions, SEQRA review documentation and other information that is essential to the bond authorization process.

As with all of our clients, we would implement federal tax review policies and procedures which are critical to any tax-exempt financing program. We would hold due diligence telephone conference calls with County staff members and review County contracts in order to ascertain that there is no excessive private use of County facilities which could impact upon the tax-exempt status of County's bonds or notes. Our tax attorneys will spend time and effort reviewing both existing and new projects in terms of private use, expected useful life and other factors relating to federal tax-exemption. Each of these factors, as well as qualitative judgment, would be applied to the County's capital financing program which would allow us to assemble a reliable matrix of information that would provide a basis for analyzing federal tax matters. The construction of this matrix would be undertaken at no additional cost to the County and would remain in place in our office ready for updating as necessary.

In short, we believe our credentials as presented herein, our responses to your questions and our experience with, and commitment to, similar governmental entities, will support the conclusion that Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP should be selected to provide bond counsel services to the County of Albany.

* * *

SECTION III

Experience and References

The following is a list of all general obligation bond and note financings in New York State in which the firm has served as bond counsel during the last three (3) years.

\$0-\$1 Million

Sale Date	Name of Issuer	Name of Issue	Amount (,000)
1/13/2022	Great Neck Plaza-New York	BANs	\$0.40
1/13/2022	Nyack-New York	Public Improvement Serial Bonds	0.61
1/25/2022	North Hempstead Town-New York	BANs	0.56
2/1/2022	Islip Town-New York	BANs	0.72
2/2/2022	Johnson City Village-New York	BANs	0.55
2/2/2022	New Hyde Park-New York	Public Improvement Serial Bonds	0.29
2/2/2022	Putnam Co (Mahopac) CSD	BANs	0.88
2/15/2022	Westchester Co (Briarcliff-Manor) UFSD	BANs	0.35
2/17/2022	Freeport Village-New York	BANs	0.71
2/24/2022	Mount Kisco Village-New York	BANs	0.96
3/1/2022	Lancaster Village-New York	Public Improvement Serial Bonds	0.75
3/9/2022	Smithtown Town-New York	Public Improvement Serial Bonds	0.53
3/16/2022	Monroe Village-New York	Public Improvement Serial Bonds	0.21
3/16/2022	North Hempstead Town-New York	GO Public Improvement Bonds	0.42
3/31/2022	South Blooming Grove Vlg-New York	BANs	0.10
4/5/2022	Great Neck Plaza-New York	BANs	0.40
4/5/2022	Laurens Fire District	Fire District Serial Bonds	0.12
4/5/2022	Woodbury-New York	BANs	0.49
4/14/2022	Goshen-New York	BANs	0.67
4/14/2022	Jamesport Fire District	Fire District Serial Bonds	0.93
5/11/2022	Brewster Village-New York	BANs for Road Improvements	0.90
5/19/2022	Washingtonville Fire Dept	Fire District Serial Bonds	1.00
6/8/2022	Westbury Village-New York	Public Improvement Bonds	0.75
6/21/2022	Albany Co (Guilderland) CSD	BANs	0.22
7/7/2022	Gowanda-New York	BANs	0.22
7/20/2022	Florida-New York	Public Improvement Bonds	0.75
7/26/2022	Manchester Town-New York	BANs	0.55
8/2/2022	Highland Falls-New York	BANs	0.25
8/5/2022	Washingtonville Vlg-New York	BANs	0.95
9/7/2022	West Hampton Dunes-New York	Public Improvement Bonds	0.45
9/13/2022	Rockland Co (Nanuet) UFSD	BANs	0.95
9/15/2022	Cornwall Town-New York	BANs	0.86
10/4/2022	Westchester Co (Croton-Harmon) UFSD	BANs	0.55
10/25/2022	Highland Falls-New York	BANs	0.39
10/27/2022	North Hempstead Town-New York	BANs	0.56

Sale Date	Name of Issuer	Name of Issue	Amount (,000)
11/2/2022	Suffolk Co (Springs) UFSD	BANs	0.36
11/9/2022	Monroe Village-New York	Public Improvement Bonds	0.68
11/15/2022	Franklinville Town-New York	BANs	0.49
11/29/2022	Piermont-New York	BANs	0.29
11/29/2022	Piermont-New York	Various Purpose Bonds	0.88
11/29/2022	Pomona Village-New York	BANs	0.80
12/7/2022	Port Jefferson-New York	BANs	0.95
12/8/2022	Suffolk Co (Center Moriches) UFSD	BANs	0.55
1/5/2023	Steuben Co (Corning) CSD	BANs	0.69
1/12/2023	Islandia Village- New York	Public Improvement Bonds	0.99
2/1/2023	Islip Town-New York	BANs	0.54
2/2/2023	Johnson City Village-New York	BANs	0.45
2/15/2023	Freeport Village-New York	BANs	0.85
2/15/2023	Greenwood Lake-New York	BANs	0.20
2/23/2023	Mount Kisco Village-New York	BANs	0.90
3/14/2023	North Hempstead Town-New York	GO Public Improvement Bonds	0.40
4/5/2023	Great Neck Plaza-New York	BANs	0.27
4/5/2023	Woodbury-New York	BANs	0.47
5/2/2023	Brewster Village-New York	BANs	0.82
5/3/2023	Lynbrook Village-New York	BANs	0.90
6/1/2023	Lake Success Village-New York	Public Improvement Bonds	0.72
6/29/2023	Greenwood Lake-New York	BANs	1.00
7/18/2023	Build NYC Resource Corp	Revenue Bonds	0.46
7/18/2023	Franklinville Town-New York	BANs	0.48
7/26/2023	Highland Falls-New York	BANs	0.08
8/3/2023	Red Hook Village-New York	BANs	0.22
8/29/2023	Kent-New York	BANs	0.60
8/30/2023	Pocantico Fire Dt	Fire District Bonds	0.98
9/28/2023	Westchester Co (Croton-Harmon) UFSD	BANs for School Buses & Vehicles	0.73
10/4/2023	Napanoch Fire Dt	Fire District Bonds	0.23
10/25/2023	Gowanda-New York	BANs	0.92
10/26/2023	Suffolk Co (Springs) UFSD	BANs	0.35
11/1/2023	Floral Park Vlg-New York	Public Improvement Bonds	0.71
11/1/2023	Freeport Village-New York	BANs	0.42
11/1/2023	South Floral Park Vlg-New York	Public Improvement Bonds	0.65
11/16/2023	Piermont-New York	BANs	0.73
11/28/2023	Bolivar-New York	BANs	1.00
11/29/2023	Rockland Co (Nanuet) UFSD	Building Bonds	0.23
12/5/2023	Suffolk Co (Center Moriches) UFSD	BANs	0.45
1/16/2024	Cooperstown-New York	Public Improvement Serial Bonds	0.85
1/17/2024	Suffolk Co (Riverhead) CSD	BANs	0.78
1/18/2024	Deposit Village-New York	Public Improvement Serial Bonds	0.28
1/24/2024	Steuben Co (Corning) CSD	BANs	0.85
1/30/2024	Islip Town-New York	BANs	0.36

Sale Date	Name of Issuer	Name of Issue	Amount (,000)
2/1/2024	Johnson City Village-New York	BANs	0.35
2/6/2024	Red Hook Village-New York	Public Improvement Serial Bonds	0.05
2/15/2024	Greenwood Lake-New York	BANs	0.16
2/15/2024	Westchester Co (Valhalla) UFSD	BANs	0.38
2/21/2024	Hillburn-New York	BANs	0.44
2/22/2024	Freeport Village-New York	BANs	0.59
3/14/2024	Suffolk Co (Three Village) CSD	School District Bonds	0.38
3/19/2024	Old Brookville Vlg-New York	BANs	0.94
4/2/2024	Bennington Town-New York	BANs	0.35
4/3/2024	Woodbury-New York	BANs	0.46
5/1/2024	Brewster Village-New York	Public Improvement Bonds	0.49
5/1/2024	West Clinton Fire Dt	Fire District Serial Bonds	0.70
5/7/2024	Lynbrook Village-New York	BANs	0.90
5/16/2024	Greenwood Lake-New York	BANs	0.25
6/13/2024	Suffolk Co (West Babylon) UFSD	BANs	0.75
7/9/2024	Cornwall Town-New York	Pub Improvement Serial Bonds	0.33
7/16/2024	Franklinville Town-New York	BANs	0.66
8/1/2024	Islandia Village- New York	Public Improvement Serial Bonds	0.70
8/29/2024	Kent-New York	BANs	0.60
9/5/2024	Kaser Village-New York	BANs	0.25
9/26/2024	Westchester Co (Croton-Harmon) UFSD	BANs for School Buses & Vehicles	0.50
10/16/2024	Chester Town-New York	BANs	0.99
10/17/2024	Islandia Village- New York	Public Improvement Bonds	0.50
10/24/2024	Holley Village-New York	BANs	0.33
10/29/2024	Suffolk Co (Springs) UFSD	BANs	0.22
11/14/2024	New Hampton Fire Dt	Fire District Serial Bonds	0.20
11/20/2024	Steuben Co (Corning) CSD	BANs	0.94
11/21/2024	Bolivar-New York	BANs	1.00
11/21/2024	Cronomer Valley Fire Dt	Fire District Serial Bonds	0.95
11/21/2024	Sullivan Co (Ellenville) CSD	Serial Bonds	0.99
11/26/2024	Piermont-New York	BANs	0.91
12/4/2024	Suffolk Co (Center Moriches) UFSD	BANs	0.35
12/17/2024	Monroe Town-New York	BANs	0.13
1/7/2025	West Haverstraw Vlg-New York	BANs	0.58
1/22/2025	Haverstraw Village-New York	BANs	0.50
1/29/2025	Islip Town-New York	BANs	0.18
1/31/2025	Johnson City Village-New York	BANs	0.25
2/4/2025	South Blooming Grove Vlg-New York	BANs	0.70
2/11/2025	Washingtonville Vlg-New York	Public Improvement Serial Bonds	0.19
2/11/2025	Woodridge Village-New York	Public Improvement Bonds	0.40
2/12/2025	Greenwood Lake-New York	BANs	0.46
2/13/2025	Nassau Co (Valley Stream) CHSD	School District Serial Bonds	0.89
2/19/2025	Freeport Village-New York	BANs	0.49
2/19/2025	Hillburn-New York	Public Improvement Serial Bonds	0.34

Sale Date	Name of Issuer	Name of Issue	Amount (,000)
2/25/2025	Rockland Co (Nanuet) UFSD	BANs	0.58
2/27/2025	Albany Co (Voorheesville) CSD	School District Bonds	0.35
3/13/2025	Old Brookville Vlg-New York	BANs	0.87
3/26/2025	Bennington Town-New York	Public Improvement Serial Bonds	0.63

\$1 Million-\$9.999 Million

Sale Date	Name of Issuer	Name of Issue	Amount (,000)
1/13/2022	Cooperstown-New York	BANs	\$5.50
1/13/2022	Great Neck Plaza-New York	BANs	1.10
1/19/2022	Cortlandville-New York	General Obligation BANs	3.82
1/27/2022	Lloyd Town-New York	BANs	1.62
1/27/2022	Westchester Co (Ardsley) UFSD	BANs	4.99
1/27/2022	Williston Park-New York	Refunding Serial Bonds	2.01
2/10/2022	Nyack-New York	BANs	2.30
2/17/2022	Blind Brook-Rye UFSD	School District Serial Bonds	5.54
2/17/2022	Freeport Village-New York	BANs	1.10
2/22/2022	Nanuet Fire Dt	Fire Dt Refunding Serial Bonds	9.21
2/22/2022	Woodridge Village-New York	BANs	3.94
2/24/2022	Putnam Co (Carmel) CSD	School District Ref Bonds	3.68
2/24/2022	Sands Point-New York	Public Improvement Serial Bonds	9.55
3/2/2022	Westchester Co (Briarcliff-Manor) UFSD	GO School Dt Refunding Bonds	5.62
3/9/2022	Huntington Centerport Fire Dt	Fire District Serial Bonds	1.90
3/9/2022	Smithtown Town-New York	Public Improvement Serial Bonds	4.33
3/9/2022	Yonkers City-New York	General Obligation Serial Bonds	7.08
3/15/2022	Moleston Fire Dt	Fire Dt Refunding Serial Bonds	7.42
3/15/2022	Nassau Co (Seaford) UFSD	School District Refunding Bonds	2.47
3/15/2022	Nyack-New York	BANs	3.00
3/15/2022	Nyack-New York	BANs	3.88
3/16/2022	Old Brookville Vlg-New York	BANs	1.00
3/17/2022	Mastic Beach Fire District	Fire District Serial Bonds	2.00
3/17/2022	Tuxedo-New York	Refunding Serial Bonds	2.29
3/23/2022	Suffolk Co (East Moriches) UFSD	School District Refunding Bonds	5.53
3/29/2022	South Spring Valley Fire Dt	Fire District Ref Serial Bonds	3.54
4/5/2022	Highland Falls-New York	Public Improvement Ref Bonds	1.15
4/6/2022	Kings Point Vlg-New York	GO Various Purpose BANs	3.90
4/7/2022	Westchester Co (Tuckahoe) UFSD	BANs	9.80
4/12/2022	Cooperstown-New York	BANs	1.75
4/12/2022	Port Jefferson-New York	BANs	5.20
4/19/2022	Freeport Village-New York	BANs	1.22
4/19/2022	Freeport Village-New York	BANs	8.40
4/19/2022	Freeport Village-New York	Public Improvement Bonds	2.79

Sale Date	Name of Issuer	Name of Issue	Amount (,000)
4/20/2022	Nassau Co (North Shore) CSD	BANs	5.00
4/20/2022	Sands Point-New York	Public Improvement Serial Bonds	1.86
4/20/2022	Westchester Co (Peekskill) SD	GO School District Bonds	8.62
4/26/2022	Cold Spring Vlg-New York	BANs	2.13
4/26/2022	Islip Town-New York	BANs	2.83
4/26/2022	Islip Town-New York	Refunding Bonds	6.64
5/3/2022	Haverstraw Town-New York	BANs	4.41
5/10/2022	Monroe Village-New York	BANs	1.01
5/11/2022	Lancaster Village-New York	BANs	1.50
5/12/2022	Mount Kisco Village-New York	BANs	9.50
5/19/2022	Central Islip Fire Dt	BANs	5.15
5/25/2022	Glen Cove City-New York	BANs	1.11
5/26/2022	Nassau Co (W Hempstead) UFSD	BANs	6.00
6/2/2022	Lake Success Village-New York	BANs	1.04
6/2/2022	Suffolk Co (Hauppauge) UFSD	BANs	8.50
6/7/2022	New Windsor Town-New York	Public Improvement Serial Bonds	8.52
6/8/2022	Westchester Co (Briarcliff-Manor) UFSD	BANs	6.00
6/9/2022	Brookhaven-Comsewogue UFSD	School District Bonds	4.50
6/9/2022	Rockland Co BOCES	RANs	5.00
6/14/2022	Nassau Co (Great Neck) UFSD	School District Bonds	6.22
6/14/2022	Suffolk Co (Greenport) UFSD	BANs	5.00
6/14/2022	Suffolk Co (Greenport) UFSD	BANs	4.00
6/15/2022	Newburgh City-New York	BANs	9.50
6/15/2022	Newburgh City-New York	BANs	4.59
6/21/2022	Westchester Co (Tuckahoe) UFSD	TANs	1.60
6/23/2022	Sayville Fire Dt	Fire District Serial Bonds	8.95
6/28/2022	Putnam Co (Brewster) CSD	BANs	2.27
6/29/2022	Newstead Town-New York	BANs	2.79
7/12/2022	Cornwall Town-New York	BANs	2.03
7/13/2022	Hempstead Village-New York	BANs	4.25
7/14/2022	Huntington Town-New York	Public Improvement Ref Bonds	5.77
7/14/2022	Putnam Co (Mahopac) CSD	Library Serial Bonds	3.15
7/19/2022	South Blooming Grove Vlg-New York	BANs	1.80
7/20/2022	Goshen-New York	BANs	1.50
7/21/2022	Franklin Co (Malone) CSD	General Obligation BANs	1.81
7/26/2022	Monroe Village-New York	BANs	2.75
7/26/2022	Nassau Co (Bethpage) UFSD	School District Bonds	6.68
7/26/2022	Nassau Co (Bethpage) UFSD	BANs	1.80
8/2/2022	East Hampton Village-New York	Public Improvement Bonds	6.83
8/4/2022	Glen Cove City-New York	BANs	1.68
8/4/2022	Glen Cove City-New York	Public Improvement Bonds	3.28
8/4/2022	Westchester Co (Lakeland) CSD	General Obligation BANs	6.80
8/9/2022	East Hampton Town-New York	Public Improvement Bonds	6.73
8/16/2022	Inwood Fire Dt	Fire District Bonds	4.80

