

Department of Taxation and Finance Office of Real Property Tax Services

Application for Refund or Credit of Real Property Taxes

RP-556

(12/19)

Part 1 - General information: To be completed in duplicate by the applicant.

art I — ochorar imorniati	OII. 10 D	0011	ipictoa iii aap	modeo by inc	appnoan			
Names of owners								
Mount Zion Ministries Church, Inc								
Mailing address of owners (number and st	reet or PO bo	ox)		Location of proper	ty (street ad	ldress)		
931 Herkimer Rd.				183 Schoolho	use Rd			
City, village, or post office	State		ZIP code	City, town, or villa	ge		State	ZIP code
Utica		NY	13502	Guilderland			NY	12203
Daytime contact number	Evening cor	ntact num	ber	Tax map number of	section/bloc	k/lot: Property ide	ntification (see ta	ax bill or assessment roll)
				013089 63.00	-1-10.1			,
Account number (as appears on tax bill)	,	Amount o	of taxes paid or payal	ole	Date of pay	yment		
2015-11451	-	7,854.3	39					
Reasons for requesting a refund or credit:								
Owner disputed calculated amounts \$2,901,552 to \$3,124,716 on 12/6		ption. I	Board of Assess	ment Review ap	proved a	n exemption a	amount char	nge from
I hereby request a refund or credit	t of real pro	operty	taxes levied by £	Albany Co & Tov (County, city	vn of Guil , village, etc.	derlan for th	e year(s) <u>20</u>	020
Signature of applicant			D	ate	7			
Horen M. Waw Wace	" ("	\bigcirc	-	2-30-2019				
moven in conscarle	men_	100	asessou !"	2-30-2019				
()								
documentation and recomm Section 550 under which the	e error fa			Date warrant anno			1	
1/17	20				(<u>01]01</u>	1206	10
Last day for collection of taxes without inte	rest	131	12020	Recommendation Appro	ve applic	ation* 🔀	, Deny	application
Signature of official M. (10	0%	7			Date //	23:/2	0
* If this application is approved, ar attachments, to the assessor and current roll (Form RP-553).	nd the sam d board of	ne erroi assess	r appears on a cr sment review. Th	urrent assessmo ney must treat th	ent roll, se iis applica	end a copy of ation as a peti	this form, in	cluding all correction of that
Part 3 – For use by the tax	levying	body	or official de	esignated by	/ resolu	ition	number or d	: ate, if applicable)
Application approved (Mark an 2	X in the ap	plicable	e box):					
Clerical error 🗵 E	rror in ess	ential fa	act	Unlawful	Entry _]		
Amount of taxes paid		Amou	nt of taxes due		A	Amount of refund	or credit	
7,854.39		6,819	9.00		1	,035.39		
Application denied (reason):								
Signature of chief executive officer or offici	al designated	by resol	lution			Date		

Tax ID#63.00-1-10.1 **GUILDERLAND 2020 PROPERTY TAX** Fiscal Year 01/01/2020 to 12/31/2020 Warrant Date 12/31/2019 Bank # Bill #011451 Mail Payments/Checks payable to: In Person Payment: Collection information: Property Description and Location LYNNE M. BUCHANAN **GUILDERLAND TOWN HALL** Town 013089 School 013002 AT G'LAND TOWN HALL RECEIVER OF TAXES 5209 WESTERN TURNPIKE Location: 183 Schoolhouse Rd MONDAY Through FRIDAY PO BOX 339 518-356-1980 Class 620 9:00AM - 4:30PM **GUILDERLAND, NY 12084-0339** Account No. 2015 **EXTRA JANUARY HOURS:** Mortgage No. WEDNESDAYS ONLY ONLINE TAX PAYMENT Front 0.00 Depth 0.00 9:00am to 6:00pm www.TownofGuilderland.org Acres 15.70 Property Taxpayer's Bill of Rights The Assessor estimates the FULL MARKET VALUE OF THIS PROPERTY as of 07/01/2018 was The assessed value of this property as of 03/01/2019 was Mount Zion Ministries 63.00-1-10.1 3,719,900 The UNIFORM PERCENTAGE OF VALUE Church Inc. to establish assessments was 100.0 %. If You feel your 931 Herkimer Rd assessment is inequitable, you have the right to seek a review. Utica, NY 13502 A publication entitled 'Contesting Your Assessment' is available at www.tax.ny.gov. Please note that the period for filing complaints on the above assessment has passed. Est County Aid 91,269,848 Est State Aid

(STAR exemptions apply only to school taxes.)