Sale Date	Name of Issuer	Name of Issue	Amount (,000)
8/16/2022	Suffolk Co (Bridgehampton) UFSD	TANs	4.70
8/17/2022	Suffolk Co (Miller Place) UFSD	School District Bonds	5.00
8/18/2022	Nassau Co (Roslyn) UFSD	BANs	1.36
8/23/2022	Bullville Fire District	Fire District Bonds	4.50
8/25/2022	Eastchester Town-New York	Public Improvement Bonds	3.95
8/25/2022	Suffolk Co (Riverhead) CSD	BANs	2.38
8/25/2022	Westchester (Edgemont) UFSD	BANs	3.80
8/30/2022	Alden-New York	BANs	3.85
8/30/2022	Nassau Co (Seaford) UFSD	TANs	5.00
8/30/2022	Ontario Co (Victor) CSD	BANs	2.27
8/31/2022	Sag Harbor Village-New York	Public Improvement Bonds	1.85
9/1/2022	Saratoga Co (Ballston Spa) CSD	General Obligation BANs	2.90
9/1/2022	Saratoga Co (Ballston Spa) CSD	General Obligation BANs	2.96
9/7/2022	Haverstraw Village-New York	BANs	2.07
9/7/2022	Haverstraw Village-New York	BANs	2.00
9/7/2022	Nassau Co (North Merrick) UFSD	TANs	2.00
9/8/2022	Johnson City Village-New York	General Obligation BANs	1.94
9/8/2022	Suffolk Co (Rocky Point) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	3.00
9/13/2022	Nassau Co (Herricks) UFSD	TANs	6.50
9/13/2022	Suffolk Co (E Hampton) UFSD	TANs	6.00
9/14/2022	Suffolk Co (Springs) UFSD	TANs	6.00
9/15/2022	Nassau Co (Mineola) UFSD	TANs	3.35
9/21/2022	Highland Falls-New York	BANs	3.00
9/21/2022	Suffolk Co (East Quogue) UFSD	TANs	5.00
9/27/2022	Nassau Co (East Williston) UFSD	TANs	3.00
9/27/2022	Nassau Co (North Shore) CSD	TANs	6.50
9/27/2022	Nassau Co (Wantagh) UFSD	TANs	3.30
9/27/2022	Suffolk Co (Babylon) UFSD	TANs	8.00
9/27/2022	Suffolk Co (Sag Harbor) UFSD	TANs	9.00
9/28/2022	Nassau Co (Manhasset) UFSD	TANs	9.00
9/28/2022	Port Jefferson-New York	BANs	1.84
9/29/2022	Suffolk Co (Center Moriches) UFSD	TANs	9.00
10/4/2022	Suffolk Co (Elwood) UFSD	TANs	7.50
10/6/2022	Nassau Co (Malverne) UFSD	TANs	3.50
10/11/2022	Oyster Bay-East Norwich CSD	BANs	5.20
10/11/2022	Oyster Bay-East Norwich CSD	TANs	4.50
10/12/2022	Chester Town-New York	BANs	1.18
10/12/2022	Remsenburg-Speonk UFSD	TANs	1.20
10/19/2022	Suffolk Co (Shelter Island) UFSD	TANs	1.50
10/20/2022	Dutchess Co (Arlington) CSD	BANs	2.13
10/25/2022	Suffolk Co (Greenport) UFSD	TANs	3.50
10/27/2022	Gowanda-New York	BANs	1.28
11/1/2022	Suffolk Co (Montauk) UFSD	TANs	2.10
11/1/2022	Westchester Co (Bedford) CSD	General Obligation BANs	2.00

Sale Date	Name of Issuer	Name of Issue	Amount (,000)
11/2/2022	Freeport Village-New York	BANs	5.91
11/2/2022	Islip Town-New York	BANs	2.50
11/9/2022	Monroe Village-New York	BANs	1.84
11/9/2022	New Hyde Park-New York	Public Improvement Bonds	1.43
11/17/2022	Central Islip Fire Dt	Fire District Bonds	8.88
11/17/2022	Suffolk Co (Central Islip) UFSD	TANs	6.00
11/17/2022	Suffolk Co (Southold) UFSD	TANs	4.00
11/29/2022	Suffolk Co (East Moriches) UFSD	TANs	1.00
12/1/2022	Suffolk Co (Longwood) CSD	School District Bonds	9.78
12/1/2022	Yorktown Heights Fire Dt	Fire District Bonds	3.00
12/6/2022	Suffolk Co (Southampton) UFSD	TANs	4.00
12/15/2022	Newburgh City-New York	BANs	9.50
1/10/2023	Highland Falls-New York	BANs	2.40
1/12/2023	Cortlandville-New York	General Obligation BANs	3.64
1/17/2023	Cooperstown-New York	BANs	5.19
1/24/2023	Farmingdale Village-New York	BANs	8.38
1/25/2023	Quogue Village-New York	Public Improvement Bonds	2.85
1/26/2023	Lloyd Town-New York	BANs	4.50
1/31/2023	Westchester Co (Ardsley) UFSD	School District Bonds	3.60
2/1/2023	Cheektowaga Town-New York	Various Purpose Bonds	9.60
2/7/2023	Lowville Village-New York	BANs	5.65
2/9/2023	Nyack-New York	BANs	9.06
2/15/2023	Freeport Village-New York	BANs	1.04
2/21/2023	Woodridge Village-New York	BANs	4.37
3/1/2023	Westchester (Pleasantville) UFSD	GO School District Bonds	2.00
3/9/2023	Smithtown Town-New York	Public Improvement Bonds	5.37
3/16/2023	Newburgh City-New York	BANs	9.50
3/16/2023	Vails Gate Fire Dt	BANs	7.00
3/23/2023	Westchester Co (New Rochelle) SD	BANs	5.40
3/23/2023	Westchester Co (New Rochelle) SD	School District Serial Bonds	6.58
4/4/2023	South Blooming Grove Vlg-New York	BANs	1.56
4/5/2023	Great Neck Plaza-New York	BANs	2.64
4/6/2023	Cooperstown-New York	BANs	1.07
4/12/2023	Port Jefferson-New York	BANs	5.00
4/12/2023	Suffolk Co (Cold Spr Harbor) CSD	School District Bonds	9.00
4/13/2023	Goshen-New York	BANs	1.86
4/13/2023	Nassau Co (North Shore) CSD	School District Serial Bonds	2.70
4/13/2023	Suffolk Co (Elwood) UFSD	School District Bonds	7.52
4/18/2023	Freeport Village-New York	BANs	1.86
4/18/2023	Freeport Village-New York	BANs	9.46
4/19/2023	Malverne-New York	BANs	2.50
4/25/2023	Cold Spring Vlg-New York	BANs	2.20
4/25/2023	Cornwall Town-New York	BANs	1.16
4/25/2023	Islip Town-New York	BANs	5.29

Sale Date	Name of Issuer	Name of Issue	Amount (,000)
4/26/2023	Haverstraw Town-New York	BANs	4.21
5/2/2023	New Hampton Fire Dt	Fire District Serial Bonds	7.10
5/3/2023	Lynbrook Village-New York	BANs	1.42
5/11/2023	Lancaster Village-New York	BANs	1.40
5/11/2023	Sag Harbor Village-New York	Public Improvement Bonds	1.83
5/18/2023	Mount Kisco Village-New York	BANs	9.50
5/23/2023	Erie Co (Williamsville) CSD	BANs	6.73
5/23/2023	Glen Cove City-New York	Public Improvement Bonds	4.82
5/23/2023	Glen Cove City-New York	BANs	1.76
5/24/2023	Nassau Co (W Hempstead) UFSD	School District Serial Bonds	6.10
5/31/2023	Babylon Village-New York	Public Improvement Bonds	2.82
6/1/2023	Lake Success Village-New York	BANs	1.10
6/1/2023	Nassau Co (East Rockaway) UFSD	BANs	6.00
6/8/2023	Cutchogue Fire Dt	BANs	1.50
6/8/2023	New Windsor Town-New York	BANs	3.45
6/14/2023	Brewster-Southeast Jt Fire Dt	Fire District Serial Bonds	1.97
6/21/2023	Suffolk Co (West Babylon) UFSD	BANs	2.25
6/22/2023	Newburgh City-New York	Various Purpose Bonds	4.49
6/28/2023	Newstead Town-New York	BANs	1.79
6/28/2023	Westchester Co (Tuckahoe) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	1.60
7/6/2023	Hempstead Village-New York	BANs	4.00
7/11/2023	Cornwall Town-New York	BANs	4.34
7/11/2023	Kings Point Vlg-New York	GO Various Purpose BANs	7.20
7/11/2023	Kings Point Vlg-New York	GO Public Improvement Bonds	5.57
7/18/2023	South Blooming Grove Vlg-New York	BANs	1.68
7/25/2023	Monroe Village-New York	BANs	2.70
7/25/2023	Nassau Co (Bethpage) UFSD	School District Serial Bonds	6.83
7/26/2023	Franklin Co (Malone) CSD	General Obligation BANs	2.24
7/27/2023	Newburgh City-New York	BANs	9.00
8/3/2023	Westchester Co (Lakeland) CSD	BANs for Buses	3.42
8/9/2023	Huntington Town-New York	BANs	1.50
8/15/2023	Dutchess Co (Arlington) CSD	BANs	9.00
8/17/2023	Nassau Co (Manhasset) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	7.00
8/17/2023	Nassau Co (Roslyn) UFSD	BANs	1.46
8/22/2023	Suffolk Co (Center Moriches) UFSD	TANs	7.00
8/23/2023	Suffolk Co (Riverhead) CSD	BANs	1.59
8/23/2023	Westchester Co (Chappaqua) CSD	BANs for Reconstruction	9.50
8/24/2023	Alden-New York	BANs	3.41
8/24/2023	Suffolk Co (North Babylon) UFSD	School District Bonds	6.25
8/29/2023	Harriman Village-New York	Pub Improvement Serial Bonds	2.80
8/29/2023	Nassau Co (Mineola) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	6.00
8/29/2023	Saratoga Co (Ballston Spa) CSD	General Obligation BANs	2.92
8/30/2023	Suffolk Co (Bridgehampton) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	4.70
8/31/2023	Eastchester Town-New York	Public Improvement Bonds	5.16

Sale Date	Name of Issuer	Name of Issue	Amount (,000)
8/31/2023	Haverstraw Village-New York	BANs	1.90
8/31/2023	Haverstraw Village-New York	BANs	2.28
8/31/2023	Nassau Co (Glen Cove) SD	BANs	7.72
8/31/2023	Ontario Co (Victor) CSD	BANs	2.74
9/6/2023	Nassau Co (North Merrick) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	2.00
9/6/2023	Nyack-New York	BANs	3.00
9/6/2023	Suffolk Co (E Hampton) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	6.00
9/7/2023	Suffolk Co (Rocky Point) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	3.50
9/7/2023	Suffolk Co (Springs) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	6.00
9/12/2023	Johnson City Village-New York	General Obligation BANs	1.84
9/12/2023	Southold Town-New York	BANs	5.80
9/12/2023	Southold Town-New York	Public Improvement Bonds	9.13
9/12/2023	Westchester Co (New Rochelle) SD	BANs	2.40
9/13/2023	Nassau Co (Herricks) UFSD	BANs	1.55
9/13/2023	Nassau Co (Herricks) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	6.00
9/13/2023	Suffolk Co (Montauk) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	4.50
9/14/2023	Nassau Co (Seaford) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	5.00
9/19/2023	Highland Falls-New York	BANs	2.43
9/19/2023	Nassau Co (North Shore) CSD	TANs for Taxes	5.00
9/19/2023	Suffolk Co (Sag Harbor) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	9.00
9/27/2023	Mattituck-Cutchogue UFSD	TANs for Taxes	3.00
9/27/2023	Port Jefferson-New York	BANs	2.55
9/28/2023	Nassau Co (East Meadow) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	9.50
9/28/2023	Nassau Co (East Williston) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	3.00
9/28/2023	Nassau Co (W Hempstead) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	4.90
9/28/2023	Suffolk Co (Babylon) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	8.00
9/28/2023	Suffolk Co (Harborfields) CSD	BANs	2.55
9/28/2023	Washingtonville Vlg-New York	General Obligation Def BANs	4.40
10/4/2023	Suffolk Co (Shelter Island) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	1.60
10/11/2023	Suffolk Co (East Quogue) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	5.00
10/12/2023	Remsenburg-Speonk UFSD	TANs for Taxes	1.00
10/12/2023	Suffolk Co (Elwood) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	8.50
10/19/2023	Chester Town-New York	BANs	1.08
10/19/2023	Dutchess Co (Arlington) CSD	BANs for Buses	3.73
10/19/2023	Highland Falls-New York	BANs	2.25
10/19/2023	Oyster Bay-East Norwich CSD	TANs for Taxes	4.50
10/19/2023	Suffolk Co (Tuckahoe) CSD	TANs for Taxes	2.00
10/26/2023	Southampton Town-New York	Public Improvement Bonds	6.44
10/26/2023	Suffolk Co (Wainscott) CSD	TANs for Taxes	1.00
11/1/2023	Freeport Village-New York	BANs	4.72
11/1/2023	Suffolk Co (Greenport) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	3.50
11/1/2023	Suffolk Co (Southold) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	4.00
11/7/2023	Monroe Village-New York	BANs	6.71
11/8/2023	Highlands-New York	BANs	4.00

Sale Date	Name of Issuer	Name of Issue	Amount (,000)
11/9/2023	Westchester Co (Hendrick Hudson) CSD	BANs	1.44
11/14/2023	Putnam Co (Mahopac) CSD	BANs	1.20
11/28/2023	East Hampton Town-New York	BANs	5.00
12/5/2023	Westchester Co (Croton-Harmon) UFSD	BANs	9.00
12/13/2023	Cornwall Town-New York	BANs	1.38
12/13/2023	Nassau Co (Island Trees) UFSD	BANs	7.50
12/13/2023	Niagara Co (Starpoint) CSD	BANs	2.50
1/3/2024	Highland Falls-New York	BANs	2.40
1/16/2024	Cooperstown-New York	BANs	3.00
1/16/2024	Cortlandville-New York	General Obligations BANs	3.40
1/23/2024	Farmingdale Village-New York	BANs	8.38
1/25/2024	Lloyd Town-New York	BANs	3.99
2/1/2024	Putnam Co (Brewster) CSD	BANs	6.00
2/8/2024	Suffolk Co (Cold Spr Harbor) CSD	School District Bonds	7.67
2/13/2024	Nyack-New York	BANs	7.09
2/13/2024	Woodridge Village-New York	Public Improvement Bonds	2.83
2/28/2024	Highland Falls-New York	BANs	4.44
2/28/2024	Woodridge Village-New York	BANs	1.95
3/7/2024	North Hempstead Town-New York	GO Public Improvement Bonds	6.83
3/13/2024	Smithtown Town-New York	Public Improvement Bonds	5.05
3/19/2024	Mineola Village-New York	Public Improvement Bonds	7.53
3/26/2024	South Blooming Grove Vlg-New York	BANs	2.96
3/26/2024	Westchester Co (New Rochelle) SD	BANs	5.40
4/3/2024	Port Jefferson-New York	Public Improvement Bonds	4.46
4/4/2024	Lindenhurst Village-New York	BANs	2.10
4/9/2024	Great Neck Plaza-New York	BANs	2.58
4/10/2024	Goshen-New York	BANs	1.67
4/16/2024	Cold Spring Vlg-New York	BANs	2.07
4/16/2024	Freeport Village-New York	BANs	3.82
4/16/2024	Malverne-New York	BANs	5.00
4/23/2024	Cornwall Town-New York	BANs	2.48
4/25/2024	Haverstraw Town-New York	BANs	3.86
4/25/2024	Islip Town-New York	BANs	2.38
5/2/2024	Gowanda-New York	BANs	3.70
5/7/2024	Albany Co (Guilderland) CSD	Tax Certiorari Serail Bonds	6.52
5/7/2024	Lynbrook Village-New York	BANs	4.34
5/15/2024	Mount Kisco Village-New York	BANs	6.00
5/22/2024	Glen Cove City-New York	BANs	2.32
5/22/2024	Glen Cove City-New York	Public Improvement Bonds	5.13
5/29/2024	Nassau Co (East Rockaway) UFSD	BANs	6.00
5/30/2024	Lake Success Village-New York	BANs	1.44
6/4/2024	Rockland Co BOCES	RANs	7.00
6/11/2024	Nassau Co (Island Trees) UFSD	BANs	6.68
6/13/2024	New Windsor Town-New York	BANs	8.81

Sale Date	Name of Issuer	Name of Issue	Amount (,000)
6/13/2024	Suffolk Co (West Babylon) UFSD	BANs	7.25
6/18/2024	Nassau Co (Glen Cove) SD	BANs	7.72
6/26/2024	Newstead Town-New York	BANs	1.68
6/26/2024	Suffolk Co (Amityville) UFSD	Library Serial Bonds	9.37
6/27/2024	Kings Point Vlg-New York	GO Var Purp BANs	6.99
6/27/2024	Niagara Co (Starpont) CSD	BANs	4.60
7/2/2024	Great Neck Plaza-New York	BANs	2.50
7/9/2024	Cornwall Town-New York	BANs	6.73
7/9/2024	Hempstead Village-New York	BANs	3.75
7/16/2024	Eastchester Town-New York	Public Improvement Bonds	5.06
7/16/2024	Thiells Roseville Fire Dt	BANs	7.00
7/16/2024	Westchester Co (Tuckahoe) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	2.40
7/18/2024	Rockland Co (Nanuet) UFSD	BANs	3.20
7/25/2024	Franklin Co (Malone) CSD	General Obligation BANs	2.27
7/31/2024	Newburgh City-New York	BANs	9.00
8/6/2024	Nassau Co (Seaford) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	6.00
8/6/2024	Westchester Co (Lakeland) CSD	BANs for Buses	4.25
8/7/2024	Huntington Town-New York	BANs	5.56
8/7/2024	Nassau Co (Mineola) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	6.50
8/13/2024	Nassau Co (Roslyn) UFSD	BANs	1.60
8/14/2024	Newfane Town-New York	BANs	5.74
8/15/2024	Suffolk Co (Bridgehampton) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	4.90
8/20/2024	Suffolk Co (Rocky Point) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	4.00
8/22/2024	Alden-New York	BANs	3.89
8/22/2024	Suffolk Co (Riverhead) CSD	BANs	1.56
8/28/2024	Maybrook-New York	BANs	1.40
8/28/2024	Ontario Co (Victor) CSD	BANs	3.21
8/29/2024	Haverstraw Village-New York	BANs	2.25
8/29/2024	Haverstraw Village-New York	BANs	1.79
8/29/2024	North Hempstead Town-New York	GO Public Improvement Ser Bonds	8.31
8/29/2024	Nyack-New York	BANs	2.96
8/29/2024	Saratoga Co (Ballston Spa) CSD	GO BANs	3.64
9/4/2024	Lowville Village-New York	BANs	1.25
9/5/2024	Airmont Village-New York	Public Improvement Serial Bonds	1.50
9/5/2024	Nassau Co (North Merrick) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	2.00
9/5/2024	Westchester Co (New Rochelle) SD	BANs	2.40
9/10/2024	Johnson City Village-New York	BANs	1.75
9/11/2024	Nassau Co (Herricks) UFSD	BANs	1.55
9/11/2024	Nassau Co (Herricks) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	6.00
9/11/2024	Suffolk Co (Hampton Bays) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	8.00
9/11/2024	Suffolk Co (Springs) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	6.60
9/12/2024	Nassau Co (East Williston) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	2.00
9/17/2024	Highland Falls-New York	BANs	9.65
9/19/2024	Nassau Co (North Shore) CSD	TANs for Taxes	5.00

Sale Date	Name of Issuer	Name of Issue	Amount (,000)
9/23/2024	Suffolk Co (E Hampton) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	6.00
9/24/2024	Port Jefferson-New York	BANs	2.30
9/24/2024	Washingtonville Vlg-New York	GO Deficit Bond BANs	2.60
9/25/2024	Nassau Co (W Hempstead) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	4.90
9/25/2024	Suffolk Co (Babylon) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	7.00
9/25/2024	Suffolk Co (Montauk) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	2.70
9/26/2024	Suffolk Co (Sayville) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	7.00
10/1/2024	Brookhaven Blue Point Fire Dist	Fire District Serial Bonds	2.20
10/1/2024	Suffolk Co (Harborfields) CSD	School District Serial Bonds	3.55
10/2/2024	Nassau Co (Sewanhaka) CHSD	TANs for Taxes	9.20
10/2/2024	Ridge Road Fire Dt	BANs	7.00
10/3/2024	Oyster Bay-East Norwich CSD	TANs for Taxes	3.75
10/3/2024	Westchester Co (Scarsdale) UFSD	BANs	4.25
10/4/2024	Nassau Co (East Meadow) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	9.50
10/9/2024	Freeport Village-New York	BANs	9.50
10/9/2024	Suffolk Co (Shelter Island) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	1.60
10/15/2024	Suffolk Co (Tuckahoe) CSD	TANs for Taxes	3.50
10/16/2024	Lake Success Village-New York	BANs	2.50
10/16/2024	Mattituck-Cutchogue UFSD	TANs for Taxes	4.00
10/16/2024	Mattituck-Cutchogue UFSD	TANs for Taxes	3.00
10/17/2024	Dutchess Co (Arlington) CSD	BANs for Buses	6.27
10/17/2024	Gowanda-New York	BANs	2.87
10/17/2024	Suffolk Co (Center Moriches) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	7.00
10/24/2024	Erie Co (Williamsville) CSD	BANs	2.08
10/24/2024	Remsenburg-Speonk UFSD	TANs for Taxes	1.50
10/24/2024	Suffolk Co (Quogue) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	1.00
10/30/2024	Suffolk Co (Greenport) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	3.50
10/31/2024	Freeport Village-New York	BANs	5.02
11/5/2024	Suffolk Co (East Quogue) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	5.00
11/6/2024	Westchester Co (Hendrick Hudson) CSD	BANs	1.13
11/7/2024	Highlands-New York	BANs	4.00
11/7/2024	Monroe Village-New York	BANs	6.16
11/7/2024	Suffolk Co (Southold) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	4.00
11/12/2024	Suffolk Co (Sag Harbor) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	8.00
11/13/2024	Islip Town-New York	Refunding Serial Bonds	7.69
11/21/2024	Yonkers City-New York	General Obligation BANs	3.00
11/26/2024	Suffolk Co (West Babylon) UFSD	BANs	1.75
11/26/2024	Westchester Co (Valhalla) UFSD	BANs	6.37
12/10/2024	Ocean Beach-New York	BANs	6.50
1/7/2025	Sag Harbor Village-New York	BANs	3.00
1/8/2025	Suffolk Co (Deer Park) UFSD	School District Bonds	2.25
1/8/2025	Suffolk Co (Hauppauge) UFSD	BANs	5.30
1/14/2025	Cortlandville-New York	GO Pub Improvement Bonds	3.14
1/14/2025	Goshen-New York	BANs	1.88

Sale Date	Name of Issuer	Name of Issue	Amount (,000)
1/15/2025	Manhasset Lakeville Fire Dt	Fire District Bonds	8.50
1/16/2025	Mastic Fire Dt	BANs	1.25
1/21/2025	Farmingdale Village-New York	BANs	6.94
1/22/2025	Farmingdale Village-New York	Public Improvement Bonds	2.90
1/23/2025	Lloyd Town-New York	BANs	2.80
1/29/2025	Garden City-New York	BANs	6.00
2/12/2025	Nassau Co (Hicksville) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	6.00
2/13/2025	Nassau Co (Valley Stream) CHSD	School District Serial Bonds	1.06
2/19/2025	Freeport Village-New York	BANs	1.71
2/19/2025	Newburgh City-New York	BANs	8.00
2/20/2025	Rockland Co (So Orangetown) CSD	BANs	7.50
2/20/2025	Suffolk Co (Amagansett) UFSD	School District Serial Bonds	1.78
2/26/2025	Woodridge Village-New York	BANs	1.42
2/27/2025	Highland Falls-New York	BANs	4.38
3/13/2025	Smithtown Town-New York	Public Improvement Serial Bonds	8.79
3/25/2025	Westchester Co (New Rochelle) SD	BANs	5.32
3/27/2025	South Blooming Grove Vlg-New York	BANs	2.60
4/2/2025	Lindenhurst Village-New York	BANs	7.36
4/3/2025	Great Neck Plaza-New York	BANs	7.11
4/9/2025	Westchester Co (Tuckahoe) UFSD	BANs	7.28
4/16/2025	Freeport Village-New York	BANs	3.77
4/17/2025	Cornwall Town-New York	BANs	8.55
4/23/2025	Islip Town-New York	Public Improvement Serial Bonds	2.25
4/24/2025	Haverstraw Town-New York	Public Improvement Bonds	3.03
4/24/2025	Middle Island Fire Dt	Fire District Bonds	7.00

\$10 Million-\$49.999 Million

Sale Date	Name of Issuer	Name of Issue	Amount (,000)
1/26/2022	Yonkers City-New York	GO Refunding Serial Bonds	\$29.00
1/26/2022	Yonkers City-New York	GO Refunding Serial Bonds	12.05
2/8/2022	Garden City-New York	BANs	44.69
2/10/2022	Hastings on Hudson UFSD	School District Serial Bonds	18.38
2/23/2022	Orange Co (Monroe-Woodbury) CSD	School Dt Refunding Serial Bonds	12.66
3/16/2022	North Hempstead Town-New York	GO Public Improvement Bonds	19.76
3/16/2022	North Hempstead Town-New York	BANs	39.57
3/17/2022	Patchogue-Medford UFSD	School District Refunding Bonds	13.47
3/22/2022	Suffolk Co (Riverhead) CSD	School District Refunding Bonds	20.57
3/30/2022	Binghamton City-New York	General Obligation BANs	31.00
4/13/2022	Buffalo City-New York	General Improvement Bonds	22.88
4/19/2022	Rockland Co (Nanuet) UFSD	School District Serial Bonds	10.18
4/20/2022	Nassau Co (North Shore) CSD	BANs	12.00

Sale Date	Name of Issuer	Name of Issue	Amount (,000)
4/27/2022	Nassau Co (East Rockaway) UFSD	School District Serial Bonds	12.51
5/12/2022	Mount Kisco Village-New York	Public Improvement Serial Bonds	16.06
5/17/2022	Westchester Co (Ossining) UFSD	GO School Dt Ref Bonds	11.86
5/24/2022	Rockville Centre Vlg-New York	BANs	13.48
5/24/2022	Rockville Centre Vlg-New York	Public Improvement Bonds	10.97
5/24/2022	Westchester (Dobbs Ferry) UFSD	GO School Construction BANs	15.13
5/25/2022	Erie Co (Williamsville) CSD	BANs	24.90
5/25/2022	Suffolk Co (Cold Spr Harbor) CSD	School District Bonds	20.00
5/25/2022	Westchester Co (Mamaroneck) UFSD	School District Bonds	29.27
6/2/2022	Suffolk Co (Amityville) UFSD	BANs	12.78
6/7/2022	Rockland Co (E Ramapo) CSD	RANs	32.00
6/9/2022	Saratoga Co (Ballston Spa) CSD	BANs	13.78
6/14/2022	Rensselaer Co (Troy Enlarged) CSD	BANs	12.81
6/15/2022	Niagara Co (Niagara Wheatfield) CSD	BANs	21.02
6/15/2022	Ulster Co (Marlboro) CSD	School District Bonds	15.16
6/16/2022	Steuben Co (Corning) CSD	BANs	36.44
6/16/2022	Steuben Co (Corning) CSD	BANs	14.08
6/30/2022	Nassau Co (Hempstead) UFSD	RANs	43.00
6/30/2022	Nassau Co (Hempstead) UFSD	BANs	25.04
6/30/2022	Rockland Co (Pearl River) UFSD	General Obligation BANs	20.92
7/6/2022	Mount Kisco Village-New York	Public Improvement Bonds	10.23
7/7/2022	Suffolk Co (Three Village) CSD	TANs	45.00
7/12/2022	Kings Point Vlg-New York	GO Various Purp BANs	10.33
7/13/2022	Clarence Town-New York	Public Improvement Bonds	22.65
7/14/2022	Albany Co (Guilderland) CSD	General Obligation BANs	48.97
7/14/2022	Huntington Town-New York	Public Improvement Bonds	19.60
7/14/2022	Ontario Co (Victor) CSD	BANs	26.59
7/14/2022	Putnam Co (Mahopac) CSD	BANs	12.74
7/14/2022	Suffolk Co (Connetquot) CSD	BANs	35.27
7/21/2022	Franklin Co (Malone) CSD	General Obligation BANs	11.00
7/21/2022	Nassau Co (Port Washington) UFSD	TANs	17.00
8/3/2022	Islip Town-New York	Public Improvement Bonds	29.21
8/3/2022	Rockland Co (E Ramapo) CSD	TANs	12.70
8/3/2022	Suffolk Co (Middle Country) CSD	TANs	43.50
8/4/2022	Suffolk Co (West Babylon) UFSD	TANs	22.00
8/9/2022	East Hampton Town-New York	BANs	17.03
8/10/2022	Dutchess Co (Arlington) CSD	School District Ref Bonds	18.19
8/11/2022	Suffolk Co (Deer Park) UFSD	TANs	20.50
8/17/2022	Suffolk Co (Miller Place) UFSD	TANs	12.00
8/18/2022	Nassau Co (Rockville Centre) UFSD	TANs	12.50
8/18/2022	Suffolk Co (Hampton Bays) UFSD	TANs	13.00
8/18/2022	Suffolk Co (Lindenhurst) UFSD	TANs	35.00
8/19/2022	NYS Housing Fin Agcy	Homeowner Mtg Revenue Bonds	17.29
8/24/2022	Suffolk Co (Hauppauge) UFSD	TANs	29.00

Sale Date	Name of Issuer	Name of Issue	Amount (,000)
8/24/2022	Suffolk Co (Westhampton Bch) UFSD	TANs	12.50
8/25/2022	Suffolk Co (Harborfields) CSD	BANs	19.53
8/25/2022	Suffolk Co (Harborfields) CSD	TANs	18.50
8/25/2022	Suffolk Co (Riverhead) CSD	TANs	18.00
8/25/2022	Suffolk Co (Riverhead) CSD	TANs	10.00
8/30/2022	Eastport-South Manor CHSD	TANs	15.85
8/30/2022	Nassau Co (Sewanhaka) CHSD	TANs	14.00
8/31/2022	Suffolk Co (Northport-E Northport) UFSD	TANs	35.50
9/7/2022	Suffolk Co (So Huntington) UFSD	TANs	38.50
9/8/2022	Southold Town-New York	BANs	13.78
9/8/2022	Suffolk Co (Bay Shore) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	36.50
9/13/2022	North Hempstead Town-New York	General Obligation BANs	45.75
9/13/2022	Suffolk Co (Cold Spr Harbor) CSD	TANs	12.50
9/13/2022	Suffolk Co (E Hampton) UFSD	TANs	10.00
9/14/2022	Patchogue-Medford UFSD	TANs	39.00
9/15/2022	Suffolk Co (Connetquot) CSD	TANs	40.00
9/20/2022	Suffolk Co (Amityville) UFSD	TANs	15.00
9/21/2022	Nassau Co (Oceanside) UFSD	TANs	15.00
9/21/2022	South Country CSD Brookhaven	General Obligation TANs	30.00
9/21/2022	Suffolk Co (Commack) UFSD	TANs	36.00
9/21/2022	Suffolk Co (Longwood) CSD	TANs	43.00
9/22/2022	Nassau Co (Jericho) UFSD	TANs	12.80
9/22/2022	Suffolk Co (Smithtown) CSD	School District Bonds	34.31
9/22/2022	Suffolk Co (Southampton) UFSD	TANs	10.00
9/27/2022	Suffolk Co (Islip) UFSD	TANs	20.00
10/4/2022	Suffolk Co (Huntington) UFSD	TANs	16.00
10/6/2022	Nassau Co (Massapequa) UFSD	TANs	12.50
10/14/2022	Nassau Co (Uniondale) UFSD	TANs	22.00
10/19/2022	Suffolk (Bayport-Blue Point) UFSD	TANs	17.50
10/25/2022	Orange Co-New York	Public Improvement Bonds	14.14
10/27/2022	Suffolk Co (North Babylon) UFSD	TANs	13.00
10/27/2022	Tappan Fire Dt	Fire District Serial Bonds	13.40
11/1/2022	Suffolk Co (Kings Park) CSD	TANs	10.00
11/2/2022	Islip Town-New York	BANs	17.20
11/3/2022	Suffolk Co (Half Hollow Hills) CSD	TANs	45.00
11/3/2022	Suffolk Co (West Islip) UFSD	TANs	20.00
11/10/2022	Westchester Co (Hendrick Hudson) CSD	BANs	18.78
11/16/2022	Nassau Co (Hempstead) UFSD	General Obligation BANs	18.39
11/17/2022	Suffolk Co (Commack) UFSD	BANs	30.46
11/30/2022	Rensselaer Co (E Greenbush) CSD	BANs	22.16
12/14/2022	Orange Co (Pine Bush) CSD	BANs	20.00
1/12/2023	Westchester Co (Eastchester) UFSD	General Obligation BANs	15.64
2/2/2023	Garden City-New York	BANs	46.78
2/8/2023	Suffolk Co (Eastport-South Manor) CSD	School District Ref Bonds	16.33