Exemption/Purpose Value Full Value Exemption/Purpose Value Full Value Exemption/Purpose Value Full Value NP REL 2,901,522 \$2,901,522

Levy Description		Taxable Value*	Tow Dods	.		
County	50-		Tax Rate	Tax Levy	% Levy Change	Tax Amount
•	595,		3.47654700M	15189491		
Town General	595,	84 818378:00	0.18571700M	816142		
NYS Retirement	595.1	84 818378:00	0.11036400M	485000		, , , , , ,
Highway	595,1	84 818378.00	0.85144900M	3612135		0 0 00.02
Alb Co Election	58.55		0.01489000M	65436	0.700	334 333.3
Guild sewer zone a		32.00	11.44660000U	0	0.000	3.90 12110
Sewer oper & maint	ļ	15.00	79.06650000U	0	0.000	1,186.00
Guilderland water		3719900.00	0.67358200M	2641668	-7.900	2,505.66

Total Tax Due

cut here ——————————————————————————————————	here
---	------

School 013002

(for receipt, check the box [] and return entire bill with payment)

Bank

GUILDERLAND 2020 PROPERTY TAX

Town

Tax Map ID #63.00-1-10.1

*** Checks Subject to Collection ***

Paid by

Check____ Cash_

013089 Bill # 011451

Returned Check Fee 20.00

Mount Zion Ministries

Church Inc.

931 Herkimer Rd Utica, NY 13502

Palu	. Бу			circle amount paid
Tax	Penalty	2ND NOTICE Svc Chg Fees	Pay onor before	Pay This Amount
7854.39	0.00	0.00	01/31/2020	7854.39
7854.39	78.54	0.00	03/02/2020	7932.93
7854.39	157.09	0.00	03/31/2020	8011.48

Roll Sect. 1

3,719,900

135,398

Page 1 of 2

TOWN OF GUILDERLAND

Payment is made to: Lynne M. Buchanan, Receiver of Taxes

PO Box 339, Guilderland, NY 12084

518-356-1980 x1059 buchananl@togny.org

Property Address: 183

Account #: 2015

SWIS Code: 013089

Schoolhouse Rd

Bill #: 11451 Tax Map #:

School Code: 013002 **School District:**

63.00-1-10.1

Land Assessment:

Front: 0

Book #: 2999

\$243,500.00

Depth: 0

Page #: 1033 **Roll Section: 1**

Total Assessment:

Acreage: 15.7 Bank:

\$3,719,900.00 **Tax Before Star:** \$7,854.39

Star Savings: \$0.00

Class: 620

Exemptions:

NP REL

\$2,901,522.00

Levy Description	Tax Value	Tax Rate	Tax Amount
County	818378.00	3.476547	\$2,845.13
Town General	818378.00	0.185717	\$151.99
NYS Retirement	818378.00	0.110364	\$90.32
Highway	818378.00	0.851449	\$696.81
Alb Co Election	818378.00	0.01489	\$12.19
Guild sewer zone a	32.00	11.4466	\$366.29
Sewer oper & maint	15.00	79,0665	\$1,186.00
Guilderland water	3719900.00	0.673582	\$2,505.66

Total Tax: \$7,854.39

Payment History

(Payments made to the county directly may not be reflected on this site.)

Date	Comments	Amount	Paid By
12/31/2019	Tax Bill	\$7,854.39	
01/21/2020	Payment	(\$7,854.39)	OWNER

Tax Due: \$0.00 *

Penalty Schedule

This table shows the penalties that will be due for late payments on this property.

Pay By	Penalty	Fee	Total Due
01/31/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$7,854.39 **

^{*} Does not include penalties or fees, if any.

2020 Town County Rates

	Tax to	Data/1000		2020 Iown County Rates	Rates		
	General Fund		New Assessed		Rate/1000	Old Assessed	
	NXC D. fi	0.185/1/	\$595,184.00	\$110.54	0.185717	\$818.378.00	¢1E1 00
	INTO Ketirement	0.110364	\$595,184.00	\$65.69	0.110364	\$818 279 00	\$131.99
	Highway	0.851449	\$595,184.00	\$506.77	0.851440	2040,270.00	\$90.32
	Alb Co Election	0.014890	\$595.184.00	\$8.85	0.001449	\$818,378.00	\$696.81
	County Purposes	3.476547	\$595 184 00	79.00	0.014890	\$818,378.00	\$12.19
,	SPECIAL DISTRICTS		00:404/0004	6T.690,7¢	3.476547	\$818,378.00	\$2,845.13
AD501	Alt-Gld Ctr Amb	0.231697	00 00				
AD502	Guilderland	0.001037	30.00	\$0.00	0.231697	\$0.00	\$0.00
504	Town Dome Lair	0.00707.0	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.087870	\$0.00	\$0.00
בוריים	I OWII Delino Lein			\$0.00			30.00
10001	Altamont Fire	0.625423	\$0.00	\$0.00	0 625423		\$0.00
FD502	Guilderland Fire	1.504431	\$0.00	\$0.00	1 504424	30 00	\$0.00
FD503	Guild Ctr Fire	1.304865	\$0.00	\$0.00	1.304431	\$0.00	\$0.00
FD504	Guild Fire Prot	1.003769		00.00	1.304865	\$0.00	\$0.00
FD505	Elmwood Pk	1.973343		30.00	1.003769		\$0.00
FD506	Fort Hunter Fire	0.062030	00 00	\$0.00	1.973343		\$0.00
FD507	McKownville Fire	1 507564	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.962939	\$0.00	\$0.00
FD508	Westmere Fire	1.307.304	\$0.00	\$0.00	1.507564	\$0.00	\$0.00
FD509	Rotterdam Eira	0.930094	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.936894	\$0.00	\$0.00
1 T501	McKownyillo Light	0.4004		\$0.00	0.907087		\$0.00
1 T502	Cuilded and I :- L'	0.118347	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.118347		00.05
1 TK03	Drog Cot Light	0.184391	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.184391	\$0.00	00.00
L 1 303	ries. Est. Lignt	0.457423			0.457423		20.00
1304	Fine Fill Light	0.094777		\$0.00	0.094777		20.00
L 1 505	Weatherfireld Light	0.022651			0.022654		\$0.00
LT506	Railroad Ave Light	0.00000			0.00000		
SW501	Zone A P&I Sewer	11.446600	32	\$366.00	44.440000		
SW502	Zone B P&I Sewer	3.765400		C7:0000	9 755400	32	\$366.29
	Total Sewer Debt				3.703400		
SW505	O&M	79.066500	15	\$1 186 00	70.066500		
WD501	Guilderland Water	0.673582	\$3 719 900 00	00.001.47	0.000000	15	\$1,186.00
WD505	West End Water	1,00000	סייסטפירדד וירה	94,5U5,bb	0.673582	\$3,719,900.00	\$2,505.66
WD599	Unpaid Water Tax	1 00000			1.000000		
OT501	Omitted Tax Cntv	2000000			1.000000		
OT502	Omitted Tax Town		تو	\$6,818,99			\$7,854.38
OT505	Adri Penalhy Town		/				
	Agri Penalty Cnty				Difference	\$1,035.39	

183 Schoolhouse Rd Town Albany County 2020 tax bill Correction

Levy Desc	AV	Exempt	Taxable	Tax Rate	Tax Amt
County	3,719,900	3,124,716	595,184	3.476547	2,069.19
Town Gen	3,719,900	3,124,716	595,184	0.185717	110.54
NYS Retire	3,719,900	3,124,716	595,184	0.110364	65.69
Highway	3,719,900	3,124,716	595,184	0.851449	506.77
Alb Co Elect	3,719,900	3,124,716	595,184	0.01489	8.86
Guild Sewer	32	32	32	11.4466	366.29
Sewer O&M	15	15	15	79.0665	1,186.00
Guild Water	3,719,900	-	3,719,900	0.673582	2,505.66
Total					6,819.00

Bill	7,854.39
Corrected	6,819.00
Difference	1,035.39



RP-524 (3/09)

NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION & FINANCE OFFICE OF REAL PROPERTY TAX SERVICES

COMPLAINT ON REAL PROPERTY ASSESSMENT FOR 20 19

BEFORE THE BOARD OF ASSESSMENT REVIEW FOR GUILDERLAND

(city, town village or county)

PART ONE:	GENERAL INFORMATION
(General information and instructions fo	or completing this form are contained in form RP-524-Ins)
1. Name and telephone no. of owner(s)	2. Mailing Address of owner(s)
Mount Zion Ministries Church, Inc.	
931 Herkimer Road, Utica, New York 13502	
Day no. (315)792-4748	
Evening no. (518)862-0944	Email (optional)
(if applicable, complete Part Four on pag	esentative of owner, if representative is filing application. e 4.) ane, East Greenbush, New York 12061 Tel. (518) 857-2897
4. Property location	
183 Schoolhouse Road	7777
Street Address	Village (if any)
Guilderland	Albany
City/Town	County OF GUILERIAN
Guilderland	County OF GULERIAND Received
	School District SEP 26 2019
5. Property identification (see tax bill or ass	sessment roll) #4
Tax map number or section/block/lot	
Type of property: Residence	Farm Vacant land
Commercial _	Industrial Other ✓
Description: Church Facility RPTL 420-a Mand	latory Class. Code 620 Religious (church building on 15.70 acres).
6. Assessed value appearing on the assessme	ent roll:
Land \$ Total \$	3,719,900
7. Property owner's estimate of market value instructions)	of property as of valuation date (see