Sale Date	Name of Issuer	Name of Issue	Amount (,000)
2/14/2023	Rockland Co (Nanuet) UFSD	School District Bonds	12.31
3/1/2023	Suffolk Co (William Floyd) UFSD	Library Serial Bonds	11.00
3/14/2023	North Hempstead Town-New York	BANs	49.51
3/14/2023	North Hempstead Town-New York	GO Public Improvement Bonds	21.16
3/23/2023	Westchester Co (New Rochelle) SD	BANs	17.70
3/29/2023	Suffolk Co (North Babylon) UFSD	School District Serial Bonds	11.01
4/3/2023	Binghamton City-New York	GO Various Purpose BANs	31.84
4/3/2023	Binghamton City-New York	GO Public Improvement Bonds	15.01
4/5/2023	Nassau Co (Hempstead) UFSD	BANs	25.00
4/11/2023	Lakeland Fire Dt	First District Serial Bonds	13.80
4/13/2023	Buffalo City-New York	General Improvement Serial Bonds	23.25
4/13/2023	Nassau Co (North Shore) CSD	BANs	21.65
4/13/2023	Westchester Co (Tuckahoe) UFSD	BANs	12.80
4/25/2023	Islip Town-New York	BANs	17.20
4/25/2023	Islip Town-New York	Public Improvement Bonds	26.00
5/16/2023	Eastport-South Manor CHSD	BANs	20.00
5/16/2023	Suffolk Co (Eastport-South Manor) CSD	BANs	20.00
5/17/2023	Niagara Co (Niagara Wheatfield) CSD	School District Serial Bonds	17.74
5/18/2023	Westchester (Dobbs Ferry) UFSD	GO School District Bonds	13.87
5/24/2023	Rockville Centre Vlg-New York	Public Improvement Bonds	21.87
5/25/2023	Suffolk Co (Hauppauge) UFSD	School District Serial Bonds	10.17
5/31/2023	Suffolk Co (Amityville) UFSD	School District Serial Bonds	11.79
6/1/2023	Suffolk Co (Connetquot) CSD	BANs	24.00
6/6/2023	Westchester Co (Briarcliff-Manor) UFSD	BANs	19.62
6/8/2023	Steuben Co (Corning) CSD	BANs	14.00
6/8/2023	Steuben Co (Corning) CSD	BANs	49.27
6/8/2023	Suffolk Co (Greenport) UFSD	BANs	17.10
6/15/2023	Saratoga Co (Saratoga Spr) SD	School District Serial Bonds	47.10
6/29/2023	Nassau Co (Hempstead) UFSD	General Obligation BANs	42.66
6/29/2023	Nassau Co (Hempstead) UFSD	General Obligation RANs	43.00
6/29/2023	Oyster Bay-East Norwich CSD	BANs	20.00
6/29/2023	Rockland Co (Pearl River) UFSD	GO School District Bonds	19.56
7/6/2023	Albany Co (Guilderland) CSD	General Obligation BANs	29.50
7/11/2023	Franklin Co (Malone) CSD	General Obligation BANs	25.24
7/13/2023	Putnam Co (Mahopac) CSD	BANs	18.64
7/18/2023	Build NYC Resource Corp	Revenue Bonds	23.07
7/20/2023	Nassau Co (Port Washington) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	15.75
8/2/2023	Suffolk Co (Deer Park) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	20.50
8/2/2023	Suffolk Co (West Babylon) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	30.00
8/3/2023	East Hampton Town-New York	Public Improvement Bonds	20.16
8/3/2023	East Hampton Town-New York	BANs	19.93
8/8/2023	Nassau Co (Rockville Centre) UFSD	TANs for 2023-204 Taxes	12.50
8/8/2023	Suffolk Co (Three Village) CSD	TANs	48.00
8/9/2023	Huntington Town-New York	Public Improvement Bonds	20.60

Sale Date	Name of Issuer	Name of Issue	Amount (,000)
8/9/2023	Lowville Village-New York	BANs	14.86
8/15/2023	Suffolk Co (Westhampton Bch) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	12.50
8/16/2023	Suffolk Co (Middle Country) CSD	TANs for Taxes	43.50
8/17/2023	Nassau Co (Manhasset) UFSD	BANs	11.00
8/17/2023	Rockland Co (Clarkstown) CSD	GO TANs for Taxes	13.00
8/17/2023	Suffolk Co (Miller Place) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	12.00
8/23/2023	Nassau Co (Sewanhaka) CHSD	TANs	18.00
8/23/2023	Suffolk Co (Riverhead) CSD	TANs	10.00
8/23/2023	Suffolk Co (Riverhead) CSD	TANs for Taxes	18.00
8/24/2023	Suffolk Co (Harborfields) CSD	TANs for Taxes	17.80
8/24/2023	Suffolk Co (Harborfields) CSD	BANs	19.30
8/29/2023	Suffolk Co (Hampton Bays) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	12.50
8/29/2023	Suffolk Co (Northport-E Northport) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	35.50
8/30/2023	Suffolk Co (Connetquot) CSD	TANs for Taxes	42.50
8/30/2023	Suffolk Co (So Huntington) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	41.50
8/31/2023	Patchogue-Medford UFSD	TANs for Taxes	46.00
8/31/2023	Suffolk Co (Lindenhurst) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	33.50
9/5/2023	Nassau Co (Oceanside) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	16.50
9/6/2023	Suffolk Co (E Hampton) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	10.00
9/7/2023	North Hempstead Town-New York	GO Public Improvement Ser Bonds	23.22
9/7/2023	Suffolk Co (Hauppauge) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	33.00
9/7/2023	Suffolk Co (Southampton) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	15.00
9/12/2023	Suffolk Co (Bay Shore) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	36.50
9/14/2023	Farmingdale Village-New York	BANs	13.50
9/14/2023	South Country CSD Brookhaven	General Obligation TANs for Taxes	27.00
9/14/2023	Suffolk Co (Amityville) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	23.00
9/14/2023	Suffolk Co (Cold Spr Harbor) CSD	TANs for Taxes	13.50
9/14/2023	Suffolk Co (Longwood) CSD	TANs for Taxes	45.00
9/19/2023	Nassau Co (Jericho) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	13.00
9/19/2023	Suffolk Co (Eastport-South Manor) CSD	TANs for Taxes	18.20
9/19/2023	Suffolk Co (Eastport-South Manor) CSD	BANs	25.00
9/28/2023	Suffolk Co (Huntington) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	16.00
10/4/2023	Westchester Co (Bedford) CSD	School District Bonds	13.75
10/5/2023	Suffolk Co (Commack) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	36.00
10/11/2023	Suffolk Co (Islip) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	20.00
10/12/2023	Suffolk (Bayport-Blue Point) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	18.50
10/17/2023	Nassau Co (Massapequa) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	11.00
10/19/2023	Suffolk Co (North Babylon) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	14.00
10/24/2023	Suffolk Co (Kings Park) CSD	TANs for Taxes	10.30
10/26/2023	Monroe Village-New York	BANs	19.50
11/2/2023	Suffolk Co (Half Hollow Hills) CSD	TANs for Taxes	45.00
11/2/2023	Suffolk Co (West Islip) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	20.00
11/8/2023	Suffolk Co (Smithtown) CSD	School District Bonds	26.60
11/9/2023	Westchester Co (Hendrick Hudson) CSD	School District Serial Bonds	16.53

Sale Date	Name of Issuer	Name of Issue	Amount (,000)
11/21/2023	Rensselaer Co (E Greenbush) CSD	BANs	18.46
11/29/2023	Rockland Co (Nanuet) UFSD	School District Bonds	10.65
11/30/2023	Westchester Co-New York	General Obligation Bonds	34.01
12/6/2023	Binghamton City-New York	General Obligation BANs	10.00
12/11/2023	Nassau Co (Elmont) UFSD	School District Bonds	36.03
12/20/2023	Yonkers City-New York	General Obligation BANs	11.46
1/11/2024	Westchester Co (Eastchester) UFSD	General Obligation BANs	29.50
2/1/2024	Garden City-New York	Public Improvement Serial Bonds	13.57
2/1/2024	Garden City-New York	BANs	43.58
2/7/2024	Yonkers City-New York	School Serial Bonds	26.81
2/29/2024	Orange Co-New York	Pub Improvement Serial Bonds	26.50
2/29/2024	Suffolk Co (Miller Place) UFSD	School District Serial Bonds	15.00
3/12/2024	Vails Gate Fire Dt	BANs	13.00
3/27/2024	Westchester Co (New Rochelle) SD	BANs	17.70
4/4/2024	Nassau Co (Hempstead) UFSD	General Obligation BANs	25.00
4/9/2024	Buffalo City-New York	Bonds	40.56
4/11/2024	Nassau Co (North Shore) CSD	BANs	21.26
4/11/2024	Westchester Co (Tuckahoe) UFSD	BANs	12.64
4/16/2024	Freeport Village-New York	BANs	11.00
4/23/2024	Cutchogue Fire Dt	BANs	14.50
4/24/2024	Buffalo City-New York	General Improvement Serial Bonds	25.02
4/25/2024	Islip Town-New York	BANs	19.09
4/25/2024	Islip Town-New York	Public Improvement Serial Bonds	32.26
5/21/2024	Katonah-Lewisboro UFSD	BANs	25.00
6/4/2024	Steuben Co (Corning) CSD	School District Bonds	11.70
6/5/2024	Suffolk Co (Connetquot) CSD	BANs	34.75
6/5/2024	Suffolk Co (Greenport) UFSD	BANs	16.94
6/5/2024	Westchester Co (Briarcliff-Manor) UFSD	BANs	23.92
6/11/2024	Saratoga Co (Saratoga Spr) SD	School District Serial Bonds	34.70
6/12/2024	Franklin Co (Malone) CSD	GO BANs	24.88
6/18/2024	Albany Co (Voorheesville) CSD	GO BANs	12.50
6/18/2024	Dutchess Co (Arlington) CSD	BANs for Capital Project	17.48
6/18/2024	Suffolk Co (Westhampton Bch) UFSD	BANs	21.00
6/18/2024	Westchester Co (Croton-Harmon) UFSD	BANs	27.17
6/26/2024	Nassau Co (Hempstead) UFSD	General Obligations RANs	43.00
6/27/2024	Oyster Bay-East Norwich CSD	BANs	23.50
7/9/2024	Westchester Co (Harrison) CSD	General Obligations BANs	10.00
7/10/2024	Putnam Co (Mahopac) CSD	BANs	19.95
7/11/2024	Albany Co (Guilderland) CSD	BANs	29.27
7/11/2024	Westchester Co (Chappaqua) CSD	BANs for Reconstruction	23.50
7/16/2024	Niagara Co (Niagara Wheatfield) CSD	BANs	21.50
7/18/2024	Nassau Co (Herricks) UFSD	BANs	40.00
7/24/2024	Monroe Town-New York	BANs	22.15
7/30/2024	Nassau Co (Port Washington) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	20.00

Sale Date	Name of Issuer	Name of Issue	Amount (,000)
7/30/2024	Nassau Co (Wantagh) UFSD	School District Serial Bonds	27.67
8/1/2024	East Hampton Town-New York	BANs	25.16
8/1/2024	East Hampton Town-New York	Public Improvement Serial Bonds	14.62
8/7/2024	Huntington Town-New York	Public Improvement Bonds	23.03
8/8/2024	Lowville Village-New York	BANs	13.65
8/8/2024	Nassau Co (Rockville Centre) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	12.50
8/8/2024	Suffolk Co (West Babylon) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	30.00
8/13/2024	Suffolk Co (Miller Place) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	12.00
8/13/2024	Westchester Co (Bedford) CSD	GO BANs	15.00
8/14/2024	Suffolk Co (Deer Park) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	20.00
8/14/2024	Suffolk Co (Middle Country) CSD	TANs for Taxes	43.50
8/15/2024	Nassau Co (Manhasset) UFSD	TANs	10.50
8/15/2024	Nassau Co (Manhasset) UFSD	BANs	18.80
8/21/2024	Nassau Co (Oceanside) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	16.00
8/22/2024	Rockland Co (Clarkstown) CSD	GO TANs	20.00
8/22/2024	Suffolk Co (Riverhead) CSD	TANs for Taxes	18.00
8/22/2024	Suffolk Co (Riverhead) CSD	TANs for Taxes	10.00
8/26/2024	Suffolk Co (Harborfields) CSD	TANs for Taxes	17.80
8/26/2024	Suffolk Co (Harborfields) CSD	BANs	18.97
8/27/2024	Patchogue-Medford UFSD	TANs for Taxes	48.00
8/28/2024	Suffolk Co (Northport-E Northport) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	35.50
9/3/2024	Suffolk Co (Amityville) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	25.00
9/5/2024	Suffolk Co (Southampton) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	17.00
9/5/2024	Westchester Co (New Rochelle) SD	TANs for Taxes	35.00
9/10/2024	Southold Town-New York	BANs	18.35
9/10/2024	Suffolk Co (Hauppauge) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	29.00
9/11/2024	Suffolk Co (Lindenhurst) UFSD	TANs For Taxes	39.50
9/11/2024	Yonkers City-New York	School Serial Bonds	13.77
9/11/2024	Yonkers City-New York	GO School Serial Bonds	11.46
9/12/2024	South Country CSD Brookhaven	TANs for Taxes	25.00
9/12/2024	Suffolk Co (Cold Spr Harbor) CSD	TANs for Taxes	13.00
9/16/2024	Suffolk Co (Longwood) CSD	TANs for Taxes	46.00
9/17/2024	Farmingdale Village-New York	BANs	13.50
9/17/2024	Suffolk Co (Bay Shore) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	36.50
9/17/2024	Suffolk Co (Connetquot) CSD	TANs for Taxes	47.00
9/17/2024	Suffolk Co (Westhampton Bch) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	10.25
9/19/2024	Nassau Co (Jericho) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	13.00
9/19/2024	New Castle Fire Dt #1	GO Fire District Serial Bonds	15.21
9/23/2024	Suffolk Co (E Hampton) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	10.00
9/24/2024	Suffolk Co (Eastport-South Manor) CSD	TANs for Taxes	18.00
9/24/2024	Suffolk Co (So Huntington) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	39.00
9/26/2024	Suffolk Co (Sayville) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	10.00
10/1/2024	Suffolk Co (Huntington) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	16.00
10/2/2024	Suffolk Co (Commack) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	36.00