PART TWO: INFORMATION NECESSARY TO DETERMINE VALUE OF PROPERTY

(If additional explanation or documentation is necessary, please attach)

• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	pperty:		\$
- Data of muschasses			<u> </u>
-	Cash	Contract	Other (explain)
	ller and purchaser (parent-child		
	, included in purchase price (fu		
 -	cently offered for sale (attach co		•
How offered:		Asking price: \$	
			By Whom:
4 Description of any bu	ildings or improvements located	d on the property,	including year of
5 Buildings have been r	ecently remodeled, constructed	or additional impr	ovements made:
Date Started:	Dat	te Completed:	
Complainant should submit con	struction cost details where ava	ilable.	
	oducing (e.g., leased or rented), ent detailed information about the ne and income statements.		
7 Additional supporting	documentation (check if attache	ed)	

PART THREE: GROUNDS FOR COMPLAINT A. UNEQUAL ASSESSMENT (Complete items 1-4)

1.	The assessment is unequal for the following reason: (check a or b) The assessed value is at a higher percentage of value than the assessed value of other real pro a. assessment roll.	
	The assessed value of real property improved by a one, two or three family residence is at a full (market) value than the assessed value of other residential property on the assessment role.	l or at a higher
	b. percentage of full (market) value than the assessed value of all real property on the assessment. The complainant believes this property should be assessed at % of full value based on one or market.	nt roll. ore of the following
2.	(check one or more):	ore or the remeg
	The latest State equalization rate for the city, town or village in which the property is located. The latest residential assessment ratio established for the city, town or village in which the relocated. Enter latest residential assessment ratio only if property is improved by a one, two or residence %.	sidential property is
	c. Statement of the assessor or other local official that property has been assessed at %.	
	d. Other (explain on attached sheet).	
3.	Value of property from Part one #7	
4.	Complainant believes the assessment should be reduced to	\$
The	B. EXCESSIVE ASSESSMENT (Check one or more) cassessment is excessive for the following reason(s):	
1.	The assessed value exceeds the full value of the property.	
	a. Assessed value of property	
	b. Complainant believes that assessment should be reduced to full value of (Part one #7)	\$
	c. Attach list of parcels upon which complainant relies for objection, if applicable.	
2.	The taxable assessed value is excessive because of the denial of all or portion of a partial exer	mption.
	a. Specify exemption (e.g., senior citizens, veterans, school tax relief [STAR]) RPTL	420-a Mandatory
	b. Amount of exemption claimed	
	c. Amount granted, if any	\$ 2,901,522
3.	 d. If application for exemption was filed, attach copy of application to this complaint. Improper calculation of transition assessment. (Applicable only in approved assessing unit where transition assessments.) 	nich has adopted
	a. Transition assessment	\$
	b. Transition assessment claimed	\$
The 1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	assessment is unlawful for the following reason(s): Property is wholly exempt. (Specify exemption (e.g., nonprofit organization)) Property is entirely outside the boundaries of the city, town, village, school district or special district designated as being located. Property has been assessed and entered on the assessment roll by a person or body without the autientry. Property cannot be identified from description or tax map number on the assessment roll. Property is special franchise property, the assessment of which exceeds the final assessment thereof the Office of Real Property Tax Services. (Attach copy of certificate.)	hority to make the
	D. MISCLASSIFICATION (Check one)	
non-l	property is misclassified for the following reason (relevant only in approved assessing unit which estal homestead tax rates):	
	Class designation on the assessment roll:	
1. ✓		mpt).
2.	The assessed value is improperly allocated between homestead and non-homestead real property. cation of assessed value on assessment roll Claimed alloca	tion
	nestead \$ \$	
Non-	-Homestead \$ \$	