Sale Date	Name of Issuer	Name of Issue	Amount (,000)
10/3/2024	Suffolk Co (Islip) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	20.00
10/10/2024	Suffolk Co (Elwood) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	10.50
10/15/2024	Suffolk (Bayport-Blue Point) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	19.50
10/16/2024	Suffolk Co (North Babylon) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	14.00
10/17/2024	Nassau Co (Massapequa) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	11.00
10/17/2024	Nassau Co (Massapequa) UFSD	School District Bonds	18.23
10/24/2024	Suffolk Co (Kings Park) CSD	TANs for Taxes	10.60
10/29/2024	Cheektowaga Town-New York	Various Purpose Serial Bonds	25.63
10/29/2024	Suffolk Co (Half Hollow Hills) CSD	TANs for Taxes	45.00
11/5/2024	Suffolk Co (West Islip) UFSD	TANs for Taxes	20.00
11/7/2024	Suffolk Co (Bay Shore) UFSD	BANs	20.25
11/7/2024	Terryville Fire Dt	BANs	11.30
11/21/2024	Rensselaer Co (E Greenbush) CSD	BANs	14.67
12/3/2024	Rockland Co (Nanuet) UFSD	School District Serial Bonds	13.59
1/9/2025	Suffolk Co (Port Jefferson) UFSD	BANs	11.40
1/29/2025	Garden City-New York	Public Improvement Serial Bond	34.44
1/29/2025	Nassau Co (Baldwin) UFSD	Library Serial Bonds	11.00
1/30/2025	Putnam Co (Brewster) CSD	BANs	34.00
2/4/2025	Nyack-New York	BANs	17.40
2/5/2025	Westchester Co-New York	General Obligation Bonds	29.40
2/11/2025	Rochester City-New York	BANs	27.05
2/18/2025	North Greece Fire Dt	BANs	13.80
3/6/2025	North Hempstead Town-New York	GO Public Improvement Bonds	16.21
3/6/2025	Suffolk Co (Bay Shore) UFSD	BANs	35.00
3/12/2025	Suffolk Co (Bridgehampton) UFSD	School Dt Ref Serial Bonds	19.63
3/12/2025	Westchester (Edgemont) UFSD	School District Serial Bonds	11.49
3/13/2025	Vails Gate Fire Dt	Fire District Serial Bonds	18.88
3/25/2025	Westchester Co (New Rochelle) SD	BANs	17.42
3/26/2025	Nassau Co (Roosevelt) UFSD	School District Ref Serial Bonds	21.97
3/26/2025	Woodbury-New York	Public Improvement Serial Bonds	10.00
3/27/2025	Binghamton City-New York	GO Public Imp Serial Bonds	11.03
3/27/2025	Binghamton City-New York	GO Public Imp Serial Bonds	19.37
4/8/2025	Nassau Co (North Shore) CSD	School District Serial Bonds	19.94
4/9/2025	Westchester Co (Tuckahoe) UFSD	BANs	10.65
4/16/2025	Cutchogue Fire Dt	Fire District Serial Bonds	15.92
4/22/2025	Suffolk Co (Sayville) UFSD	School District Serial Bonds	14.88
4/23/2025	Islip Town-New York	Public Improvement Serial Bonds	17.30
4/24/2025	Westchester Co (Chappaqua) CSD	GO School District Serial Bonds	33.84

\$50 Million+

Sale Date	Name of Issuer	Name of Issue	Amount (,000)
3/9/2022	Yonkers City-New York	GO & School Serial Bonds	\$77.67
6/2/2022	Suffolk Co (Amityville) UFSD	School District Bonds	50.23
7/21/2022	Nassau Co (Port Washington) UFSD	School District Bonds	59.52
8/19/2022	NYS Housing Fin Agcy	Homeowner Mtg Revenue Bonds	77.03
9/16/2022	NYS Utility Debt Securitization Auth	Restructuring Bonds	787.29
9/16/2022	NYS Utility Debt Securitization Auth	Restructuring Bonds	94.78
9/20/2022	Suffolk Co (Sachem) CSD	TANs	50.00
9/22/2022	Suffolk Co (Smithtown) CSD	TANs	60.00
11/16/2022	Yonkers City-New York	General Obligation & School Bonds	77.20
12/1/2022	Westchester Co-New York	General Obligation Bonds	71.05
12/1/2022	Westchester Co-New York	General Obligation Bonds	129.51
1/12/2023	Nassau Co (Uniondale) UFSD	School District Bonds	74.25
9/7/2023	North Hempstead Town-New York	General Obligation BANs	53.09
9/14/2023	Suffolk Co (Sachem) CSD	TANs for Taxes	65.00
9/26/2023	Suffolk Co (Smithtown) CSD	TANs for Taxes	56.00
11/1/2023	NYS Environmental Facs Corp	State Revolving Funds Rev Bonds	86.39
11/30/2023	Westchester Co-New York	General Obligation Bonds	114.22
2/7/2024	Yonkers City-New York	General Obligation Serial Bonds	55.68
3/7/2024	North Hempstead Town-New York	General Obligation BANs	75.05
3/28/2024	Binghamton City-New York	General Obligation BANs	59.16
5/9/2024	Suffolk Co (Eastport-South Manor) CSD	BANs	55.00
5/29/2024	Steuben Co (Corning) CSD	BANs	68.96
6/26/2024	Nassau Co (Hempstead) UFSD	General Obligation BANs	51.60
7/9/2024	Suffolk Co (Sachem) CSD	TANs for 2024-2025 Taxes	72.00
8/1/2024	Suffolk Co (Three Village) CSD	TANs for Taxes	52.50
8/29/2024	North Hempstead Town-New York	GO BANs	100.86
9/26/2024	Suffolk Co (Smithtown) CSD	TANs for Taxes	58.00
1/8/2025	Westchester Co (Eastchester) UFSD	GO BANs	50.03
2/5/2025	Westchester Co-New York	General Obligation Bonds	118.38
3/6/2025	North Hempstead Town-New York	General Obligation BANs	85.02
3/27/2025	Binghamton City-New York	GO Various Purpose BANs	55.23

* * *

Summary of Five (5) Transactions

The following is a summary of five (5) issues of tax exempt serial bonds and/or notes in which the attorneys to be assigned to this engagement participated as bond counsel.

Issuer: City of Yonkers

Name, size of issue and term of issue: \$11,455,000 General Obligation Serial Bonds – 2024D (Federally Taxable) maturing in the years 2025 through 2033 and \$13,765,000 School Serial Bonds – 2024C, maturing in the years 2025 through 2044

Purpose of Issue: Various municipal and school purposes

Date of Issue: September 27, 2024

Manner in which sold: Negotiated

Name of bond attorneys on issue: Robert P. Smith and William J. Jackson

Name of tax and securities law attorneys on issue: Luisella Wilke and Brian Garzione

Role: Bond Counsel

Client Contacts: John A. Liszewski, Commissioner of Finance - 914-377-6160 or Matthew Gallagher, Corporation Counsel - 914-377-3005

Issuer: County of Westchester

Name, size of issue and term of issue: \$118,380,000 General Obligation Bonds-2025 Series A, maturing in the years 2026 through 2039

Purpose of Issue: Various purposes in and for Westchester County

Date of Issue: February 19, 2025

Manner in which sold: Competitive Bid

Name of bond attorneys on issue: Robert P. Smith and Daniel G. Birmingham

Name of tax attorney on issue: Luisella Wilke

Role: Bond Counsel

Client Contact: Karin Hablow, Commissioner of Finance - 914-995-2757

Issuer: County of Suffolk

Name, size of issue and term of issue: \$136,615,000 Public Improvement Serial Bonds-2022 Series C maturing in the years 2023 through 2036

Purpose of Issue: Various municipal purposes

Date of Issue: September 1, 2022

Manner in which sold: Competitive Bid

Name of bond attorneys on issue: Robert P. Smith and William J. Jackson

Name of tax and securities law attorneys on issue: Brian Organ and Brian Garzione

Role: Disclosure Counsel

Client Contacts: John M. Kennedy, Jr., County Comptroller - 631-853-5040

Issuer: County of Orange
Name, size of issue and term of issue: \$26,500,000 Public Improvement Serial Bonds-2024, maturing in the years 2025 through 2037
Purpose of Issue: Various municipal purposes
Date of Issue: March 13, 2024
Manner in which sold: Competitive Bid
Name of bond attorneys on issue: Robert P. Smith and Daniel G. Birmingham
Name of tax attorney on issue: Luisella Wilke
Role: Bond Counsel
Client Contact: Kerry Gallagher, Commissioner of Finance - 845-291-2480

Issuer: City of Binghamton
Name, size of issue and term of issue: \$11,026,000 Public Improvement Serial Bonds-2025 Series A maturing in the years 2021 through 2025 and \$19,365,000 Public Improvement Serial Bonds-2025 Series B maturing in the years 2026 through 2053 and \$55,228,000 Various Purpose Bond Anticipation Notes-2025
Purpose of Issue: Various municipal purposes
Date of Issue: April 10, 2025
Manner in which sold: Competitive Bid
Name of bond attorneys on issue: Robert P. Smith and William J. Jackson
Name of tax attorney on issue: Luisella Wilke
Role: Bond Counsel
Client Contact: *Chuck Robinson*, Comptroller - 607-772-7011

References

The following is a list of references, with the names of the contact persons, addresses and telephone numbers. We encourage you to contact any of our clients for reference purposes, including those listed in Section II of this proposal.

County of Westchester, New York - From 1918 to 1992 and again from 1998 to the present, Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP has served as bond counsel to the County of Westchester. As bond counsel, we have rendered hundreds of approving and tax opinions for County note and bond issues. In Westchester we have worked within the confines of the unique Local Finance Law provisions applicable to Westchester County, including Section 33.10, which until recently required a referendum on bond acts authorizing capital improvements in excess of statutory cost limits, subject to certain exceptions that have been added over the years. In consultation with Westchester County officials, the firm drafted most of these exceptions and provided advice and counsel during the successful efforts to have such exceptions enacted by the State Legislature. In particular, the exception for acquisition and construction of hospital facilities formerly leased by Westchester County from the State Housing Finance Agency provided the basis for conversion of such facilities to County ownership. Other critical financing programs for Westchester County have included the Westchester County Health Care Corporation, the County Center, the Correctional Facility, and the Airport Terminal Modernization, each of which was structured based on our consultation with County officials, staff and consultants to effectuate the desired result without adversely affecting Westchester County's credit rating and in complete compliance with the referendum approval requirement.

The firm represented Westchester County in the 1999 securitization of the County's future right, title and interest under the Master Settlement Agreement (the "Settlement") entered into by participating cigarette manufacturers, 46 states and six other U.S. jurisdictions in November 1998 in settlement of certain smoking-related litigation and the Consent Decree and Final Judgment entered in New York State Supreme Court for New York County, including the County's rights to receive certain initial and annual payments to be made by such manufacturers under such agreement. The transaction was structured as a sale of Westchester's rights to the Settlement to a bankruptcy-remote not-for-profit corporation established as a subsidiary of the Westchester County Health Care Corporation. In 2005 the firm represented Westchester County in the restructuring of the original securitization as Turbo Term bonds, utilizing our experience as the leading law firm in the nation for tobacco securitization transactions in order to incorporate the latest financing structures acceptable to investors. This transaction resulted in release of funds from reserves under the original 1999 structure and generation of new money proceeds in order to provide substantial funding for continued County support of the Medical Center.

In 2000, the firm represented Westchester County in the initial capital financing transaction for the Westchester County Health Care Corporation, accomplished through the two-tiered structuring and issuance of the Corporation's \$255,100,000 Series 2000 Revenue Bonds. The firm negotiated and structured a guaranty agreement between the Corporation and the County. In 2005, the firm participated in the drafting of legislation authorizing direct County financing of Medical Center capital projects and thus continue the Medical Center's capital improvement programs despite the loss of market access by the Westchester County Health Care Corporation.

During the 1980s the firm was able to provide Westchester County with the benefit of its solid waste disposal finance expertise and creativity in connection with the bond issues for the waste-to-energy facility in Peekskill.

We developed the structure of financing for the Westchester energy facility using the Industrial Development Agency to avoid County risk on the technology and with both an Intermunicipal Agreement to solidify municipal support for the financing and the establishment of a refuse disposal district to establish the assessing power to secure the bonds without impacting on the overall taxing power of the County.

During the fiscal crisis of 1975, the national market for New York issues had closed due primarily to the New York City fiscal crisis and the default by the Urban Development Corporation on its notes. As a result, the municipal market immediately began demanding a complete and full disclosure document from municipalities, rather than the traditional brief document setting forth basic statistical data as then required by the State Comptroller's regulations. The firm managed, drafted and finalized the first Official Statement of the County for its pending note issue (being the first of any general obligation credit in New York to be mailed throughout the United States in that year), and rendered our opinion that the document disclosed all material matters regarding the County. The result was that the notes of the County were the first New York note issue successfully sold in the national municipal bond market, and ultimately led the way for the restoration and reopening of the market for all New York issuers. The basic format of the Official Statement became the model for and was incorporated in the National Municipal Finance Officers Recommended Disclosure Guide for all municipalities in New York and elsewhere.

Contact: Karin Hablow, Commissioner of Finance, 148 Martine Avenue, White Plains, New York 10601, Telephone: (914) 995-2761, Email: keh3@westchestergov.com

City of Yonkers, New York - Our service as bond counsel to the City of Yonkers began in 1909. Over the years we have provided approving legal opinions on numerous bond and note issues, including general obligation bonds for general City purposes and school purposes. For more than 100 years, we have been involved in assisting the City in resolving various financial difficulties, including those that arose in the 1970s. In recent years, we have advised the City of Yonkers regarding various legal issues relating to the approximately \$42 million deficit in the general fund of the Yonkers Public Schools. In connection with such deficit, special State legislation (Chapter 55 of the Laws of the State of New York of 2014) was enacted by the State of New York, which authorized the City of Yonkers to issue bonds in the principal amount of not to exceed \$45,000,000 for the purpose of liquidating such deficit. Chapter 55 of the Laws of the State of New York of 2014 provided that the City was not authorized to issue any bonds for the purpose of liquidating such deficit until the amounts of such deficit was confirmed and certified by the State Comptroller. On February 19, 2015, the State Comptroller certified the amount of such deficit as of June 30, 2014, to be \$41,718,751 and on March 27, 2015, the City's \$37,260,000 School Deficit Bonds-2015A were issued pursuant to this authorization. In addition, Chapter 55 also authorized a one-time \$28.0 million grant by the State of New York to the City of Yonkers; however, in order to receive the funding from the State, the City and the Yonkers Public Schools were required to enter into a new inter-municipal agreement, which provides for the City of Yonkers to impose specific financial, operational and/or supervisory controls over the Yonkers Public Schools. The new inter-municipal agreement, the first of its kind in the State of New York, became effective upon the June 30, 2014 and continues in perpetuity from the date of execution.

Today, we continue to work with the City of Yonkers to address capital needs for the City and Yonkers Public Schools. We are currently working with the City on the issuance of several series of bonds and notes, the proceeds of which are to be used by the City to finance various capital improvements of the City, litigation settlements and certain projects of the Yonkers Public Schools. Over the past several years, we have worked closely with the Yonkers Public Schools relating to the financing of the new Sonia Sotomayor New Community School. The new school was constructed and financed pursuant to State legislation enacted on September 29, 2016 as Chapter 355 of the Laws of the 2016 Laws of New York and known as the Yonkers City School District Joint Schools Construction and Modernization Act (the "Modernization Act"). The Modernization Act provided the City and the Yonkers Public Schools with increased flexibility to meet the needs of its school children by providing an alternative financing mechanism to facilitate access to adequate capital for the financing of the new school.

Contact: John A. Liszewski, Commissioner of Finance, 1 Larkin Center, Yonkers, New York 10701, Telephone: (914) 377-6160, Email: john.liszewski@YonkersNY.gov

City of Binghamton, New York - We have served as bond counsel to the City of Binghamton from the early 1990s through the present. In that capacity we have delivered approving opinions on general obligation bonds and notes issued for various capital purposes. The City generally issues bonds and notes annually to provide funds for its capital program requirements. We

have also served as bond counsel to the City in connection with the issuance of its refunding bond issues and various other financings, including financings through New York State Environmental Facilities Agency.

Contact: *Chuck Robinson, Comptroller, 38 Hawley Street, Binghamton, New York 14456, Telephone (607) 772-7011, Email: cwrobinson@cityofbinghamton.gov.*

* * *

Malpractice Claims

There have been no malpractice claims against any of the attorneys listed above within the last ten years.

SECTION IV

Plan Implementation. We are proposing a team approach for providing service to the County. This potential engagement is very important to us and we are prepared to staff this engagement with seasoned and highly qualified attorneys. You can be assured that the attorneys we are proposing to work with the County are well-equipped to handle any legal issue pertaining to bond counsel services. Our goal is to tap our expertise and legal talents effectively in order to manage the County's transactions fully and efficiently so that the County can achieve savings in legal costs and enjoy the benefits of our experience.

This engagement would be serviced from our New York City office, from which we service our extensive New York State practice. However, the attorneys at each of our other offices regularly provide information to the New York office on important financings in other states and we will always endeavor to help the County take advantage of any applicable new techniques or concepts.

Our approach is to maximize efficiency by working with the issuer, the financial advisor, the investment bankers and other members of the financing team at early stages to identify financing needs and conduct our tax analysis at an early stage so that time and effort are not expended needlessly. We suggest establishing a reasonable time and responsibility schedule early so that all parties know what is expected and when. Bond counsel must lead the legal team by being timely in document production and responsive to questions and comments. Our attorneys would be available at any time to respond to inquiries or requests for legal assistance.