PART FOUR: DESIGNATION OF	REPRESENTATIVE TO MAKE COMPLAINT	
I, Michael Hughes, Pastor/Officer; Joseph Serve	ello, Ps/COO, as complainant (or officer thereof) hereby	
designate Philip J. Vecchio, Esq. of Philip J. Vec	cchio, P.C. to act as my representative in any and an	
proceedings before the board of assessment review	of the city/town/village/county of Guilderland for operty as it appears on the 2019 (year) tentative assessment	
purposes of reviewing the assessment of my real pr	roperty as it appears on the 2019 (year) tentative assessment	1
roll of such assessing unit.	2 6 ml / // //	
9/24/2019	Ku Muchael Shake Mall	
Date	Signature of owner (or officer thereof)	
DADT EU	VE: CERTIFICATION	
I certify that all statements made on this application	n are true and correct to be best of my knowledge and belief, and I	
understand that the making of any willful false stat	tement of material fact herein will subject me to the provisions of	
the Penal Law relevant to the making and filing of	false instruments.	
	Signature of owner (or representative)	
Date	Signature of Owner (or representative)	
PART S	SIX: STIPULATION	
The complainant (or complainant's representative)	and assessor (or assessor designated by a majority of the board of	
assessors) whose signatures appear below stipulate	that the following assessed value is to be applied to the above	
described property on the (year) assessi	ment roll: Land \$ Total \$	
(Check box if stipulation approves exemption	on indicated in Part Three, section B.2. or C.1.)	
Complainant or representative	Assessor Date	
	OF BOARD OF ASSESSMENT REVIEW Disposition	
☐ Unequal assessment	Disposition ☐ Excessive assessment	
☐ Unequal assessment☐ Unlawful assessment	Disposition ☐ Excessive assessment ☐ Misclassification	
☐ Unequal assessment☐ Unlawful assessment☐ Ratification of stipulated assess	Disposition ☐ Excessive assessment ☐ Misclassification ment No change in assessment	
☐ Unequal assessment ☐ Unlawful assessment ☐ Ratification of stipulated assessment Reason: 420 Exemption Devices to	Disposition □ Excessive assessment □ Misclassification ment No change in assessment Change from 78% to 84% with	
☐ Unequal assessment ☐ Unlawful assessment ☐ Ratification of stipulated assessment Reason: 420 Exemption Devices to	Disposition □ Excessive assessment □ Misclassification ment No change in assessment Change from 78% to 84% with	
☐ Unequal assessment ☐ Unlawful assessment ☐ Ratification of stipulated assessment Reason: 420 Exemption Devices to	Disposition □ Excessive assessment □ Misclassification ment No change in assessment Change from 78% to 84% with	
Unequal assessment Unlawful assessment Ratification of stipulated assessment Reason: 420 Exemption percent of Laxable value change from	Disposition □ Excessive assessment □ Misclassification ment No change in assessment Change from 78% to 84% with	
Unequal assessment Unlawful assessment Ratification of stipulated assessment Examplion percent of Laxable value change from Vo	Disposition Excessive assessment Misclassification ment No change in assessment Change from 78% to 84% with 818,378 to \$595,184 ote on Complaint	
Unequal assessment Unlawful assessment Ratification of stipulated assessment Examplion percent of Laxable value change from Vo All concur All concur except: Debra Ritano	Disposition Excessive assessment Misclassification ment No change in assessment Change from 78% to 84% with 818,378 to \$595,184 ote on Complaint	
Unequal assessment Unlawful assessment Ratification of stipulated assessment Examplion percent Laxoble Value change from Vo All concur All concur except: Debra Ritano Name	Disposition Excessive assessment Misclassification ment No change in assessment Change from 78% to 84% with 818,378 to \$595,184 ote on Complaint	
Unequal assessment Unlawful assessment Ratification of stipulated assessment Examplion percent of Laxable value change from Vo All concur All concur except: Debra Ritano	Disposition Excessive assessment Misclassification Misclassification Misclassification Misclassification Excessive assessment Misclassification Miscl	
Unequal assessment Unlawful assessment Ratification of stipulated assessment Example value change from All concur All concur except: Debra Ritano Name Carol Wysomskii Name	Disposition Excessive assessment Misclassification ment No change in assessment Change from 78% to 84% with 818,378 to \$595,184 ote on Complaint against abstain Vabsent Decision by	
Unequal assessment Unlawful assessment Ratification of stipulated assessment Laxable value change from All concur All concur except: Debra Ritano Name Carol Wysomski Name Tentative assessm	Disposition Excessive assessment Misclassification ment No change in assessment Crange from 78% to 84% with 818,378 to \$595,184 ote on Complaint against abstain vabsent against abstain vabsent Claimed assessment Board of Assessment Review	
Unequal assessment Unlawful assessment Ratification of stipulated assessment Laxable value change from All concur All concur except: Debra Ritano Name Carol Wysomski Name Tentative assessment Total assessment Total assessment	Disposition Excessive assessment Misclassification Misclassification Mo change in assessment Change from 78% to 84% With S18,378 to \$595,184 ote on Complaint against abstain wabsent against abstain wabsent against abstain Decision by Claimed assessment S1719,900 S1719,900 S1719,900 Claimed assessment S1719,900 Claimed assessment Claimed assessment	
Unequal assessment Unlawful assessment Ratification of stipulated assessment Reason: 420 Exemption percent Laxable value change from Vo All concur All concur except: Debra Ritano Name Carol Wysomski Name Tentative assessment Transition assessment (if any)\$	Disposition Excessive assessment Misclassification Misclassification Mo change in assessment Change from 78% to 84% with S18,378 to \$595,184 ote on Complaint against abstain absent against abstain Decision by Sign of Assessment Sign of Assessment	
Unlequal assessment Unlawful assessment Ratification of stipulated assessment Reason: 420 Exemption percent Laxable value change from Vo All concur All concur except: Debra Ritano Name Carol Wysomski Name Tentative assessment Transition assessment (if any)\$ Exempt amount\$ 2,701,52.7	Disposition Excessive assessment Misclassification Misclassification Mo change in assessment Change from 78% to 84% with S18,378 to \$595,184 ote on Complaint against abstain absent against abstain Decision by Sign of Assessment Sign of Assessment	
Unlawful assessment Unlawful assessment Ratification of stipulated assessment Laxable Value change from All concur All concur except: Debra Ritano Name Carol Wysomski Name Tentative assessment Transition assessment (if any)\$ Exempt amount\$ 2,701,52.7 Taxable assessment\$ 818,318	Disposition Excessive assessment Misclassification Misc	
Unlawful assessment Unlawful assessment Ratification of stipulated assessment Laxable Value Change from All concur All concur except: Debra Ritano Name Carol Wysomski Name Tentative assessment Transition assessment (if any)\$ Exempt amount	Disposition Excessive assessment Misclassification Misc	
Unlawful assessment Unlawful assessment Ratification of stipulated assessment Laxable value change from All concur All concur except: Debra Ritano Name Carol Wysomski Name Tentative assessment Transition assessment (if any)\$ Exempt amount	Disposition Excessive assessment Misclassification Misc	
Unlawful assessment Unlawful assessment Ratification of stipulated assessment Laxable Value change from All concur All concur except: Debra Ritano Name Carol Wysomski Name Tentative assessment Transition assessment (if any)\$ Exempt amount	Disposition Excessive assessment Misclassification Misc	