In addition, the attorneys assigned to County matters would review proposed capital projects and financing structures for compliance with the provisions of the New York State Constitution and the Local Finance Law. Our extensive experience with all forms of public finance will be applied to such reviews. Attorneys will also prepare documentation, including bond resolutions, legal opinions, investment agreements and all other documentation associated with the County's bond issues. Our extensive federal tax law practice will be able to analyze the efficacy of projects and financing structures in light of federal tax regulations. We would also

draw upon our knowledge of the requirements of federal securities laws in reviewing and commenting on the County's official statements.

We pride ourselves in delivering services to our clients on a cost-effective and efficient basis. Each transaction is administered by a billing partner whose tasks include assigning associate and tax support to implement the transaction. While it is difficult to identify objective standards to establish the effectiveness of a law firm, we have demonstrated that we are highly competitive in billing rates, transaction staffing, schedule management, and milestone compliance. Our long-standing relationships with prominent issuers throughout New York State and the country is evidence of the success of our approach.

Serving the County as bond counsel will be a top priority for the firm. Therefore, all of the personnel assigned to County matters will be available at the convenience of County officials. Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP pledges to the County that it will commit with enthusiasm and dedication the partners and associates required to counsel the County at all times. We can assure you that no other concurrent engagement will adversely impact on the availability of individuals needed by the County for an assignment. All of the attorneys assigned to this engagement will be available to attend meetings at the County's office or elsewhere upon short notice, if requested.

* * *

SECTION V

Although we are confident that our service, expertise and reputation cannot be matched, we are aware that the matter of cost can often outweigh the other factors in a municipality's consideration of Bond Counsel proposals. Accordingly, with the objective of achieving a mutually acceptable fee arrangement, we would appreciate the opportunity to address any cost differential or related issue that may arise in your Bond Counsel selection process. We encourage you to communicate to us any such concerns you have regarding our fee proposal, and would be pleased to consider an alternative fee arrangement that would satisfy your requirements.

Cost Proposal. Our fees for rendering legal opinions concurrently with the issuance of general obligation bonds or notes would be computed in accordance with the schedules set forth below, subject to the transactional minimum and maximum fees indicated for each series of bonds or notes offered for sale by the County. Subject to the provisions set forth below, such fees would apply to bond and note financings undertaken by the County for a three (3) year period immediately following the date of award of the contract and any subsequent renewals of the contract with the County.

Bonds

Our fee relating to a publicly sold serial bond issue (excluding refundings) would be computed as follows:

\$1.15 per \$1,000 for the first \$5,000,000 bonds offered for sale

\$1.00 per \$1,000 for the next \$5,000,000 bonds offered for sale

\$.95 per \$1,000 for the next \$5,000,000 bonds offered for sale

\$.75 per \$1,000 thereafter.

The above fee calculation for any particular series of bonds offered for sale would be subject to a minimum fee of \$8,500 and a maximum fee of \$17,500. For each series of bonds offered for sale in a par amount of \$50,000,000 or more an additional fee of \$20,000 would apply to such series. The respective fees set forth in this paragraph will increase by \$2,500 annually.

Notes

Our fee for publicly sold note issues, including bond anticipation notes, tax anticipation notes, revenue anticipation notes, capital notes, deficiency notes and budget notes, whether original or renewal issues, or issues which combine new obligations with renewal obligations, would be computed as follows:

\$.90 per \$1,000 for the first \$5,000,000 bonds offered for sale

\$.75 per \$1,000 for the next \$5,000,000 bonds offered for sale

\$.60 per \$1,000 thereafter.

The above fee calculation for any particular series of notes would be subject to a minimum fee of \$7,500 and a maximum fee of \$12,500. For each series of notes offered for sale in a par amount of \$50,000,000 or more an additional fee of \$10,000 would apply to such series. The respective fees set forth in this paragraph will increase by \$2,500 annually.

For illustration purposes, we have applied the foregoing fee schedule applicable to 2025 to several of the recent bond and bond anticipation note issues of the County:

Year	Par	Type of obligation	Fee	Fee for par in excess of \$50m	Total fee
2022	\$23,230,000	Serial Bond	\$17,500	\$0	\$17,500
2021	22,970,000	Serial Bond	17,500	0	17,500
2020	4,100,000	Serial Bond	8,500	0	8,500
2019	72,440,000	Serial Bond	17,500	20,000	37,500
2019	37,388,690	BAN	12,500		12,500
2018	140,740,000	Serial Bond	17,500	20,000	37,500
2017	134,279,450	BAN	12,500	10,000	22,500
2016	102,023,089	BAN	12,500	10,000	22,500
2013	37,054,320	Serial Bond	17,500		17,500

Bond Resolution Preparation

We will **not** charge a fee for the preparation of bond resolutions.

Negotiated Issues, EFC financings and Refunding Bonds

Due to the many variables involved in negotiated issues, financings through EFC and refunding bond issues, our fee for bond or note issues involving the “private” or negotiated sale (as opposed to the usual public sale), financings with EFC and/or refunding bond issues (competitive and negotiated) would be determined in consultation with the County at the outset of each particular issue.

Hourly Fees

For local governments and school districts in New York State, the firm does not typically bill on an hourly basis for its standard bond counsel services related to a particular financing.

In the event that additional services are requested by the County, we would propose to charge a fee of \$375 per hour for attorney time spent on such matters. We would not charge for the time spent by our paralegals and support staff on such matters. It should be noted that such hourly rate is significantly discounted from our standard hourly rates for partners and associates.

If the County should request specialized federal securities law or tax law services, including services relating to IRS or SEC audits, we would propose charging for our services at a special hourly rate that would be agreed upon with the County prior to the commencement of any work.

Disbursements

The foregoing fees include all of our usual and customary out-of-pocket disbursements, such as telephone tolls, duplicating, facsimile transmission, postage, FedEx and other delivery service charges, preparation and filing of IRS 8038 Reporting Forms, and preparation of all bond and note instruments. There will be no extra charges.

SECTION VI

Mandatory Documentation

COUNTY OF ALBANY

PROPOSAL FORM

PROPOSAL IDENTIFICATION:

Title: Bond Counsel
RFP Number: 2025-078

THIS PROPOSAL IS SUBMITTED TO:

Pamela O Neill, Purchasing Agent
Albany County Department of General Services
Purchasing Division
112 State Street, Room 1000
Albany, NY 12207

1. The undersigned Proposer proposes and agrees, if this Proposal is accepted, to enter into a Contract with the owner in the form included in the Contract Documents to complete all Work as specified or indicated in the Contract Documents for the Contract Price and within the Contract Time indicated in this Proposal and in accordance with the Contract Documents.
2. Proposer accepts all of the terms and conditions of the Instructions to Proposers, including without limitation those dealing with the Disposition of Proposal Security. This Proposal may remain open for ninety (90) days after the day of Proposal opening. Proposer will sign the Contract and submit the Contract Security and other documents required by the Contract Documents within fifteen days after the date of County's Notice of Award.
3. In submitting this Proposal, Proposer represents, as more fully set forth in this Contract, that:

- (a) Proposer has examined copies of all the Contract Documents and of the following addenda: (If none, so state)

Date	Number
April 23, 2025	Addendum 1

(receipt of all of which is hereby acknowledges) and also copies of the Notice to Proposers and the Instructions to Proposers;

- (b) Proposer has examined the site and locality where the Work is to be performed, the legal requirements (federal, state and local laws, ordinances, rules and regulations) and the conditions affecting cost, progress or performance of the Work and has made such independent investigations as Proposer deems necessary;

- (c) This Proposal is genuine and not made in the interest of or on behalf of any undisclosed person, firm or corporation and is not submitted in conformity with any agreement or rules of any group, association, organization or corporation; Proposer has not directly or indirectly induced or solicited any other Proposer to submit a false or sham Proposal; PROPOSER has not solicited or induced any person, firm or a corporation to refrain from Proposing; and Proposer has not sought by collusion to obtain for himself any advantage over any other Proposer or over the owner.

- 4. Proposer will complete the Work for the following prices(s): (Attach Proposal)
- 5. Proposer agrees to commence the Work within the number of calendar days or by the specific date indicated in the Contract. Proposer agrees that the Work will be completed within the number of Calendar days or by the specific date indicated in the contract.
- 6. The following documents are attached to and made a condition of this Proposal:
 - (a) Non-Collusive Bidding Certificate (Attachment "A")
 - (b) Acknowledgment by Bidder (Attachment "B")
 - (c) Vendor Responsibility Questionnaire (Attachment "C")
 - (d) Iranian Energy Divestment Certification (Attachment "D")

- 7. Communication concerning this Proposal shall be addressed to:

William J. Jackson, Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP, 140 Broadway, 42nd floor, NY, NY 10005

Phone: 212-820-9620

- 8. Terms used in this Proposal have the meanings assigned to them in the Contract and General Provisions.

COUNTY OF ALBANY

COST PROPOSAL FORM

PROPOSAL IDENTIFICATION:

Title: Bond Counsel
RFP Number: 2025-078

COMPANY:

Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP

ADDRESS:

140 Broadway, 42nd floor

CITY, STATE, ZIP:

NY, NY 10005

TEL. NO.:

212-820-9620

FAX NO.:

FEDERAL TAX ID NO.:

13-5513990

REPRESENTATIVE:

William J. Jackson

E-MAIL:

wjackson@hawkins.com

SIGNATURE AND TITLE:

 Partner

DATE:

May 7, 2025

ATTACHMENT "A"
NON-COLLUSIVE BIDDING CERTIFICATE PURSUANT TO
SECTION 103-D OF THE NEW YORK STATE GENERAL MUNICIPAL LAW

A. By submission of this bid, each bidder and each person signing on behalf of any bidder certifies, and in the case of a joint bid, each party thereto certifies as to its own organizations, under penalty of perjury, that to the best of knowledge and belief:

(1) The prices in this bid have been arrived at independently without collusion, consultation, communication, or agreement, for the purpose of restricting competition, as to any matter relating to such prices with any other bidder or with any competitor.

(2) Unless otherwise required by law, the prices which have been quoted in this bid have not knowingly been disclosed by the bidder and will not knowingly be disclosed by the bidder, directly or indirectly, prior to opening, to any bidder or to any competitor.

(3) No attempt has been made or will be made by the bidder to induce any other person, partnership or corporation to submit or not to submit a bid for the purpose of restricting competition.

A bid shall not be considered for award nor shall any award be made where (1), (2), and (3) above have not been complied with; provided, however, that in any case the bidder cannot make the foregoing certification, the bidder shall so state and shall furnish with the bid a signed statement which sets forth in detail the reasons thereof. Where (1), (2), and (3) above have not been complied with, the bid shall not be considered for any award nor shall any award be made unless the head of the Purchasing Unit to the political subdivision, public department, agency or official thereof to which the bid is made, or his designee, determines that such disclosure was not made for the purpose of restricting competition.

The fact that a bidder (a) has published price lists, rates, or tariffs covering items being procured, (b) has informed prospective customer of proposed or pending publication of new or revised price lists for such items, or (c) has sold the same items to other customers at the same prices being bid, does not constitute, without more, a disclosure within the meaning of paragraph "A" above.

B. Any bid hereafter made to any political subdivision of the state or any public department, agency or official thereof by a corporate bidder for work or services performed or to be performed or goods sold or to be sold, where competitive bidding is required by statute, rule, regulation, local law, and where such bid contains the certification referred to in paragraph "A" of this section, shall be deemed to have been authorized by the Board of Directors of the bidder, and such authorization shall be deemed to include the submission of the bid and the inclusion therein of the certificate as to non-collusion as the act and deed of the corporation



Signature

Partner

Title

Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP

Company Name

May 7, 2025

Date

ATTACHMENT "B"
ACKNOWLEDGMENT BY PROPOSER

If Individual or Individuals:

STATE OF _____)
COUNTY OF _____) SS.:

On this _____ day of _____, 20____, before me personally appeared _____ to me known and known to me to be the same person(s) described in and who executed the within instrument, and he (or they severally) acknowledged to me that he (or they) executed the same.

Notary Public, State of _____

Qualified in _____

Commission Expires _____

If Corporation:

STATE OF _____)
COUNTY OF _____) SS.:

On this _____ day of _____, 20____, before me personally appeared _____ to me known, who, being by me sworn, did say that he resides at (give address) _____; that he is the (give title) Partner of the (name of corporation) _____, the corporation described in and which executed the above instrument; that he knows the seal of the corporation, and that the seal affixed to the instrument is such corporate seal; that it was so affixed by order of the board of directors of the corporation, and that he signed his name thereto by like order.

Notary Public, State of _____

Qualified in _____

Commission Expires _____

If Partnership:

STATE OF New York)
COUNTY OF New York) SS.:

On the 7th day of May, 20 25, before me personally came William J. Jackson, to me known to be the individual who executed the foregoing, and who, being duly sworn, did depose and say that he / she is a partner of the firm of Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP and that he / she has the authority to sign the same, and acknowledged that he / she executed the same as the act and deed of said partnership.

Marie A. Liotta
Notary Public, State of New York
Reg. No. 01L16433871
Qualified in Richmond County
Commission Expires 05/23/2026

Marie A. Liotta
Notary Public, State of New York
Qualified in Richmond County
Commission Expires 5/23/2026

ATTACHMENT "C"
ALBANY COUNTY
VENDOR RESPONSIBILITY QUESTIONNAIRE

1. VENDOR IS: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PRIME CONTRACTOR			
2. VENDOR'S LEGAL BUSINESS NAME Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP		3. IDENTIFICATION NUMBERS a) FEIN # 13-5513990 b) DUNS #	
4. D/B/A – Doing Business As (if applicable) & COUNTY FIELD:		5. WEBSITE ADDRESS (if applicable) www.hawkins.com	
6. ADDRESS OF PRIMARY PLACE OF BUSINESS/EXECUTIVE OFFICE 140 Broadway, 42nd floor, New York, New York		7. TELEPHONE NUMBER 212-820-9620	8. FAX NUMBER
9. ADDRESS OF PRIMARY PLACE OF BUSINESS/EXECUTIVE OFFICE <i>IN NEW YORK STATE, if different from above</i>		10. TELEPHONE NUMBER	11. FAX NUMBER
12. AUTHORIZED CONTACT FOR THIS QUESTIONNAIRE Name William J. Jackson Title Partner Telephone Number 212-820-9620 Fax Number e-mail wjackson@hawkins.com			
13. LIST ALL OF THE VENDOR'S PRINCIPAL OWNERS. See attached list			
a) NAME	TITLE	b) NAME	TITLE
c) NAME	TITLE	d) NAME	TITLE
<p>A DETAILED EXPLANATION IS REQUIRED FOR EACH QUESTION ANSWERED WITH A "YES," AND MUST BE PROVIDED AS AN ATTACHMENT TO THE COMPLETED QUESTIONNAIRE. YOU MUST PROVIDE ADEQUATE DETAILS OR DOCUMENTS TO AID THE COUNTY IN MAKING A DETERMINATION OF VENDOR RESPONSIBILITY. PLEASE NUMBER EACH RESPONSE TO MATCH THE QUESTION NUMBER.</p>			
14. DOES THE VENDOR USE, OR HAS IT USED IN THE PAST FIVE (5) YEARS, ANY OTHER BUSINESS NAME, FEIN, or D/B/A OTHER THAN THOSE LISTED IN ITEMS 2-4 ABOVE? List all other business name(s), Federal Employer Identification Number(s) or any D/B/A names and the dates that these names or numbers were/are in use. Explain the relationship to the vendor.		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
15. ARE THERE ANY INDIVIDUALS NOW SERVING IN A MANAGERIAL OR CONSULTING CAPACITY TO THE VENDOR, INCLUDING PRINCIPAL OWNERS AND OFFICERS, WHO NOW SERVE OR IN THE PAST ONE (1) YEARS HAVE SERVED AS:		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
a) An elected or appointed public official or officer? <i>List each individual's name, business title, the name of the organization and position elected or appointed to, and dates of service</i>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
b) An officer of any political party organization in Albany County, whether paid or unpaid? <i>List each individual's name, business title or consulting capacity and the official political position held with applicable service dates.</i>		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

15(a) Daniel G. Birmingham, Partner, Putnam County, Legislator, January 1, 2025 - present

16.	<p>WITHIN THE PAST (5) YEARS, HAS THE VENDOR, ANY INDIVIDUALS SERVING IN MANAGERIAL OR CONSULTING CAPACITY, PRINCIPAL OWNERS, OFFICERS, MAJOR STOCKHOLDER(S) (10% OR MORE OF THE VOTING SHARES FOR PUBLICLY TRADED COMPANIES, 25% OR MORE OF THE SHARES FOR ALL OTHER COMPANIES), AFFILIATE OR ANY PERSON INVOLVED IN THE BIDDING OR CONTRACTING PROCESS:</p>	
	<p>a) 1. been suspended, debarred or terminated by a local, state or federal authority in connection with a contract or contracting process;</p> <p>2. been disqualified for cause as a bidder on any permit, license, concession franchise or lease;</p> <p>3. entered into an agreement to a voluntary exclusion from bidding/contracting;</p> <p>4. had a bid rejected on an Albany County contract for failure to comply with the MacBride Fair Employment Principles;</p> <p>5. had a low bid rejected on a local, state or federal contract for failure to meet statutory affirmative action or M/WBE requirements on a previously held contract;</p> <p>6. had status as a Women's Business Enterprise, Minority Business Enterprise or Disadvantaged Business Enterprise, de-certified, revoked or forfeited;</p> <p>7. been subject to an administrative proceeding or civil action seeking specific performance or restitution in connection with any local, state or federal government contract;</p> <p>8. been denied an award of a local, state or federal government contract, had a contract suspended or had a contract terminated for non-responsibility; or</p> <p>9. had a local, state or federal government contract suspended or terminated for cause prior to the completion of the term of the contract.</p> <p>b) been indicted, convicted, received a judgment against them or a grant of immunity for any business-related conduct constituting a crime under local, state or federal law including but not limited to, fraud extortion, bribery, racketeering, price-fixing, bid collusion or any crime related to truthfulness and/or business conduct?</p> <p>c) been issued a citation, notice, violation order, or are pending an administrative hearing or proceeding or determination of violations of:</p> <p>1. federal, state or local health laws, rules or regulations.</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>
17.	<p>IN THE PAST THREE (3) YEARS, HAS THE VENDOR OR ITS AFFILIATES ¹ HAD ANY CLAIMS, JUDGMENTS, INJUNCTIONS, LIENS, FINES OR PENALTIES SECURED BY ANY GOVERNMENTAL AGENCY?</p> <p>Indicate if this is applicable to the submitting vendor or affiliate. State whether the situation(s) was a claim, judgment, injunction, lien or other with an explanation. Provide the name(s) and address(es) of the agency, the amount of the original obligation and outstanding balance. If any of these items are open, unsatisfied, indicate the status of each item as "open" or "unsatisfied."</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>
18.	<p>DURING THE PAST THREE (3) YEARS, HAS THE VENDOR FAILED TO:</p> <p>a) file returns or pay any applicable federal, state or city taxes? <i>Identify the taxing jurisdiction, type of tax, liability year(s), and tax liability amount the vendor failed to file/pay and the current status of the liability.</i></p> <p>b) file returns or pay New York State unemployment insurance? <i>Indicate the years the vendor failed to file/pay the insurance and the current status of the liability.</i></p> <p>c) Property Tax <i>Indicate the years the vendor failed to file.</i></p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>
19.	<p>HAVE ANY BANKRUPTCY PROCEEDINGS BEEN INITIATED BY OR AGAINST THE VENDOR OR ITS AFFILIATES ¹ WITHIN THE PAST SEVEN (7) YEARS (WHETHER OR NOT CLOSED) OR IS ANY BANKRUPTCY PROCEEDING PENDING BY OR AGAINST THE VENDOR OR ITS AFFILIATES REGARDLESS OF THE DATE OF FILING?</p> <p>Indicate if this is applicable to the submitting vendor or affiliate. If it is an affiliate, include the affiliate's name and FEIN. Provide the court name, address and docket number. Indicate if the proceedings have been initiated, remain pending or have been closed. If closed, provide the date closed.</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>
20.	<p>IS THE VENDOR CURRENTLY INSOLVENT, OR DOES VENDOR CURRENTLY HAVE REASON TO BELIEVE THAT AN INVOLUNTARY BANKRUPTCY PROCEEDING MAY BE BROUGHT AGAINST IT? Provide financial information to support the vendor's current position, for example, Current Ration, Debt Ration, Age of Accounts Payable, Cash Flow and any documents that will provide the agency with an understanding of the vendor's situation.</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>

21. IN THE PAST FIVE (5) YEARS, HAS THE VENDOR OR ANY AFFILIATES¹ : ☐ Yes ☒ No
a) defaulted or been terminated on, or had its surety called upon to complete, any contract (public or private) awarded;

Indicate if this is applicable to the submitting vendor or affiliate. Detail the situation(s) that gave rise to the negative action, any corrective action taken by the vendor and the name of the contracting agency.

¹ "Affiliate" meaning: (a) any entity in which the vendor owns more than 50% of the voting stock; (b) any individual, entity or group of principal owners or officers who own more than 50% of the voting stock of the vendor; or (c) any entity whose voting stock is more than 50% owned by the same individual, entity or group described in clause (b). In addition, if a vendor owns less than 50% of the voting stock of another entity, but directs or has the right to direct such entity's daily operations, that entity will be an "affiliate" for purposes of this questionnaire.

Equity Partner

**Daniel Birmingham
Robert Beinfield
Arthur M. Cohen
Jennifer Cordova
Kristine Flynn
Daniel Fuss
Brian Garzione
Lisa Hagan
David Handler
Alexis Harrington
William Jackson
Samuel Litton
Lloyd Lowy
Carol McCoog
Melanie Murakami
Kevin Murphy
Diane Quan
John Renken
Eric Sapir
Robert Smith
Joseph Sullivan
Christopher Taylor
Sean Tierney
Charles Toto
Gulgun Ugur
Howard Zucker**

**ALBANY COUNTY
VENDOR RESPONSIBILITY QUESTIONNAIRE**

FEIN # 13-5513990

State of: New York)
) ss:
County of: Nassau)

CERTIFICATION:

The undersigned: recognizes that this questionnaire is submitted for the express purpose of assisting the County of Albany in making a determination regarding an award of contract or approval of a subcontract; acknowledges that the County may in its discretion, by means which it may choose, verify the truth and accuracy of all statements made herein; acknowledges that intentional submission of false or misleading information may constitute a felony under Penal Law Section 210.40 or a misdemeanor under Penal Law Section 210.35 or Section 210.45, and may also be punishable by a fine and/or imprisonment of up to five years under 18 USC Section 1001 and may result in contract termination; and states that the information submitted in this questionnaire and any attached pages is true, accurate and complete.

The undersigned certifies that he/she:

- Has not altered the content of the questions in the questionnaire in any manner;
- Has read and understands all of the items contained in the questionnaire and any pages attached by the submitting vendor;
- Has supplied full and complete responses to each item therein to the best of his/her knowledge, information and belief;
- Is knowledgeable about the submitting vendor's business and operations;
- Understands that Albany County will rely on the information supplied in the questionnaire when entering into a contract with the vendor;
- Is under duty to notify the Albany County Purchasing Division of any material changes to the vendor's responses.

Name of Business Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP

Signature of Owner



Address 140 Broadway, 42nd floor

Printed Name of Signatory William J. Jackson

City, State, Zip NY, NY 10005

Title Partner

Sworn before me this 7th day of May, 2025:

Notary Public

Marie A. Liotta
Notary Public, State of New York
Reg. No. 01LI6433871
Qualified in Richmond County
Commission Expires 05/23/2026

Printed Name

Marie A. Liotta

Signature

Marie A. Liotta

Date

5/7/2025

Attachment "D"
Certification Pursuant to Section 103-g
Of the New York State
General Municipal Law

- A. By submission of this bid/proposal, each bidder/proposer and each person signing on behalf of any bidder/proposer certifies, and in the case of a joint bid, each party thereto certifies as to its own organization, under penalty of perjury, that to the best of its knowledge and belief that each bidder is not on the list created pursuant to paragraph (b) of subdivision 3 of Section 165-a of the New York State Finance Law.
- B. A Bid/Proposal shall not be considered for award, nor shall any award be made where the condition set forth in Paragraph A above has not been complied with; provided, however, that in any case the bidder/proposer cannot make the foregoing certification set forth in Paragraph A above, the bidder/proposer shall so state and shall furnish with the bid a signed statement which sets forth in detail the reasons therefor. Where Paragraph A above cannot be complied with, the Purchasing Unit to the political subdivision, public department, agency or official thereof to which the bid/proposal is made, or his designee, may award a bid/proposal, on a case by case business under the following circumstances:
1. The investment activities in Iran were made before April 12, 2012, the investment activities in Iran have not been expanded or renewed after April 12, 2012, and the Bidder/Proposer has adopted, publicized and is implementing a formal plan to cease the investment activities in Iran and to refrain from engaging in any new investments in Iran; or
 2. The political subdivision makes a determination that the goods or services are necessary for the political subdivision to perform its functions and that, absent such an exemption, the political subdivision would be unable to obtain the goods or services for which the contract is offered. Such determination shall be made in writing and shall be a public document.



Signature

Partner

Title

May 7, 2025
Date

Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP
Company Name



CERTIFICATE OF LIABILITY INSURANCE

DATE (MM/DD/YYYY)

5/7/2025

THIS CERTIFICATE IS ISSUED AS A MATTER OF INFORMATION ONLY AND CONFERS NO RIGHTS UPON THE CERTIFICATE HOLDER. THIS CERTIFICATE DOES NOT AFFIRMATIVELY OR NEGATIVELY AMEND, EXTEND OR ALTER THE COVERAGE AFFORDED BY THE POLICIES BELOW. THIS CERTIFICATE OF INSURANCE DOES NOT CONSTITUTE A CONTRACT BETWEEN THE ISSUING INSURER(S), AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE OR PRODUCER, AND THE CERTIFICATE HOLDER.

IMPORTANT: If the certificate holder is an ADDITIONAL INSURED, the policy(ies) must have ADDITIONAL INSURED provisions or be endorsed. If SUBROGATION IS WAIVED, subject to the terms and conditions of the policy, certain policies may require an endorsement. A statement on this certificate does not confer rights to the certificate holder in lieu of such endorsement(s).

PRODUCER N/A Insurer: Attorneys' Liability Assurance Society Ltd., A Risk Retention Group (ALAS) 10 South Riverside Plaza, Suite 1100 Chicago, IL 60606	CONTACT NAME: Anne M. Mahoney PHONE (A/C, No, Ext): (312) 697-6900 FAX (A/C, No): E-MAIL ADDRESS: ammahoney@alas.com
INSURED Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP 140 Broadway 42nd Floor New York, NY 10005	INSURER(S) AFFORDING COVERAGE INSURER A: Attorneys' Liability Assurance Society Ltd., A Risk Retention Group (ALAS) INSURER C: INSURER D: INSURER E: INSURER F:

COVERAGES

CERTIFICATE NUMBER:

REVISION NUMBER:

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THE POLICIES OF INSURANCE LISTED BELOW HAVE BEEN ISSUED TO THE INSURED NAMED ABOVE FOR THE POLICY PERIOD INDICATED. NOTWITHSTANDING ANY REQUIREMENT, TERM OR CONDITION OF ANY CONTRACT OR OTHER DOCUMENT WITH RESPECT TO WHICH THIS CERTIFICATE MAY BE ISSUED OR MAY PERTAIN, THE INSURANCE AFFORDED BY THE POLICIES DESCRIBED HEREIN IS SUBJECT TO ALL THE TERMS, EXCLUSIONS AND CONDITIONS OF SUCH POLICIES. LIMITS SHOWN MAY HAVE BEEN REDUCED BY PAID CLAIMS.

INSR LTR	TYPE OF INSURANCE	ADDL INSR	SUBR WVD	POLICY NUMBER	POLICY EFF (MM/DD/YYYY)	POLICY EXP (MM/DD/YYYY)	LIMITS
	COMMERCIAL GENERAL LIABILITY						EACH OCCURRENCE
	CLAIMS-MADE <input type="checkbox"/> OCCUR <input type="checkbox"/>						DAMAGE TO RENTED PREMISES (Ea occurrence)
							MED EXP (Any one person)
							PERSONAL & ADV INJURY
	GEN'L AGGREGATE LIMIT APPLIES PER:						GENERAL AGGREGATE
	<input type="checkbox"/> POLICY <input type="checkbox"/> PRO- JECT <input type="checkbox"/> LOC						PRODUCTS - COMP/OP AGG
	OTHER:						
	AUTOMOBILE LIABILITY						COMBINED SINGLE LIMIT (Ea accident)
	ANY AUTO						BODILY INJURY (Per person)
	OWNED AUTOS ONLY <input type="checkbox"/> SCHEDULED HIRED AUTOS ONLY <input type="checkbox"/> NON-OWNED AUTOS ONLY <input type="checkbox"/>						BODILY INJURY (Per accident)
							PROPERTY DAMAGE (Per accident)
	UMBRELLA LIAB						EACH OCCURRENCE
	EXCESS LIAB						AGGREGATE
	DED <input type="checkbox"/> RETENTION \$ <input type="checkbox"/>						
	WORKERS COMPENSATION AND EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY						PER STATUTE
	ANY PROPRIETOR/PARTNER/EXECUTIVE OFFICER/MEMBER EXCLUDED? (Mandatory in NH)	Y / N <input type="checkbox"/>	N / A				OTH- ER
	If yes, describe under DESCRIPTION OF OPERATIONS below						E.L. EACH ACCIDENT
							E.L. DISEASE - EA EMPLOYEE
							E.L. DISEASE - POLICY LIMIT
A	Lawyers' Professional Liability	N	N	LPL-1893-2025	1/1/2025	1/1/2026	Limits not less than \$1M per claim/ Limits not less than \$1M annual aggregate

DESCRIPTION OF OPERATIONS / LOCATIONS / VEHICLES (ACORD 101, Additional Remarks Schedule, may be attached if more space is required)

Re: RFP # 2025-078

It is agreed that Attorneys' Liability Assurance Society Ltd. will provide 30-days prior written notice of cancellation of Policy LPL-1893-2025 by Attorneys' Liability Assurance Society Ltd. to Albany County, Purchasing Agent, Attn: Pamela O'Neil, 112 State Street, Room 1000, Albany, NY 12207.

CERTIFICATE HOLDER

CANCELLATION

Albany County
Purchasing Agent
112 State Street, Room 1000
Albany, NY 12207

Attn: Pamela O'Neil

SHOULD ANY OF THE ABOVE DESCRIBED POLICIES BE CANCELLED BEFORE THE EXPIRATION DATE THEREOF, NOTICE WILL BE DELIVERED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE POLICY PROVISIONS.

AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE

Anne M. Mahoney
Anne M. Mahoney - Assistant Director of Underwriting, ALAS Ltd., RRG



CERTIFICATE OF LIABILITY INSURANCE

DATE (MM/DD/YYYY)

5/8/2025

THIS CERTIFICATE IS ISSUED AS A MATTER OF INFORMATION ONLY AND CONFERS NO RIGHTS UPON THE CERTIFICATE HOLDER. THIS CERTIFICATE DOES NOT AFFIRMATIVELY OR NEGATIVELY AMEND, EXTEND OR ALTER THE COVERAGE AFFORDED BY THE POLICIES BELOW. THIS CERTIFICATE OF INSURANCE DOES NOT CONSTITUTE A CONTRACT BETWEEN THE ISSUING INSURER(S), AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE OR PRODUCER, AND THE CERTIFICATE HOLDER.

IMPORTANT: If the certificate holder is an **ADDITIONAL INSURED**, the policy(ies) must have **ADDITIONAL INSURED** provisions or be endorsed. If **SUBROGATION** IS **WAIVED**, subject to the terms and conditions of the policy, certain policies may require an endorsement. A statement on this certificate does not confer rights to the certificate holder in lieu of such endorsement(s).

PRODUCER Arthur J. Gallagher Risk Management Services, LLC 200 Jefferson Park Whippany NJ 07981	CONTACT NAME: PHONE (A/C, No, Ext): 800-350-8005 E-MAIL ADDRESS: FAX (A/C, No): 973-921-2876
INSURED Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP 7 World Trade Center 250 Greenwich Street, 41st Floor New York NY 10007-2442	INSURER(S) AFFORDING COVERAGE INSURER A: Great Northern Insurance Company INSURER B: Federal Insurance Company INSURER C: Bankers Standard Insurance Company INSURER D: INSURER E: INSURER F:
	NAIC # 20303 20281 18279

COVERAGES**CERTIFICATE NUMBER:** 764665155**REVISION NUMBER:**

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THE POLICIES OF INSURANCE LISTED BELOW HAVE BEEN ISSUED TO THE INSURED NAMED ABOVE FOR THE POLICY PERIOD INDICATED. NOTWITHSTANDING ANY REQUIREMENT, TERM OR CONDITION OF ANY CONTRACT OR OTHER DOCUMENT WITH RESPECT TO WHICH THIS CERTIFICATE MAY BE ISSUED OR MAY PERTAIN, THE INSURANCE AFFORDED BY THE POLICIES DESCRIBED HEREIN IS SUBJECT TO ALL THE TERMS, EXCLUSIONS AND CONDITIONS OF SUCH POLICIES. LIMITS SHOWN MAY HAVE BEEN REDUCED BY PAID CLAIMS.