TSL Usage of Building Based on Hours Used AND Square Footage Used

	_)					
	Sq Ft	TSL Hours	Total TSL	2		-1	Usage of
			Hours Weekly	Available Weekly	weekly TSL	Sq Footage TSL	1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1
Office	225	11	22	86			
Nursery	922	<u></u>	52	98			
Preschool	902	17	52	86			
Toddlers	895	11	52	86			
Infant	144	11	52	86			Wide Co.
Infant 2	120	11	55	86			
Afterschool	2252	8	40	98			
Cafe	700	3	15	86			
Kitchen	192	8	15	98			
							turn of valorables spin approximation of the
	6,358	80	400	882	45.351%	21.649%	9.818%
Total Sq	29,368						
						,	
Column D	Hours room used by	sed by TSL o	TSL over the week				
Column E	Maximum hou	urs room is av	ailable on aver	Maximum hours room is available on average (based on 14 hours * 7 days)	14 hours * 7	days)	
Column F	% based on to	otal hours roo	m used by TSI	% based on total hours room used by TSL / total maximum hours room is available	um hours roor	n is available	Andreas and the state of the st
Column G	% based on square	-	used by TSL	ootage used by TSL/total square footage of building	otage of build	gui	
Column H	% based on to	otal hourly us	e of TSL * tota	% based on total hourly use of TSL * total sq footage used by TSL	ed by TSL		
Bathrooms (784 sq. ft.) not included	sq. ft.) not inc		they are not t	since they are not used exclusively by TSL	at	any point.	
		nd ok Condition for		en e	anterior		
	·						



NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION & FINANCE OFFICE OF REAL PROPERTY TAX SERVICES

NOTICE OF DETERMINATION OF BOARD OF ASSESSMENT REVIEW For $\underline{\hspace{0.1in}}$ Town of Guilderland

(city, town village or county)