INSR LTR	TYPE OF INSURANCE	ADDL INSD	SUBR WVD	POLICY NUMBER	POLICY EFF (MM/DD/YYYY)	POLICY EXP (MM/DD/YYYY)	LIMITS
A	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> COMMERCIAL GENERAL LIABILITY <input type="checkbox"/> CLAIMS-MADE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OCCUR GEN'L AGGREGATE LIMIT APPLIES PER: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> POLICY <input type="checkbox"/> PRO-JECT <input type="checkbox"/> LOC OTHER:	Y		35829933	12/31/2024	12/31/2025	EACH OCCURRENCE \$ 1,000,000 DAMAGE TO RENTED PREMISES (Ea occurrence) \$ 1,000,000 MED EXP (Any one person) \$ 10,000 PERSONAL & ADV INJURY \$ 1,000,000 GENERAL AGGREGATE \$ 2,000,000 PRODUCTS - COMP/OP AGG \$ Included \$
B	<input type="checkbox"/> AUTOMOBILE LIABILITY <input type="checkbox"/> ANY AUTO <input type="checkbox"/> OWNED AUTOS ONLY <input type="checkbox"/> SCHEDULED AUTOS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> HIRED AUTOS ONLY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NON-OWNED AUTOS ONLY	Y		(24)7499-23-54	12/31/2024	12/31/2025	COMBINED SINGLE LIMIT (Ea accident) \$ 1,000,000 BODILY INJURY (Per person) \$ BODILY INJURY (Per accident) \$ PROPERTY DAMAGE (Per accident) \$ \$
B	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UMBRELLA LIAB <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OCCUR <input type="checkbox"/> EXCESS LIAB <input type="checkbox"/> CLAIMS-MADE DED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RETENTION \$ 10,000	Y		79836646	12/31/2024	12/31/2025	EACH OCCURRENCE \$ 9,000,000 AGGREGATE \$ 9,000,000 \$
C	WORKERS COMPENSATION AND EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY ANY PROPRIETOR/PARTNER/EXECUTIVE OFFICER/MEMBER EXCLUDED? (Mandatory in NH) If yes, describe under DESCRIPTION OF OPERATIONS below	Y/N <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y	N/A	71636057	12/31/2024	12/31/2025	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PER STATUTE <input type="checkbox"/> OTH-ER E.L. EACH ACCIDENT \$ 1,000,000 E.L. DISEASE - EA EMPLOYEE \$ 1,000,000 E.L. DISEASE - POLICY LIMIT \$ 1,000,000

DESCRIPTION OF OPERATIONS / LOCATIONS / VEHICLES (ACORD 101, Additional Remarks Schedule, may be attached if more space is required)

RE: RFP #: 2025-078

County of Albany is included as Additional Insureds as respects General Liability, Automobile Liability and Umbrella Liability policies, pursuant to and subject to the policy's terms, definitions, conditions and exclusions. The insurance provided in the General Liability policy is primary and any other insurance shall be excess only, and not contributing.

CERTIFICATE HOLDER**CANCELLATION**

Albany County Purchasing Agent
112 State Street, Room 1000
Albany NY 12207

SHOULD ANY OF THE ABOVE DESCRIBED POLICIES BE CANCELLED BEFORE THE EXPIRATION DATE THEREOF, NOTICE WILL BE DELIVERED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE POLICY PROVISIONS.

AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE

© 1988-2015 ACORD CORPORATION. All rights reserved.

Endorsement

<i>Policy Period</i>	DECEMBER 31, 2024 TO DECEMBER 31, 2025
<i>Effective Date</i>	DECEMBER 31, 2024
<i>Policy Number</i>	3582-99-33 NRU
<i>Insured</i>	HAWKINS DELAFIELD & WOOD, LLP
<i>Name of Company</i>	GREAT NORTHERN INSURANCE COMPANY
<i>Date Issued</i>	JANUARY 3, 2025

This Endorsement applies to the following forms:

GENERAL LIABILITY

Under Who Is An Insured, the following provision is added.

Who Is An Insured**Additional Insured -
Scheduled Person
Or Organization**

Persons or organizations shown in the Schedule are **insureds**; but they are **insureds** only if you are obligated pursuant to a contract or agreement to provide them with such insurance as is afforded by this policy.

However, the person or organization is an **insured** only:

- if and then only to the extent the person or organization is described in the Schedule;
- to the extent such contract or agreement requires the person or organization to be afforded status as an **insured**;
- for activities that did not occur, in whole or in part, before the execution of the contract or agreement; and
- with respect to damages, loss, cost or expense for injury or damage to which this insurance applies.

No person or organization is an **insured** under this provision:

- that is more specifically identified under any other provision of the Who Is An Insured section (regardless of any limitation applicable thereto).
 - with respect to any assumption of liability (of another person or organization) by them in a contract or agreement. This limitation does not apply to the liability for damages, loss, cost or expense for injury or damage, to which this insurance applies, that the person or organization would have in the absence of such contract or agreement.
-

Liability Endorsement
(continued)

Under Conditions, the following provision is added to the condition titled Other Insurance.

Conditions

**Other Insurance –
Primary, Noncontributory
Insurance – Scheduled
Person Or Organization**

If you are obligated, pursuant to a contract or agreement, to provide the person or organization shown in the Schedule with primary insurance such as is afforded by this policy, then in such case this insurance is primary and we will not seek contribution from insurance available to such person or organization.

Schedule

Persons or organizations that you are obligated, pursuant to a contract or agreement, to provide with such insurance as is afforded by this policy.

All other terms and conditions remain unchanged.

Authorized Representative



Exhibit A

HAWKINS ADVISORY

SEC Climate Risk Disclosure Rules

Introduction

On March 6, 2024, the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”) issued final rules mandating the content of climate risk disclosures by public companies that are subject to the reporting requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “1934 Act”).¹ The rules require registrants to provide certain climate-related information in their registration statements, annual reports, and audited financial statements, as applicable, including: (i) a registrant’s climate-related risks that have materially impacted, or are reasonably likely to have a material impact on, its business strategy, results of operations, or financial condition; and (ii) certain disclosures related to severe weather events and other natural conditions (the “Climate Risk Disclosure Rules”). The Climate Risk Disclosure Rules include a number of changes from the proposed rules that are intended to mitigate the compliance burden on registrants and lessen disproportionate impacts on smaller and emerging growth firms.²

A Brief Look at the Climate Risk Disclosure Rules

In the Adopting Release, the SEC notes that the Climate Risk Disclosure Rules are being adopted to (i) “respond to investors’ concerns regarding the adequacy of current disclosure practices” by “improving the consistency, comparability, and reliability of climate-related information for investors” and (ii) “elicit enhanced and more consistent and comparable disclosure about the material risks that companies face and how companies manage those risks.”³ Further, the Climate Risk Disclosure Rules are “designed to work within the existing framework of U.S. securities laws that call for disclosure about the material risks that companies face” and “provid[ing] investors with more complete information about a company, the risks it faces, and its business, finances, and results of operations while affording investors the protections of the securities laws for this information.”⁴ This existing framework includes 2010 climate disclosure guidance⁵ and

existing statutory and regulatory rules concerning forward-looking disclosure rules.⁶

Specifically, the Climate Risk Disclosure Rules require:

- a description of any climate-related risks that have materially impacted or are reasonably likely to have a material impact on the registrant, including on the registrant’s strategy, results of operations, and financial condition, as well as the actual or potential material impacts of those same risks on its strategy, business model, and outlook;
- specified disclosures, regarding a registrant’s activities, if any, to mitigate or adapt to a material climate-related risk or use of transition plans, scenario analysis or internal carbon prices to manage a material climate-related risk;
- disclosure about any oversight by the registrant’s board of directors of climate-related risks and any role by management in assessing and managing material climate-related risks;
- a description of any processes the registrant uses to assess or manage material climate-related risks;
- disclosure about any targets or goals that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect the registrant’s business, results of operations, or financial condition.
- disclosure of emissions data on a phased in basis by certain larger registrants when those emissions are material, and the filing of an attestation report covering the required disclosure of such registrant’s emissions data, also on a phased in basis; and
- disclosure of the financial statement effects of severe weather events and other natural conditions including costs and losses.⁷

¹ SEC Rel. Nos. 33-11275; 34-99678; *The Enhancement and Standardization of Climate-Related Disclosures for Investors* (Mar. 6, 2024); <https://www.sec.gov/rules/2022/03/enhancement-and-standardization-climate-related-disclosures-investors> (the “Adopting Release”).

² The SEC released the proposed climate risk disclosure rules on March 21, 2022, which resulted in thousands of comment letters. The proposed climate risk disclosure rules were described in a Hawkins Advisory, dated July 27, 2022, which is available at <https://www.hawkins.com/about/publications/2022-07-28-municipal-market-federal-securities-law-update-2022>. The comment letters were wide-ranging and highlighted some of the more controversial aspects of the proposed rules.

³ See Adopting Release at 12 and 14-15.

⁴ *Id.* at 54.

⁵ *Id.* at 13-14 and footnotes 13-17.

⁶ *Id.* at 394-402 and footnotes 1673-1703.

⁷ *Id.* at 15-16.

To implement the Climate Risk Disclosure Rules, the SEC amended Regulation S-K, adding a new section (subpart 1500) composed of the climate-related disclosure rules, and Regulation S-X, adding a new article (Article 14) to govern the financial statement disclosures. The Climate Risk Disclosure Rules will be phased in for all registrants, with compliance dates that range from 2025 through 2033 depending on the status of the registrant and the content of the disclosure.⁸

Unique Characteristics of the Municipal Securities Market

Municipal issuers are not subject to line-item disclosure requirements that regulate their corporate, registered counterparts. As such, the Climate Risk Disclosure Rules do not directly apply to municipal issuers. However, municipal issuers are subject to the antifraud provisions of the federal securities laws, which: (i) require disclosure of material information about securities to allow investors to make informed decisions; and (ii) prohibit misrepresentation or other fraudulent conduct in connection with the purchase and sale of securities. Such objectives are accomplished largely through Section 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 (the “1933 Act”) and Section 10(b) of the 1934 Act (and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder). When municipal issuers make disclosures to investors, they must ensure that the disclosures do not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state any material fact necessary in order to make the statements therein, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading. The disclosure must be examined in light of these requirements each time a municipal issuer speaks to the market.

Municipal issuers must analyze both whether it would be appropriate for them to make climate-related risk disclosures and the content of such disclosures through this materiality lens. The concept of materiality, as currently defined and understood in the municipal securities market, encompasses those specific instances where climate-related issues are material to investment decisions with respect to the particular debt issues addressed by the disclosure.

Climate Change Disclosure in the Municipal Securities Market

Using materiality concepts as the guidepost, and in the absence of any rule-based mandate, municipal market offering documents have increasingly included statements addressing climate risk disclosure in recent years. Issuers may choose to disclose the costs of remediation of past climate-related damage, the projected costs of future adaption to climate change, projected direct impacts of climate change upon the issuer’s capital and operating costs or revenues, or projected changes in the issuer’s business model as a result of climate change. For such disclosure to be required under the antifraud rules, the content of the disclosure must be deemed material to a reasonable investor. Many issuers in the municipal

securities market have taken a proactive approach in addressing climate change and environmental issues. The market has seen disclosure addressing issuer-specific risk factors, as well as capital projects and planning efforts with a primary purpose of increasing resilience in response to a broad array of climate-related issues, including rising temperatures, air quality issues, increased precipitation events, rising sea levels, storm surges, and widening flood zones.

While the financial effects of climate change are difficult to quantify, many issuers have developed (and disclosed) projected cost estimates related to a variety of future fiscal impacts of certain foreseeable climate changes, including the risks of expensive climate events and of more pervasive changes, as well as capital and other cost and revenue impacts associated with such risks. Where applicable, many issuers have also included statements that describe climate change readiness and sustainability plans that address initiatives to prepare for future climate-related challenges.

How Could the SEC’s Climate Risk Disclosure Rules Change Municipal Securities Market Practices?

While the Climate Risk Disclosure Rules do not directly apply to the municipal securities market currently, it is clear that this type of disclosure is a focal point of regulators and market participants. It is possible that the Climate Risk Disclosure Rules are the first step in further regulation in this area and that future rulemaking could apply more directly to municipal securities. There are several ways in which this could occur, including: (i) SEC guidance in the form of staff interpretive release analyzing the adequacy of climate risk disclosure in the marketplace; (ii) SEC enforcement actions that focus on a particular issuer’s offering documents and the quality of the climate risk disclosure therein; (iii) standardizing requests from rating agencies on an issuer’s climate-related risks and mitigation/remediation plans, as well as refinements to ratings criteria requiring a climate-related risk analysis; and (iv) amendments to municipal securities disclosure rules, such as Rule 15c2-12,⁹ or rules promulgated by the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board, both of which would apply directly to regulated parties (such as underwriters/broker-dealers) and then indirectly to issuers to ensure compliance with such rules.

The differences between the initially proposed and final forms of the Climate Risk Disclosure Rules and extensive discussion of comments in the Adopting Release are valuable sources of information with respect to the SEC’s current views as to a number of disclosure points, at least some of which seem likely to affect municipal market practice. It also seems likely that investor responses to the development of corporate disclosure standards in this area will increasingly affect municipal market practices. This may be especially the case with respect to the comparability of information available to investors from various sources.

⁸ See *id.* at 588-592 for a discussion of the phased compliance dates for the Climate Risk Disclosure Rules.

⁹ The full text of Rule 15c2-12 can be found at 17 C.F.R. §§ 240.15c2-12; <https://www.ecfr.gov/current/title-17/chapter-II/part-240/subpart-A/subject-group-ECFR541343e5c1fa459/section-240.15c2-12>. See also the firm’s website for a variety of advisories on Rule 15c2-12 available at <https://www.hawkins.com/about/publications>.

Self-policing and adopting a proactive approach on climate risk disclosure, if widespread and effective to “respond to investors’ concerns regarding the adequacy of current disclosure practices,”¹⁰ should go a long way to stave off further regulation on these matters in the municipal securities market.

Next Steps

In light of the Climate Risk Disclosure Rules and similar initiatives, it would be prudent for municipal issuers to institute procedures for the regular review of the adequacy of their climate risk disclosure. With the focus on materiality, issuers should consider whether there are any internal climate risk policies or procedures, initiatives, or reports that may warrant discussion in an offering document. These items may be posted on an issuer’s website and/or described in budget proposals alongside other issuer priorities. Issuers and their financial staff and debt management teams should be sensitive to the publication of such items when drafting offering documents and determine whether any data, projections, or conclusions regarding the financial impact of climate change on the issuer and its infrastructure should be included therein. Over the course of the last several years, these disclosures have also become a focal point of underwriters and their counsel in the preparation of due diligence questionnaires. In providing answers to such questions, issuers will benefit from the participation of and input from officials responsible for implementing any sustainability plans and initiatives regarding future climate-related challenges.

The objective should be ensuring consistent and reliable disclosure by the issuer on climate-related risks across applicable platforms.

Firm Contact

For additional information on the Climate Risk Disclosure Rules and the matters discussed herein, please contact Brian Garzione, the firm’s securities law and disclosure partner, in our Washington, D.C. office at 202-682-1493 or bgarzione@hawkins.com.

¹⁰ See “— A Brief Look at the Climate Risk Disclosure Rules” above.

New York

7 World Trade Center
250 Greenwich Street
New York, NY 10007
Tel: (212) 820-9300

Washington, D.C.

1775 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006
Tel: (202) 682-1480

Newark

One Gateway Center
Newark, NJ 07102
Tel: (973) 642-8584

Hartford

20 Church Street
Hartford, CT 06103
Tel: (860) 275-6260

Ann Arbor

201 S. Main Street
Ann Arbor, MI 48104
Tel: (734) 519-5003

Sacramento

1415 L Street
Sacramento, CA 95814
Tel: (916) 326-5200

Los Angeles

300 South Grand Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90071
(213) 236-9050

San Francisco

One Embarcadero Center
San Francisco, CA 94111
Tel: (415) 486-4200

Portland

200 SW Market Street
Portland, OR 97201
Tel: (503) 402-1320

Raleigh

4801 Glenwood Avenue
Raleigh, NC 27612
Tel: (919) 635-8294