Mount Zion Ministries Church, Inc. 63.00-1-10.1 Tax map section/block/lot # 183 Schoolhouse Rd
Mount Zion Ministries Church, Inc. 183 Schoolhouse Rd
/// With Elem (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)
931 Herkimer Rd.
Utica, NY 13502 Location of property if different than
address of Complainant
Name and address of Complainant —
The tentative assessed value of \$ 3,719,900 for this property:
a. has been reduced to an assessed value of Land \$ Total \$
if this box is checked, assessment has been reduced to amount claimed in complaint
b. As not been reduced
Your complaint was based upon a contention that your assessment should be changed because of the following:
Assessed Valuation
Assessed valuation Exemption Classification Conc.
The Board of Assessment Review has made this determination for reason set forth below:
a. The current full market value of your property was determined to be \$ 3,719,900
(1) The proof of value you presented was adequate to support reduction granted.
(1) The proof of value you presented was inadequate because
i. the supporting data was insufficient
ii. sales were not comparable to your property
iii. the written appraisal was incomplete
iv. the income and expense statement was incomplete (income producing property)
v. the construction cost details were incomplete.
b. The uniform percentage of value applicable in this assessing unit is 100%
(1) The proof of assessment ratio that you presented was adequate to support reduction granted.
(2) The proof of assessment ratio that you presented was inadequate because:
i. insufficient evidence was used in calculating an assessment ratio ii. sufficient evidence was presented by the assessor to refute the residential
ii. sufficient evidence was presented by the assessor to refute the residential assessment ratio (RAR) or the State equalization rate
iii. the State ratios are inapplicable due to revaluation
iv. the ratio that you presented was not the correct residential assessment ratio (RAR)
v. the rate that you presented was not the correct State equalization rate.
c. The physical characteristics and inventory of your property were determined to be:
(1) correct
(1) confect (2) incorrect.
cont.

RP-525 (9/04)	
Assessed Valuation (cont.)	
The correct inventory should indicate the following:	
Exemption ————————————————————————————————————	
The taxable assessed value was determined to be \$ 595,184	
(1) Your request for exemption has been granted in the amount of \$ 3,124,176	
(2) Your request for an exemption was denied because you do not qualify for that exemption.	
Classification	
a. The property class designation was determined to be:	
(1) correct (2) incorrect because:	
i. the class designation should be homestead	
ii. the class designation should be non-homestead	
b. The property class allocation was determined to be: (1) correct	
(1) correct (2) incorrect because:	
the class designation should be allocated homestead in the amount of \$	
and non-homestead in the amount of \$	
Dismissal ———————————————————————————————————	
Your complaint has been dismissed because of your (or your representative's) willful neglect or refusal to attend this board's hearing or to be examined concerning your complaint or to answer questions relevant to your complaint. Where the court finds that a dismissal is warranted, no assessment reduction can be granted.	
Additional Factors	
Factors in addition to or other than those listed that affected the determination were:	
ractors in addition to or other than those listed that affected the determination were.	
If you are dissatisfied with the determination of the Board of Assessment Review, you may seek judicial review of your assessment pursuant to Article 7 of the Real Property Tax Law (RPTL). If you are the owner of one, two or three family residential structure and reside at such residence, or, if you are the owner of unimproved property which is not of sufficient size as determined by your assessing unit to contain a one, two or three family residential structure, you may seek small claims assessment review pursuant to Title 1-A of Article 7 of the RPTL. Petitions for judicial review must be filed within thirty (30) days of the last date allowed by law for the filing of the final assessment roll for your assessing unit, or the published notice of such filing, whichever	
is later. Petition forms for Small Claims Assessment Review may be obtained from the County Clerk's Office.	
Vote on complaint	
All concur	
All concur except: (name) Debra Riitano	
(name) Carol Wysomski against abstain abstain abstain	
12/13/2019	
Date Chairperson, Board of Assessment Review (Signature)	

Page 371

612 N.Y.S.2d 371 83 N.Y.2d 878, 634 N.E.2d 972 In the Matter of ADVENTIST HOME, INC., Appellant

BOARD OF ASSESSORS OF the TOWN OF LIVINGSTON et al., Respondents.

<u>Court of Appeals of New York.</u>

May 3, 1994.

Page 372

[83 N.Y.2d 879] [634 N.E.2d 973] Roland, Fogel, Koblenz & Carr, Albany (Emilio A.F. Petroccione and Mark L. Koblenz, of counsel), for appellant.

Connor, Curran & Schram, P.C., Hudson (Dawn K. Holt and Theodore Guterman, II, of counsel), for Bd. of Assessors of Town of Livingston and another, respondents.

Rapport, Meyers, Griffen & Whitbeck, P.C., Hudson (Seth L. Rapport, of counsel), for Hudson City School Dist., respondent.

OPINION OF THE COURT

MEMORANDUM.

The order of the Appellate Division should be affirmed, with costs.

In early 1990, respondent Board of Assessors of the Town of Livingston determined that a portion of the real property of petitioner Adventist Home, Inc., previously untaxed, no longer qualified for a charitable exemption under <u>Real Property Tax Law § 420-a</u>. Accordingly, the Board included the property on the 1990 tentative assessment rolls and imposed an assessed value of \$62,700. Petitioner filed a timely grievance challenging the Board's action but the Board failed to change the assessment. The Town's assessment roll became final on July 1, 1990. In December 1990, petitioner received a tax bill reflecting the new assessment.

Some five months later, in May 1991, petitioner instituted this combined CPLR article 78 proceeding and declaratory judgment action seeking review of respondent's decision denying tax-exempt status to the property. Supreme Court held the claim time-barred under CPLR 217 for failure to commence [83 N.Y.2d 880] the proceeding within four months of either the publication of the assessment roll or petitioner's receipt of a tax bill reflecting the adverse assessment, and the Appellate Division affirmed. 192 A.D.2d 1044, 597 N.Y.S.2d 216.

We conclude that petitioner failed to timely commence this proceeding because it was not brought within four months of receipt of the tax bill in December 1990, the point at which petitioner had actual notice of the Board's determination. We reject respondent's



contention that the four-month Statute of Limitations began to run in July 1990, upon publication of the assessment roll, as that conclusion ignores the explicit statutory requirement of RPTL 525(4) that the Board provide written notice of the determination and the taxpayer's right to challenge it. The statutory language is plain:

"On or before the date the verified statement of changes made by the board of assessment review is delivered to the assessor, the board of assessment review shall mail to each complainant a notice of

Page 373

[634 N.E.2d 974] the board's determination of his assessment. Such notice shall also contain the statement 'If you are dissatisfied with the determination of the board of assessment review, you may seek judicial review of your assessment pursuant to article seven of the real property tax law * * *.' Such notice shall also state the last date to file petitions for judicial review".

To hold, as respondent urges, that the limitations period commences with publication of the assessment roll--whether or not the taxpayer has been given the required notice--would eviscerate the statute.

Respondent cannot point to persuasive authority for the conclusion that the limitations period commences upon mere publication of the assessment roll (see, Matter of Dudley v. Kerwick, 52 N.Y.2d 542, 548, 439 N.Y.S.2d 305, 421 N.E.2d 797; Kahal Bnei Emunim & Talmud Torah Bnei Simon Israel v. Town of Fallsburg, 78 N.Y.2d 194, 204, n. 3, 573 N.Y.S.2d 43, 577 N.E.2d 34; see also, RPTL 702[2] [limitations period in tax certiorari proceeding commences on last day for filing assessment roll or when notice is given as required by law, whichever is later]. Indeed, the very purpose of RPTL 525(4) was to relieve the taxpayer of the burden of checking the assessment roll. In its memorandum in support, the State Board of Equalization and Assessment stated:

"Taxpayers who eventually complain to the board [83 N.Y.2d 881] of assessment review have generally either received a notice of increased assessment * * * or have examined the tentative assessment roll once it was made public. Having made some effort to ascertain his tentative assessment, and having applied for and received administrative review thereof on grievance day, it seems burdensome to require the taxpayer to check the final assessment roll to learn of the board of assessment review's decision on his complaint" (see, Mem of State Exec Dept, State Bd of Equalization and Assessment, 1977 McKinney's Session Laws of N.Y., at 2338 [emphasis supplied].

We likewise reject respondent's contention that the statute itself excuses a failure to provide notice. While the statute provides that "[f]ailure to mail any such notice or failure * * to receive the same shall not affect the validity of the assessment" (RPTL 525[4], the validity of the assessment is not at issue here. We are concerned only with the timeliness of



Mount Zion Ministries church is located at 183 Schoolhouse Rd. During 2019 we became aware of a for-profit daycare being operated at the church. The church had a 100% 25110 NP REL exemption. Since the 420 Exemption excludes any portion of a property that is used for-profit, the 100% application was reduced to 78% based on the square footage used for the daycare.

The RPTL law states that any owner must be notified of an exemption reduction, the owners should have received written notification prior to May 1, 2019. The owners although aware of the pending exemption reduction only became aware of the amount of change when they received the September 2019 Guilderland Central School District tax bill.

The failure to send written notice prior to the tax bill necessitated allowing the owners to be heard by the Board of Assessment Review. The Board heard Mount Zion Ministries case on December 6, 2019. The owners contested the percentage of reduction and requested a 90% application of the exemption. The Assessment Review Board decided to set the percentage at 84%. The Exemption amount changes from \$2,901,522 to \$3,124,176. The 2019 taxable changes from \$818,378 to \$595,184. The changes were not calculated and approved by the Assessment Review Board prior to the submission of taxable totals to Albany County and the Town of Guilderland for tax bill preparations.

Karen Van Wagenen, Town of Guilderland Assessor